

2020 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN/SUSTAINABLE

Impact of Project on Air Quality Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to Air Quality and found impacts to be less than significant. The analysis found that the plan is in conformance with federal and state air quality regulations. Furthermore, the 2020 RTP/SCS implements projects and programs that are designed to reduce air pollutant emissions. Greenhouse gas emissions were also analyzed and impacts were found to be less than significant. The combination of improved vehicle fuel efficiency, which would reduce per vehicle GHG emissions and the travel efficiency offered by the transportation projects included in the RTP would result in an overall reduction in GHG emissions. AQ 14, below, has been removed and will be replaced with Transportation and Sustainable Communities indicator TSCI. If adopted, this change would be reflected in the 2023 Threshold Evaluation.
Impact of Project on Water Quality Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to Water Quality and found that majority of impacts would be less than significant as a result of implementation of the Plan. Beneficial water quality impacts would also result due to the upgraded stormwater infrastructure and other site specific improvements.
Impact of Project on Soil Conservation Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to soils and found that the impacts would be less than significant as a result of implementation of the plan. The RTP does not include provisions to alter or revise regulations pertaining to grading and soil disturbance. Furthermore, implementation of transportation infrastructure projects would improve conditions related to soil retention on project site.
Impact of Project on Vegetation Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to vegetation and found that the impacts would be less than significant. The RTP/SCS does not include provisions to alter or revise regulations pertaining to native vegetation protection during construction, vegetation removal or groundwater management, new vegetation, unique, rare, or endangered species of plants, stream bank or backshore vegetation, or tree removal.
Impact of Project on Fisheries Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to biological resources, including fisheries, and found impacts to be less than significant. Projects contained within the 2020 RTP/SCS would not affect fisheries, and for sites where infrastructure projects include stormwater retention improvements, the water quality would be improved for receiving water bodies that provide fish habitat.
Impact of Project on Wildlife Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to biological resources, including wildlife, and found the impacts would be less than significant. The RTP/SCS does not include provisions to alter or revise regulations related to wildlife habitat, monitoring and disturbance during construction.
Impact of Project on Recreation Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to recreation and found the impacts would be less than significant. Projects in the 2020 RTP/SCS would further result in improved capacity of the recreational system through more frequent transit, traffic management and information technology, as well as pedestrian and bicycle amenities that will enable residents and visitors to more easily access and connect to recreation locations and experiences.
Impact of Project on Scenic Resources Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to scenic resources and found the impacts would be less than significant. The RTP/SCS does not include provisions to alter or revise regulations related to design standards and scenic attainment. Furthermore, the 2015 Threshold Evaluation found that scenic resources at a regional scale were shown to improve as a result of development of recreation and bike trails. Construction and operation of new transportation projects would be required to comply with design, shielding, and lighting standards.

Impact of Project on Noise Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The 2020 RTP/SCS IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts related to noise and found the impacts to be less than significant, although the IS/IEC estimated minor increases in traffic noise levels. Because implementation of the 2020 RTP/SCS would not result in substantially louder traffic noise levels in 2045 than the baseline levels and 2035 levels presented in the 2012 RTP/SCS EIR/EIS, this would not be a significantly more severe impact.
---	---	----------	--

UPDATE TO AIR QUALITY THRESHOLD STANDARD (AQ14) AND ASSOCIATED

Impact of Project on Air Quality Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IEC analyzed potential impacts to Air Quality and found impacts to be less than significant. The analysis found that the proposed changes are consistent with best practice and in conformance with federal and state air quality regulations. Furthermore, the 2020 RTP/SCS implements projects and programs that are designed to reduce air pollutant emissions. Greenhouse gas emissions were also analyzed and impacts were found to be less than significant. The combination of improved vehicle fuel efficiency, which would reduce per vehicle GHG emissions and the travel efficiency offered by the transportation projects included in the RTP would result in an overall reduction in GHG emissions. AQ 14, below, has been removed and will be replaced with Transportation and Sustainable Communities indicator TSCI, which will be reflected in the 2021 Threshold Evaluation.
Impact of Project on Water Quality Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to Water Quality and found that the impacts would be less than significant, and would not impact the Regions ability to achieve the Lake Tahoe Total Mximum Daily Load targets.
Impact of Project on Soil Conservation Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to soils and found that the impacts would be less than significant as a result of implementation of the plan. Niether the updated standard nor implementation framework would alter or revise regulations pertaining to grading and soil disturbance.
Impact of Project on Vegetation Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to vegetation and found that the impacts would be less than significant. Niether the updated standard nor implementation framework include provisions to alter or revise regulations pertaining to native vegetation protection during construction, vegetation removal or groundwater management, new vegetation, unique, rare, or endangered species of plants, stream bank or backshore vegetation, or tree removal.
Impact of Project on Fisheries Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to biological resources, including fisheries, and found impacts to be less than significant. Niether the updated standard nor implementation framework would affect fisheries or biological resources.
Impact of Project on Wildlife Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to biological resources, including wildlife, and found the impacts would be less than significant. Niether the updated standard nor implementation framework include provisions that alter or revise regulations related to wildlife habitat, monitoring and disturbance during construction.
Impact of Project on Recreation Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to recreation and found the impacts would be less than significant. The updated standard and implementation framework emphasize greater locational efficiency in the placement of new development, that coupled with the projects in the 2020 RTP/SCS would further result in improved modal access to the region's recreational amenities.
Impact of Project on Scenic Resources Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts to scenic resources and found the impacts would be less than significant. Niether the updated standard nor implementation framework include provisions that alter or revise regulations related to design standards and scenic attainment.
Impact of Project on Noise Indicators/Targets/Other Factors (Y/N)	N	Comments	The Threshold Standard (AQ14) Update IS/IEC analyzed potential impacts related to noise and found the impacts to be less than significant, although the IS/IEC estimated minor increases in traffic noise levels. Niether the updated standard nor implementation framework include provisions that alter or revise regulations related to noise.

THRESHOLD ATTAINMENT STATUS

Category	Reporting Category	Standard Type	Name of Standard	Adopted Standard	2015 Status	Status (2015)	Trend (2015)	Confidence (2015)
WATER QUALITY								

WATER QUALITY	DEEP WATER (PELAGIC) LAKE TAHOE	WQ1	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Annual Average Secchi Disk	The annual average deep water transparency as measured by Secchi disk shall not be decreased below 29.7 meters (97.4 feet), the average levels recorded between 1967 and 1971 by the University of California, Davis.	Non-Attainment	Somewhat Worse Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
WATER QUALITY	DEEP WATER (PELAGIC) LAKE TAHOE	WQ2	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Primary Productivity	Maintain annual mean phytoplankton primary productivity at or below 52gmC/m2/yr	Non-Attainment	Considerably Worse Than Target	Rapid Decline	High
WATER QUALITY	LITTORAL LAKE TAHOE	WQ3	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Littoral Turbidity	Attain turbidity values not to exceed three NTU.	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Moderate
WATER QUALITY	LITTORAL LAKE TAHOE	WQ4	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Littoral Turbidity - non-stream zone	Turbidity shall not exceed one NTU in shallow waters of the Lake not directly influenced by stream discharges.	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Moderate
WATER QUALITY	LITTORAL LAKE TAHOE	WQ5	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Littoral phytoplankton primary productivity	Attain 1967-71 mean values for phytoplankton primary productivity in the littoral zone.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	LITTORAL LAKE TAHOE	WQ6	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Littoral periphyton	Attain 1967-71 mean values for periphyton biomass in the littoral zone.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	LITTORAL LAKE TAHOE	WQ7	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Attached Algae	Support actions to reduce the extent and distribution of excessive periphyton (attached) algae in the nearshore (littoral zone) of Lake Tahoe	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES	WQ8	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	AIS Prevention	Prevent the introduction of new aquatic invasive species into the region's waters.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES	WQ9	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	AIS Abundance	Reduce the abundance of known aquatic invasive species.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES	WQ10	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	AIS Distribution	Reduce the distribution of known aquatic invasive species.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES	WQ11	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	AIS ecological impacts	Abate harmful ecological impacts resulting from aquatic invasive species.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES	WQ12	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	AIS economic impacts	Abate harmful economic impacts resulting from aquatic invasive species.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES	WQ13	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	AIS social impacts	Abate harmful social impacts resulting from aquatic invasive species.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES	WQ14	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	AIS public health impacts	Abate harmful public health impacts resulting from aquatic invasive species	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A

WATER QUALITY	TRIBUTARIES	WQ15	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Nitrogen Concentration - streams	Attain applicable state standards for concentrations of dissolved inorganic nitrogen	3 of 7 tributaries in attainment	Somewhat Worse Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
WATER QUALITY	TRIBUTARIES	WQ16	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Phosphorus concentration - streams	Attain applicable state standards for concentrations of dissolved phosphorus.	3 of 7 tributaries in attainment	Somewhat Worse Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
WATER QUALITY	TRIBUTARIES	WQ17	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Iron concentration streams	Attain applicable state standards for dissolved iron.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	TRIBUTARIES	WQ18	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Suspended Sediment concentration streams	Attain a 90 percentile value for suspended sediment concentration of 60 mg/1.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	SURFACE RUNOFF	WQ19	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Dissolved inorganic nitrogen concentrations - stormwater	Achieve a 90 percentile concentration value for dissolved inorganic nitrogen of 0.5 mg/1 in surface runoff directly discharged to a surface water body in the Basin.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	SURFACE RUNOFF	WQ20	NUMERICAL STANDARD	concentration - stormwater	concentration value for dissolved phosphorus of 0.1 mg/1 in surface	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	SURFACE RUNOFF	WQ21	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Iron concentration - stormwater	Achieve a 90 percentile concentration value for dissolved iron of 0.5 mg/1 in surface runoff directly discharged to a surface water body in the Basin.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	SURFACE RUNOFF	WQ22	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Suspended Sediment concentration - stormwater	Achieve a 90 percentile concentration value for suspended sediment of 250 mg/1 in surface runoff directly discharged to a surface water body in the Basin.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	GROUNDWATER	WQ23	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Surface Discharge - nitrogen	Surface Discharge: Total Nitrogen Maximum concentration 0.5 mg/l.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	GROUNDWATER	WQ24	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Surface Discharge - phosphorus	Surface Discharge: Total phosphate Maximum concentration 0.1 mg/l.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	GROUNDWATER	WQ25	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Surface Discharge - iron	Surface Discharge: Total iron Maximum concentration 0.5 mg/l.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	GROUNDWATER	WQ26	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Surface Discharge - turbidity	Surface Discharge: Turbidity Maximum concentration 20 JTU.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	GROUNDWATER	WQ27	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Surface Discharge - grease and oil	Surface Discharge: Grease and Oil Maximum concentration 2.0 mg/l.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A

WATER QUALITY	GROUNDWATER	WQ28	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Discharge to groundwater - nitrogen	Runoff Discharged to Groundwater: Total Nitrogen Maximum concentration 0.5 mg/l.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	GROUNDWATER	WQ29	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Discharge to groundwater - phosphorus	Runoff Discharged to Groundwater: Total Phosphate Maximum concentration 1 mg/l.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	GROUNDWATER	WQ30	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Discharge to groundwater - iron	Runoff Discharged to Groundwater: Total iron Maximum concentration 4.0 mg/	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	GROUNDWATER	WQ31	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Discharge to groundwater - turbidity	Runoff Discharged to Groundwater: Turbidity Maximum concentration 200 JTU.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	GROUNDWATER	WQ32	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Discharge to groundwater- grease and oil	Runoff Discharged to Groundwater: Grease and Oil Maximum concentration 40.0 mg/l.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	OTHER LAKES	WQ33	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Attain existing water quality standards.	Attain existing water quality standards.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	LOAD REDUCTIONS	WQ34	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Load - Fine Sediment Particles	Reduce fine sediment particle (inorganic particle size < 16 micrometers in diameter) load to achieve long-term pelagic water quality standards (WQ1 and WQ2).	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	LOAD REDUCTIONS	WQ35	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Load - total annual phosphorus	Reduce total annual phosphorus load to achieve long-term pelagic water quality standards (WQ1 and WQ2) and littoral quality standards (WQ5 and WQ6).	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	LOAD REDUCTIONS	WQ36	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Load - total annual nitrogen	Reduce total annual nitrogen load to achieve long-term pelagic water quality standards (WQ1 and WQ2) and littoral quality standards (WQ5 and WQ6).	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	LOAD REDUCTIONS	WQ37	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Load - total annual suspended sediment	Decrease total annual suspended sediment load to achieve littoral turbidity standards (WQ3 and WQ4).	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A

WATER QUALITY	LOAD REDUCTIONS	WQ38	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Load - dissolved phosphorus	Reduce the loading of dissolved phosphorus to achieve pelagic water standards (WQ1 and WQ2) and littoral quality standards (WQ5 and WQ6).	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	LOAD REDUCTIONS	WQ39	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Load - iron	Reduce the loading of iron to achieve pelagic water standards (WQ1 and WQ2) and littoral quality standards (WQ5 and WQ6).	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	LOAD REDUCTIONS	WQ40	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Load - other algal nutrients	Reduce the loading of other algal nutrients to achieve pelagic water standards (WQ1 and WQ2) and littoral quality standards (WQ5 and WQ6).	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
WATER QUALITY	LOAD REDUCTIONS	WQ41	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Load - dissolved nitrogen	The most stringent of the three dissolved inorganic nitrogen load reduction targets shall apply: i. Reduce dissolved inorganic nitrogen loads to pelagic and littoral Lake Tahoe from: a) surface runoff by approximately 50 percent of the 1973-81 annual average, b) groundwater approximately 30 percent of the 1973-81 annual average, and c) atmospheric sources approximately 20 percent of the 1973-81 annual average. ii. Reduce dissolved inorganic nitrogen loading to Lake Tahoe from all sources by 25 percent of the 1973-81 annual average. iii. To achieve littoral water quality standards (WQ5 and WQ6).	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
SOIL CONSERVATION									
SOIL CONSERVATION	IMPERVIOUS COVER	SC1	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Bailey Land Coverage Class 1a	Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability subclass 1a - 1%.	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
SOIL CONSERVATION	IMPERVIOUS COVER	SC2	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Bailey Land Coverage Class 1b	Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability subclass 1b - 1%.	Non-Attainment	Considerably Worse Than Target	Moderate Improvement	Moderate
SOIL CONSERVATION	IMPERVIOUS COVER	SC3	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Bailey Land Coverage Class 1c	Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability subclass 1c - 1%.	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate

SOIL CONSERVATION	IMPERVIOUS COVER	SC4	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Bailey Land Coverage Class 2	Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability class 2 - 1%.	Non-Attainment	Somewhat Worse Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
SOIL CONSERVATION	IMPERVIOUS COVER	SC5	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Bailey Land Coverage Class 3	Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability class 3 - 5%.	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
SOIL CONSERVATION	IMPERVIOUS COVER	SC6	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Bailey Land Coverage Class 4	Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability class 4 - 20%.	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
SOIL CONSERVATION	IMPERVIOUS COVER	SC7	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Bailey Land Coverage Class 5	Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability class 5 - 25%.	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
SOIL CONSERVATION	IMPERVIOUS COVER	SC8	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Bailey Land Coverage Class 6	Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability class 6 - 30%.	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
SOIL CONSERVATION	IMPERVIOUS COVER	SC9	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Bailey Land Coverage Class 7	Allowable percent of impervious cover in Land Capability class 7 - 30%.	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
SOIL CONSERVATION	STREAM ENVIRONMENT ZONES	SC10	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Preserve SEZ function	Preserve existing naturally functioning SEZ lands in their natural hydrologic condition.	Non-Attainment	Implemented	Little or No Change	Moderate
SOIL CONSERVATION	STREAM ENVIRONMENT ZONES	SC11	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Restore undeveloped SEZ	Restore all disturbed SEZ lands in undeveloped, unsubdivided lands.	Non-Attainment	No status determination	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	N/A
SOIL CONSERVATION	STREAM ENVIRONMENT ZONES	SC12	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Restore 25% disturbed SEZ	Restore 25 percent of the SEZ lands that have been identified as disturbed, developed or subdivided.	Non-Attainment	Considerably Worse Than Target	Moderate Improvement	Moderate
SOIL CONSERVATION	STREAM ENVIRONMENT ZONES	SC13	NUMERICAL STANDARD	5% increase SEZ function	Attain a 5 percent total increase in the area of naturally functioning SEZ lands.	Non-Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Moderate Improvement	Moderate
AIR QUALITY									
AIR QUALITY	CARBON MONOXIDE	AQ1	NUMERICAL STANDARD	8-hour Carbon Monoxide	Maintain carbon monoxide concentrations at or below 6 parts per million (7 mg/m3) averaged over 8 hours.	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Moderate Improvement	Moderate
AIR QUALITY	CARBON MONOXIDE	AQ2	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Winter Traffic Volume	Reduce traffic volumes on the U.S. 50 Corridor by 7 percent during the winter from the 1981 base year between 4:00 p.m. and 12:00 midnight, provided that those traffic volumes shall be amended as necessary to meet the respective state standards.	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Moderate Improvement	Moderate
AIR QUALITY	OZONE	AQ3	NUMERICAL STANDARD	1-hour Ozone	Maintain ozone concentrations at or below 0.08 parts per million averaged over 1 hour.	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Moderate Improvement	High

AIR QUALITY	OZONE	AQ4	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Oxides of Nitrogen	Maintain oxides of nitrogen (NOx) emissions at or below the 1981 level.	Attainment
AIR QUALITY	REGIONAL VISIBILITY4	AQ5	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Bliss State Park 50%	Achieve an extinction coefficient of 25 Mm-1 at least 50 percent of the time as calculated from aerosol species concentrations measured at the Bliss State Park monitoring site (visual range of 156 kilometer, 97 miles).	Attainment
AIR QUALITY	REGIONAL VISIBILITY4	AQ6	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Bliss State Park 90%	Achieve an extinction coefficient of 34 Mm-1 at least 90 percent of the time as calculated from aerosol species concentrations measured at the Bliss State Park monitoring site (visual range of 115 kilometers, 71 miles).	Attainment
AIR QUALITY	SUBREGIONAL VISIBILITY5	AQ7	NUMERICAL STANDARD	South Lake 50%	Achieve an extinction coefficient of 50 Mm-1 at least 50 percent of the time as calculated from aerosol species concentrations measured at the South Lake Tahoe monitoring site (visual range of 78 kilometers, 48 miles).	No Status Determination
AIR QUALITY	SUBREGIONAL VISIBILITY5	AQ8	NUMERICAL STANDARD	South Lake 90%	Achieve an extinction coefficient of 125 Mm-1 at least 90 percent of the time as calculated from aerosol species concentrations measured at the South Lake Tahoe monitoring site (visual range of 31 kilometers, 19 miles).	No Status Determination

Considerably Better Than Target	Moderate Improvement	Moderate
At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
No status determination	N/A	N/A
No status determination	N/A	N/A

AIR QUALITY RESPIRABLE AND FINE PARTICULATE MATTER AQ9 NUMERICAL STANDARD PM10 24-hour

Particulate Matter₁₀ 24-hour Standard: Maintain Particulate Matter₁₀ at or below 50µg/m³ measured over a 24-hour period in the portion of the Region within California, and maintain Particulate Matter₁₀ at or below 150 µg/m³ measured over a 24-hour period in the portion of the Region within Nevada. Particulate Matter₁₀ measurements shall be made using gravimetric or beta attenuation methods or any equivalent procedure which can be shown to provide equivalent results at or near the level of air quality standard.

Non-Attainment

AIR QUALITY RESPIRABLE AND FINE PARTICULATE MATTER AQ10 NUMERICAL STANDARD PM10 Annual Average

Particulate Matter₁₀ Annual Arithmetic Average - Maintain Particulate Matter₁₀ at or below annual arithmetic average of 20µg/m³ in the portion of the Region within California, and maintain Particulate Matter₁₀ at or below annual arithmetic average in the portion of the Region within Nevada. Particulate Matter₁₀ measurements shall be made using gravimetric or beta attenuation methods or any equivalent procedure which can be shown to provide equivalent results at or near the level of air quality standard.

Attainment

AIR QUALITY RESPIRABLE AND FINE PARTICULATE MATTER AQ11 NUMERICAL STANDARD PM2.5 24-hour

Particulate Matter_{2.5} 24-hour Standard - Maintain Particulate Matter_{2.5} at or below 35µg/m³ measured over a 24-hour period using gravimetric or beta attenuation methods or any equivalent procedure which can be shown to provide equivalent results at or near the level of air quality standard.

Attainment

Somewhat Worse Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
Considerably Better Than Target	Moderate Improvement	Moderate
At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate

AIR QUALITY	RESPIRABLE AND FINE PARTICULATE MATTER	AQ12	NUMERICAL STANDARD	PM2.5 Annual Average	Particulate Matter ^{2.5} Annual Arithmetic Average - Maintain Particulate Matter ^{2.5} at or below annual arithmetic average of 12µg/m ³ in the portion of the Region within California and maintain Particulate Matter ^{2.5} at or below annual arithmetic average of 15µg/m ³ in the portion of the Region within Nevada. Particulate Matter ^{2.5} measurements shall be made using gravimetric or beta attenuation methods or any equivalent procedure which can be shown to provide equivalent results at or near the level of air quality standard.	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
AIR QUALITY	NITRATE DEPOSITION	AQ13	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Nitrate Deposition	Reduce the transport of nitrates into the Basin and reduce oxides of nitrogen (NO _x) produced in the Basin consistent with the water quality thresholds.	Attainment	Implemented	N/A	N/A
AIR QUALITY	NITRATE DEPOSITION	AQ14	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Vehicle Miles Traveled	Reduce vehicle miles of travel in the Basin by 10 percent of the 1981 base year values.	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Moderate-Improvement	Moderate-
VEGETATION PRESERVATION									
VEGETATION PRESERVATION	COMMON VEGETATION	VP1	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	SEZ non-degradation	A non-degradation standard shall apply to native deciduous trees, wetlands, and meadows to preserve plant communities and significant wildlife habitat, while providing for opportunities to increase the acreage of such riparian associations to be consistent with the SEZ threshold.	Attainment	Implemented	N/A	N/A
VEGETATION PRESERVATION	COMMON VEGETATION	VP2	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Vegetation Community Richness, Abundance, and Pattern	Increase plant and structural diversity of forest communities through appropriate management practices as measured by diversity indices of species richness, relative abundance, and pattern.	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate

VEGETATION PRESERVATION	COMMON VEGETATION	VP3	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Vegetation Community Richness maintain the existing species richness of the Basin by providing for the perpetuation of the following plant associations: Yellow Pine Forest: Jeffrey pine, White fir, Incense cedar, Sugar pine. Red Fir Forest: Red fir, Jeffrey pine, Lodgepole pine, Western white pine, Mountain hemlock, Western juniper. Subalpine Forest: Whitebark pine, Mountain hemlock, Mountain mahogany. Shrub Association: Greenleaf and Pinemat manzanita, Tobacco brush, Sierra chinquapin, Huckleberry oak, Mountain whitethorn. Sagebrush Scrub Vegetation: Basin sagebrush, Bitterbrush, Douglas chaenactis. Deciduous Riparian: Quaking aspen, Mountain alder, Black cotton-wood, Willow. Meadow Associations (Wet and Dry Meadow): Mountain squirrel tail, Alpine gentian, Whorled penstemon, Asters, Fescues, Mountain brome, Corn lilies, Mountain bentgrass, Hairgrass, Marsh marigold, Elephant heads, Tinker's penney, Mountain Timothy, Sedges, Rushes, Buttercups. Wetland Associations (Marsh Vegetation): Pond lilies,	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
VEGETATION PRESERVATION	COMMON VEGETATION	VP4	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Abundance of Meadow and Wetlands Relative Abundance - Of the total amount of undisturbed vegetation in the Tahoe Basin: Maintain at least four percent meadow and wetland vegetation.	Non-Attainment	Somewhat Worse Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
VEGETATION PRESERVATION	COMMON VEGETATION	VP5	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Abundance of Riparian Deciduous Relative Abundance - Of the total amount of undisturbed vegetation in the Tahoe Basin: Maintain at least four percent deciduous riparian vegetation	Non-Attainment	Considerably Worse Than Target	Little or No Change	Low
VEGETATION PRESERVATION	COMMON VEGETATION	VP6	MANAGEMENT STANDARD	Abundance of Shrubs Relative Abundance - Of the total amount of undisturbed vegetation in the Tahoe Basin: Maintain no more than 25 percent dominant shrub association vegetation	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low

VEGETATION PRESERVATION COMMON VEGETATION VP7 MANAGEMENT STANDARD Abundance of Yellow Pine Forest in Seral Stages
 Relative Abundance - Of the total amount of undisturbed vegetation in the Tahoe Basin: Maintain 15-25 percent of the Yellow Pine Forest in seral stages other than mature. Non-Attainment

VEGETATION PRESERVATION COMMON VEGETATION VP8 MANAGEMENT STANDARD Abundance of Red Fir Forest in Seral Stages
 Relative Abundance - Of the total amount of undisturbed vegetation in the Tahoe Basin: Maintain 15-25 percent of the Red Fir Forest in seral stages other than mature. Non-Attainment

VEGETATION PRESERVATION COMMON VEGETATION VP9 MANAGEMENT STANDARD Size of New Forest Openings
 Pattern - Provide for the proper juxtaposition of vegetation communities and age classes by; 1. Limiting acreage size of new forest openings to no more than eight acres. Attainment

VEGETATION PRESERVATION COMMON VEGETATION VP10 MANAGEMENT STANDARD Stand composition and age
 Pattern - Provide for the proper juxtaposition of vegetation communities and age classes by; 2. Adjacent openings shall not be of the same relative age class or successional stage to avoid uniformity in stand composition and age. Attainment

VEGETATION PRESERVATION COMMON VEGETATION VP11 MANAGEMENT STANDARD Bailey Capability
 Native vegetation shall be maintained at a maximum level to be consistent with the limits defined in the Land-Capability Classification of the Lake Tahoe Basin, California-Nevada, A Guide For Planning, Bailey, 19746, for allowable impervious cover and permanent site disturbance. Attainment

VEGETATION PRESERVATION LATE SERAL AND OLD GROWTH FOREST ECOSYSTEMS7 VP12 NUMERICAL STANDARD Total Old growth
 Attain and maintain a minimum percentage of 55 percent by area of forested lands within the Tahoe Region in a late seral or old growth condition, and distributed across elevation zones. Standards VP 13, VP14, and VP15 must be attained to achieve this threshold. Non-Attainment

Considerably Worse Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
Considerably Worse Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
Implemented	N/A	N/A
Implemented	N/A	N/A
Implemented	N/A	N/A
Considerably Worse Than Target	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low

VEGETATION PRESERVATION	LATE SERAL AND OLD GROWTH FOREST ECOSYSTEMS8	VP13	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Sub-Alpine old growth	61 percent of the Subalpine zone (greater than 8,500 feet elevation) must be in a late seral or old growth condition. The Subalpine zone will contribute 5 percent (7,600 acres) of forested lands towards VP13.	Non-Attainment	Considerably Worse Than Target	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low
VEGETATION PRESERVATION	LATE SERAL AND OLD GROWTH FOREST ECOSYSTEMS9	VP14	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Upper Montane old growth	60 percent of the Upper Montane zone (between 7,000 and 8,500 feet elevation) must be in a late seral or old growth condition. The Upper Montane zone will contribute 30 percent (45,900 acres) of forested lands towards VP13.	Non-Attainment	Considerably Worse Than Target	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low
VEGETATION PRESERVATION	LATE SERAL AND OLD GROWTH FOREST ECOSYSTEMS10	VP15	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Montane old growth	48 percent of the Montane zone (lower than 7,000 feet elevation) must be in a late seral or old growth condition; the Montane zone will contribute 20 percent (30,600 acres) of forested lands towards VP13.	Non-Attainment	Considerably Worse Than Target	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low
VEGETATION PRESERVATION	UNCOMMON PLANT COMMUNITIES	VP16	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Deepwater plants	Provide for the non-degradation of the natural qualities of any plant community that is uncommon to the Basin or of exceptional scientific, ecological, or scenic value. This threshold shall apply but not be limited to: The deep-water plants of Lake Tahoe.	Non-Attainment	Considerably Worse Than Target	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low
VEGETATION PRESERVATION	UNCOMMON PLANT COMMUNITIES	VP17	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Freel Peak	Provide for the non-degradation of the natural qualities of any plant community that is uncommon to the Basin or of exceptional scientific, ecological, or scenic value. This threshold shall apply but not be limited to: The Freel Peak Cushion Plant community.	Non-Attainment	Somewhat Worse Than Target	Rapid Decline	Low
VEGETATION PRESERVATION	SENSITIVE PLANTS	VP18	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Long-petaled Lewisia - Lewisia pygmaea longipetala	Maintain a minimum of 2 Lewisia pygmaea longipetala population sites.	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
VEGETATION PRESERVATION	SENSITIVE PLANTS	VP19	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Cup Lake Drabe - Draba asterophora v. macrocarpa	Maintain a minimum of 2 Draba asterophora v. macrocarpa population sites	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate

VEGETATION PRESERVATION	SENSITIVE PLANTS	VP20	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Tahoe Draba - <i>Draba asterophora</i> v. <i>asterophora</i>	Maintain a minimum of 5 <i>Draba asterophora</i> v. <i>asterophora macrocarpa</i> population sites.	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
VEGETATION PRESERVATION	SENSITIVE PLANTS	VP21	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Tahoe Yellow Cress - <i>Rorippa subumbellata</i>	Maintain a minimum of 26 <i>Rorippa subumbellata</i> population sites	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Moderate Improvement	High
VEGETATION PRESERVATION	SENSITIVE PLANTS	VP22	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Galena Rock Cress - <i>Arabis rigidissima</i> v. <i>demote</i>	Maintain a minimum of 7 <i>Arabis rigidissima</i> v. <i>demote</i> population sites.	Non-Attainment	Considerably Worse Than Target	Little or No Change	Low
WILDLIFE									
WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W1	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Goshawk population sites	Provide a minimum of 12 Goshawk population sites.	No Status Determination	No status determination	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low
WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W2	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Osprey population sites	Provide a minimum of 4 Osprey population sites.	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Rapid Improvement	Moderate
WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W3	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Bald Eagle Wintering population sites	Provide a minimum of 2 Bald Eagle (Winter) population sites.	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Rapid Improvement	Low
WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W4	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Bald Eagle Nesting population sits	Provide a minimum of 1 Bald Eagle (Nesting) population sites	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W5	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Golden Eagle population sites	Provide a minimum of 4 Golden Eagle population sites	No Status Determination	No status determination	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low
WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W6	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Peregrine population sites	Provide a minimum of 2 Peregrine population sites.	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Rapid Improvement	Moderate
WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W7	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Waterfowl population sites	Provide a minimum of 18 Waterfowl population sites.	Non-Attainment	Somewhat Worse Than Target	Little or No Change	Low
WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W8	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Goshawk disturbance zone	Provide disturbance zones in the most suitable 500 acres surrounding nest site including a 0.25 mile buffer centered on nest sites, and influence zones in 3.5 mi for Goshawk.	Attainment	Implemented	N/A	N/A
WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W9	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Osprey disturbance zone	Provide 0.25 mi disturbance zones and 0.6 mi influence zones for Osprey.	Attainment	Implemented	N/A	N/A
WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W10	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Bald Eagle Wintering disturbance zone	Provide disturbance zones in mapped areas and influence zones in mapped areas for Bald Eagle (Winter).	Attainment	Implemented	N/A	N/A
WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W11	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Bald Eagle Nesting disturbance zone	Provide 0.5 mi disturbance zones and variable influence zones for Bald Eagle (Nesting).	Attainment	Implemented	N/A	N/A
WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W12	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Golden Eagle disturbance zone	Provide 0.25 mi disturbance zones and 9.0 mi influence zones for Golden Eagle.	Attainment	Implemented	N/A	N/A
WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W13	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Peregrine disturbance zone	Provide 0.25 mi disturbance zones and 7.6 mi influence zones for Peregrine.	Attainment	Implemented	N/A	N/A

WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W14	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Waterfowl disturbance zone	Provide disturbance zones in mapped areas and influence zones in mapped areas for Waterfowl.	Attainment	Implemented	N/A	N/A
WILDLIFE	SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES	W15	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Deer disturbance zone	Provide disturbance zones in meadows and influence zones in mapped areas for Deer.	Attainment	Implemented	N/A	N/A
FISHERIES									
FISHERIES	STREAM HABITAT	F1	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Excellent Stream Habitat	As indicated by the Stream Habitat Quality GIS data, amended May 1997, based upon the re-rated stream scores set forth in Appendix C-1 of the 1996 Evaluation Report, maintain: 75 miles of excellent stream habitat	Attainment	Considerably Better Than Target	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low
FISHERIES	STREAM HABITAT	F2	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Good Stream Habitat	As indicated by the Stream Habitat Quality GIS data, amended May 1997, based upon the re-rated stream scores set forth in Appendix C-1 of the 1996 Evaluation Report, maintain: 105 miles of good stream habitat.	Non-Attainment	Considerably Worse Than Target	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low
FISHERIES	STREAM HABITAT	F3	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Marginal Stream Habitat	As indicated by the Stream Habitat Quality GIS data, amended May 1997, based upon the re-rated stream scores set forth in Appendix C-1 of the 1996 Evaluation Report, maintain: 38 miles of marginal stream habitat.	Non-Attainment	Considerably Worse Than Target	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low
FISHERIES	INSTREAM FLOWS	F4	MANAGEMENT STANDARC	Instream Flow	Until instream flow standards are established in the Regional Plan to protect fishery values, a non-degradation standard shall apply to instream flows.	Attainment	Implemented	N/A	N/A
FISHERIES	LAKE HABITAT	F7	MANAGEMENT STANDARC	Lake Habitat	A non-degradation standard shall apply to fish habitat in Lake Tahoe. Achieve the equivalent of 5,948 total acres of excellent habitat as indicated by the Prime Fish Habitat GIS Layer as may be amended based on best available science.	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low
NOISE									

NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N1	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Aircraft Noise Departure/Arrival (8am to 8pm)	The following maximum noise levels are allowed. All values are in decibels. Aircraft measured 6,500 m-start of takeoff roll 2,000 m-runway threshold approach: 80 dBA - between the hours of 8am and 8pm8	Non-Attainment
NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N2	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Aircraft Noise Departure/Arrival (8pm to 8am)	The following maximum noise levels are allowed. All values are in decibels. Aircraft measured 6,500 m-start of takeoff roll 2,000 m-runway threshold approach: 77.1 dBA - between the hours of 8pm and 8am	Non-Attainment
NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N3	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Watercraft-Pass By Test	Watercraft: Pass-By Test - 82 Lmax - measured 50ft from engine at 3,000rpm.	No Status Determination
NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N4	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Watercraft-Shoreline Test	Watercraft: Shoreline test - 75 Lmax - measured with microphone 5 ft. above water, 2 ft., above curve of shore, dock or platform. Watercraft in Lake, no minimum distance.	Non-Attainment
NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N5	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Pre-1993 Watercraft-Stationary Test	Watercraft: Stationary Test - 88 dBA Lmax for boats manufactured before January 1, 1993; Microphone 3.3 feet from exhaust outlet - 5 feet above water.	No Status Determination
NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N6	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Post 1992 Watercraft-Stationary Test	Watercraft: Stationary Test - 90 dBA Lmax for boats manufactured after January 1, 1993; Microphone 3.3 feet from exhaust outlet - 5 feet above water.	No Status Determination
NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N7	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Motor Vehicles Less than 6,000 GV for speeds less than 35 mph	Motor Vehicles Less Than 6,000 GVW: 76 dBA – Travelling at speeds less than 35 MPH at a monitoring distance of 50f	No Status Determination
NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N8	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Motor Vehicles Less Than 6,000 GVW for speeds greater than 35 mph	Motor Vehicles Less Than 6,000 GVW: 82 dBA – Travelling at speeds greater than 35 MPH at a monitoring distance of 50ft.	No Status Determination
NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N9	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Motor Vehicles Greater than 6,000 GVW for speeds less than 35 mph	Motor Vehicles Greater Than 6,000 GVW: 82 dBA – Travelling at speeds less than 35 MPH at a monitoring distance of 50ft.	No Status Determination

Somewhat Worse Than Target	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low
No status determination	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low
No status determination	N/A	N/A
Somewhat Worse Than Target	Little or No Change	Low
No status determination	N/A	N/A
No status determination	N/A	N/A
No status determination	N/A	N/A
No status determination	N/A	N/A
No status determination	N/A	N/A

NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N10	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Motor Vehicles Greater than 6,000 GVW for speeds greater than 35 mph	Motor Vehicles Greater Than 6,000 GVW: 86 dBA – Travelling at speeds greater than 35 MPH at a monitoring distance of 50ft.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N11	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Motorcycles for speeds less than 35 mph	Motorcycles: 77 dBA – Travelling at speeds less than 35 MPH at a monitoring distance of 50ft.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N12	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Motorcycles for speeds greater than 35 mph	Motorcycles: 86 dBA – Travelling at speeds greater than 35 MPH at a monitoring distance of 50ft.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N13	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Off-Road Vehicles for speeds less than 35 mph	Off-Road Vehicles: 72 dBA – Travelling at speeds less than 35 MPH at a monitoring distance of 50ft.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N14	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Off-Road Vehicles for speeds greater than 35 mph	Off-Road Vehicles: 86 dBA – Travelling at speeds greater than 35 MPH at a monitoring distance of 50ft.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
NOISE	SINGLE NOISE EVENTS	N15	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Snowmobiles	Snowmobiles: 82 dBA – Travelling at speeds less than 35 MPH at a monitoring distance of 50ft.	No Status Determination	No status determination	N/A	N/A
NOISE	CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS	N16	NUMERICAL STANDARD	High Density Residential Areas	Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 55 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the High Density Residential Areas Land Use Category.	Non-Attainment	Somewhat Worse Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
NOISE	CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS	N17	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Low Density Residential Areas	Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 50 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Low Density Residential Areas Land Use Category.	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
NOISE	CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS	N18	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Hotel/Motel Areas	Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 60 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Hotel/Motel Areas Land Use Category.	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
NOISE	CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS	N19	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Commercial Areas	Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 60 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Commercial Areas Land Use Category.	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
NOISE	CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS	N20	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Industrial Areas	Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 65 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Industrial Areas Land Use Category.	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate

NOISE	CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS	N21	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Urban Outdoor Recreation Areas	Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 55 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Urban Outdoor Recreation Areas Land Use Category.	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Moderate
NOISE	CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS	N22	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Rural Outdoor Recreation Areas	Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 50 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Rural Outdoor Recreation Areas Land Use Category.	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	Low
NOISE	CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS	N23	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Wilderness and Roadless Areas	Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 45 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Wilderness and Roadless Areas Land Use Category.	Attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Moderate Improvement	Moderate
NOISE	CUMULATIVE NOISE EVENTS	N24	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Critical Wildlife Habitat Areas	Background noise levels shall not exceed the following levels: 45 dBA CNEL (Average Noise Level) in the Critical Wildlife Habitat Areas Land Use Category.	Non-Attainment	Considerably Worse Than Target	Insufficient Data to Determine Trend	Low

RECREATION

RECREATION	HIGH QUALITY RECREATIONAL EXPERIENCE	R1	POLICY STATEMENT	Recreation Experience	It shall be the policy of the TRPA Governing Body in development of the Regional Plan to preserve and enhance the high quality recreational experience including preservation of high-quality undeveloped shorezone and other natural areas. In developing the Regional Plan, the staff and Governing Body shall consider provisions for additional access, where lawful and feasible, to the shorezone and high quality undeveloped areas for low density recreational uses.	Attainment	Implemented	N/A	N/A
RECREATION	FAIR SHARE	R2	POLICY STATEMENT	Distribution of Recreation	It shall be the policy of the TRPA Governing Body in development of the Regional Plan to establish and ensure a fair share of the total Basin capacity for outdoor recreation is available to the general public.	Attainment	Implemented	N/A	N/A

SCENIC RESOURCES

SCENIC RESOURCES	ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS	S1	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Scenic Quality Ratings for Roadway Units	Maintain or improve the numerical rating assigned each unit, including the scenic quality rating of the individual resources within each unit, as recorded in the Scenic Resources Inventory and shown in: Table 13-3 of the Draft Study Report9	63% of units in attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	High
SCENIC RESOURCES	ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS	S2	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Scenic Quality Ratings for Shoreline Units	Maintain or improve the numerical rating assigned each unit, including the scenic quality rating of the individual resources within each unit, as recorded in the Scenic Resources Inventory and shown in: Table 13-5 of the Draft Study Report10.	67% of units in attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Moderate Improvement	High
SCENIC RESOURCES	ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS	S3	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Roadway Scenic Resources	Maintain or improve the numerical rating assigned each unit, including the scenic quality rating of the individual resources within each unit, as recorded in the Scenic Resources Inventory and shown in: Table 13-8 of the Draft Study Report11.	98% of units in attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	High
SCENIC RESOURCES	ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS	S4	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Shoreline Scenic Resources	Maintain or improve the numerical rating assigned each unit, including the scenic quality rating of the individual resources within each unit, as recorded in the Scenic Resources Inventory and shown in: Table 13-9 of the Draft Study Report12.	92% of units in attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Moderate Improvement	High
SCENIC RESOURCES	ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS	S5	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Roadway Travel Route Ratings	Maintain the 1982 ratings for all roadway and shoreline units as shown in: Table 13-6 of the Draft Study Report13.	63% of units in attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	High
SCENIC RESOURCES	ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS	S6	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Shoreline Travel Route Ratings	Maintain the 1982 ratings for all roadway and shoreline units as shown in: Table 13-7 of the Draft Study Report14.	67% of units in attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Moderate Improvement	High

SCENIC RESOURCES	ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS	S7	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Restore Roadway Scenic Quality	Maintain the 1982 ratings for all roadway and shoreline units as shown in: Restore scenic quality in roadway units rated 15 or below	98% of units in attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	High
SCENIC RESOURCES	ROADWAY AND SHORELINE UNITS	S8	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Restore Shoreline Scenic Quality	Maintain the 1982 ratings for all roadway and shoreline units as shown in: Restore scenic quality in shoreline units rated 7 or below.	92% of units in attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Moderate Improvement	High
SCENIC RESOURCES	OTHER AREAS	S9	NUMERICAL STANDARD	Scenic Quality of Other Areas (Recreation Sites and Bike Trails)	Maintain or improve the numerical rating assigned to each identified scenic resource, including individual subcomponent numerical ratings, for views from bike paths and other recreation areas open to the general public as recorded in the 1993 Lake Tahoe Basin Scenic Resource Evaluation. It shall be the policy of the TRPA Governing Body in development of the Regional Plan, in cooperation with local jurisdictions, to insure the height, bulk, texture, form, materials, colors, lighting, signing and other design elements of new, remodeled and	97.5% of units in attainment	At or Somewhat Better Than Target	Little or No Change	High
SCENIC RESOURCES	BUILT ENVIRONMENT	S10	POLICY STATEMENT	Built Environment		Attainment	Implemented	N/A	N/A