# PROJECT REVIEW CONFORMANCE CHECKLIST & V (g) FINDINGS

(Commercial/Tourist Accommodation/Public Service/Recreation/Resource Mngt.)

Proje	$\operatorname{ect}\nolimits \operatorname{Name}\nolimits_{:=}^{Tahoe}\operatorname{City}\nolimits\operatorname{Public}\nolimits\operatorname{Utility}\operatorname{District}$ and $\operatorname{Tahoe}\nolimits\operatorname{Cross}\nolimits\operatorname{Country}\nolimits\operatorname{Ski}\nolimits\operatorname{Education}$	on Association, Recreati
Proje	ect Type: Recreation	
APN	I / Project Number: TRPA File Number ERSP2018-0878, Assessor's Parcel Number	ers (APNs) 093-600-001, 🔐
Proje	ect Review Planner: PN Date of Review: 8.	11.23
justi If the mak these	TE: if the answer to question b. on any of the following questions is notification on a separate sheet for making the findings required in subsections the answer to question b. is yes or if no answer is required, this checklist shaing said findings. Any positive impacts of the project on the thresholds that e questions should also be noted.	s 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 of the code all serve as justifications for
CAT	TEGORY: AIR QUALITY	
THR	RESHOLD: CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) INDICATOR: (CO) 8-h	nr. avg. Stateline CA station
1.	<ul><li>a. Does the project generate new vehicle trips?</li><li>b. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.2.4.B.1?</li></ul>	$egin{array}{ccc} Y igotimes & N igodimes \\ Y igotimes & N igodimes \end{array}$
2.	<ul><li>a. Does the project create new points of vehicular access?</li><li>b. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 34.3.2?</li></ul>	Y ⋈ N □ Y ⋈ N □
3.	<ul><li>a. Does the project include combustion appliances?</li><li>b. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.4?</li></ul>	$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \boxtimes & N \ \square \\ Y \boxtimes & N \ \square \end{array}$
4.	<ul><li>a. Does the project include a new stationary source of CO?</li><li>b. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.6?</li></ul>	$egin{array}{ccc} Y & \square & N & \boxtimes \\ Y & \square & N & \square \end{array}$
THR	RESHOLD: OZONE INDICATOR: Ozone, 1-hr.	avg. Lk. Tahoe Blvd station
1.	<ul><li>a. Does the project increase regional VMT?</li><li>b. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.2.4?</li></ul>	$egin{array}{ccc} Y igotimes & N igodimes \\ Y igotimes & N igodimes \end{array}$
2.	<ul><li>a. Does the project include new gas/oil space/water heaters?</li><li>b. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.4?</li></ul>	$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \boxtimes & N \ \square \\ Y \boxtimes & N \ \square \end{array}$
3.	<ul> <li>a. Does the project include a new stationary source of NO<sup>2</sup>?</li> <li>b. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.6?</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \square & N \boxtimes \\ Y & \square & N \end{array}$
THR	RESHOLD: PARTICULATE MATTER INDICATOR: Part. Matter, 24-hr.	avg. Lk. Tahoe Blvd station
1.	<ul><li>a. Does the project increase airborne dust emissions?</li><li>b. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 60.4.3?</li></ul>	$egin{array}{ccc} Y & \square & N & \boxtimes \\ Y & \square & N & \square \end{array}$
2.	<ul><li>a. Does the project include a new stationary source of particulate matter</li><li>b. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.6?</li></ul>	er? Y

3.	a.	Refer to question 1, Ozone, abo	ve.		
THR	ESHO	LD: VISIBILITY	INDICATOR: miles of visibility	, veg and sub	regional path
1.	a.	Refer to questions 1-3, Particular	te Matter, above.		
		LD: TRAFFIC VOLUME RRIDOR, WINTER, 4pm-12am	INDICATOR: traffic v		at Park Ave. 5., 4pm-12am
1.	a.	Refer to question 1, CO, above.			
THR	ESHO	LD: NO <sup>2</sup> EMISSIONS		INDIC	ATOR: VMT
1.	a.	Refer to questions 1-2, VMT, be	low.		
THR	ESHO	LD: WOOD SMOKE	INDICATO	R: number of	wood heaters
1.	a. b.	Does the project include any new If yes, is the project consistent w		Y	N ⊠ N □
THR	ESHO	LD: VMT	INDICATOR: changes in number	of trips and a	vg. trip length
1.	a. b.	Does the project increase averag If yes, is the project consistent w		Y ⊠ Y ⊠	N □ N □
2.	a.	refer to question 1, CO, above.			
CAT	EGO	RY: WATER QUALITY			
THR	ESHO	LD: TURBIDITY	INDICATOR: to	urbidity of ind	licator stations
1.	a.	soil disturbance?	vious coverage or create permanent	Y 🖂	
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent w	vith Subsection 60.2.3?	Y 🔀	N 🗌
2.	a. b.	Does the project create temporar If yes, is the project consistent w		Y ⊠ Y ⊠	N □ N □
3.	a. b.	Does the project require the use If yes, is the project consistent w		Y ⊠ Y ⊠	N □ N □
4.	a.	Does the project include domest or groundwater?	ic wastewater discharge to the surfac	ce Y 🗌	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent w	vith Subsection 60.1.3.B?	Y 🗌	N 🗵
5.	a. b.	Does the project disturb or encro If yes, is the project consistent w	_	Y ☐ Y ☐	N ⊠ N ⊠
THR	ESHO	LD: CLARITY, WINTER (IN LA	KE) INDICATOR: seech denth. DecN	Ass. TDC	Sindan was
			- UNITH A LUK'SECON DENTA DEC -N	viar avor ikt	r ingex station

1. a. Refer to questions 1-5, turbidity, above.

#### THRESHOLD: PHYTOPLANKTON PRIMARY PRODUCTIVITY (IN LAKE)

INDICATOR: phyto, primary productivity, ann. Avg., TRG index station

1. Refer to questions 1-5, turbidity, above. THRESHOLD: DIN LOAD, SURFACE RUNOFF INDICATOR: DIN x discharge, tributary network annual total 1 1. Refer to questions 1, 2, 3 and 5, turbidity, above. THRESHOLD: DIN LOAD, GROUNDWATER INDICATOR: DIN x discharge, grndwtr. Network, annual total Refer to questions 2 & 3, turbidity, above. 1. THRESHOLD: DIN LOAD, ATMOSPHERIC INDICATOR: NO3 + HNO, annual avg. Lake Tahoe Blvd station 1. Refer to question 4, turbidity, above. THRESHOLD: NUTRIENT LOADS, GENERAL INDICATOR: sol. P x discharge sol. Fe x 1. Refer to questions 1-5, turbidity, above. THRESHOLD: TOTAL N, P, Fe, (trib.) CA ONLY INDICATOR: single reading, tributary network 1. Refer to questions 1, 2, 3, and 5, turbidity, above. THRESHOLD: DIN; SOL, P, Fe, SS (trib.) NV ONLY INDICATOR: single reading tributary network 1. Refer to questions 1, 2, 3 and 5, turbidity, above. THRESHOLD: DIN, SOL, P, Fe, SS, GREASE/OIL DISCHARGED TO SURFACE WATER FROM **RUNOFF** INDICATOR: single reading runoff sites 1. Does the project route impervious surface runoff directly into Lake Tahoe Y \( \square\) N \( \text{\text{\$\omega\$}} a. or a major tributary?  $Y \square N \square$ If yes, is the discharge structure consistent with BMP handbook? b. 2. Does the project create large impervious areas (e.g. parking lots)  $Y \boxtimes N \square$ a. which may serve as a source of airborne pollutants, grease or oil? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsections 60.4.3, 60.4.6, 60.4.9? b.  $Y \boxtimes N \square$ THRESHOLD: TOTAL N, TOTAL P, TOTAL Fe TURBIDITY, GREASE/OIL DISCHARGE TO **GRDWTR FROM RUNOFF** INDICATOR: single reading runoff site

 $Y \boxtimes N \square$ 

 $Y \boxtimes N \square$ 

Does the project include infiltration devices to infiltrate impervious

If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 60.4.6?

surface runoff directly underground?

1.

b.

### **CATEGORY: SOIL CONSERVATION**

THE	SHOL	D: IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE IN	IDICATO	R: area o	or coverage
1.	a. b.	Does the project include new or relocated coverage? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 30.4, 30.5, 30.6?		Y ⊠ Y ⊠	N □ N □
THR	ESHO	LD: NATURALLY-FUNCTIONING SEZ	INDIC	ATOR:	area of SEZ
1.	a. b.	Does the project disturb or encroach on a naturally-functioning SI If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 30.5?	EZ?	Y □ Y □	N ⊠ N □
CAT	EGOI	RY: VEGETATION			
THR	ESHO	LD: PLANT & STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY INDICATO	)R: plant &	& structu	ral diversity
1.	a. b.	Does the project create a change in diversity?  If yes, does the project include vegetation management technique to increase diversity (reveg., thinning)?	S	Y □ Y □	N ⊠
THR	ESHO	LD: MEADOW & RIPARIAN VEGETATION INDICATOR: at	rea of mea	ıdow & 1	riparian veg.
1.	a.	Refer to question 5, turbidity, above.			
THR	ESHO	LD: DECIDUOUS RIPARIAN VEGETATION INDICATO	OR: area o	f riparia	n vegetation
1.	a.	Refer to question 5, turbidity, above.			
THR	ESHO	LD: SHRUB ASSOCIATION INDICAT	ГОR: area	of shrub	association
1.	a.	Does the project create an increase in the areal extent of the shrub	ı	Y 🗌	N 🗵
	b.	association? If yes, has the additional area been calculated, and a determination made that the total area is less than or equal to 25%?	n been	Y 🗌	N 🗵
THRESHOLD: YELLOW PINE ASSOCIATION (not mature) INDICATOR: area of yellow pine assoc.					
1.	a.	Does the project create a change in the areal extent of the immature	re yellow	Υ	N 🗵
	b.	pine association? If yes, has the additional area been calculated, and a determination that the total area in the Region is between 15 and 25%?	n made	Y 🗌	N 🗵
THR	ESHO	LD: RED FIR ASSOCIATION INDI	CATOR:	area of r	ed fir assoc.
1.	a.	Does the project create a change in the areal extent of the immature	re red fir	Y 🗌	N 🗵
	b.	association? If yes, has the additional are been calculated, and a determination that the total area in the Region is between 15 and 25%?	made	Y 🗌	N 🗵
THR	ESHO	LD: FOREST OPENINGS INDICATOR: size a	and locatic	on of fore	est openings
1.	a. b.	Does the project create new forest openings? If yes, is the new opening less than 8 acres?		Y 🔀 Y 🔀	N □ N □

2.	a. b.	Does the project create new forest openings adjacent to other openings? If yes, are the resultant adjacent openings not of the same relative age class or successional stage?	Y □ N ⊠ Y □ N ⊠				
THRE	ESHO	LD: UNCOMMON PLANT COMMUNITITES INDIC	ATOR: habitat sites				
1.	a.	Will the project impact the habitats for the deepwater sphagnum bog, Osgood Swamp, or the Freel Peak Cushing Plant Community?	Y □ N ⊠				
	b.	If yes, have modifications been included in the project to protect these plant communities?	Y  N				
THRE	SHO	LD: SENSITIVE VEGETATION INDICATOR: nu	mber of habitat sites				
1.	a.	Will the project impact the habitats of the <u>Carex paucifructus</u> , the <u>Draba asterophora v.</u> , or the <u>Rorippa subumbellata?</u>	Y 🗌 N 🛭				
	b.	If yes, have modifications been included in the project to protect these plant communities?	Y 🗌 N 🗵				
CATI	EGOF	RY: WILDLIFE					
THRE	SHO	LD: SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES INDICATOR: nu	mber of habitat sites				
1.	a.	Will the project result in the loss, modification or increased disturbance Y N N of habitat site for goshawk, osprey, bald eagle, (winter and nesting), golden eagle, peregrine falcon, waterfowl, or deer, as mapped on official TRPA					
	b.	maps? If yes, have modifications been included in the project to protect these habitat sites?	Y 🗌 N 🛭				
CATI	EGOF	RY: FISHERIES					
THRE	ESHO	LD: EXCELLENT STREAM HABITAT INDICATOR: sites of exc	ellent stream habitat				
1.	a.	Does the project include stream channelization, stream dredging, removal of rock or gravel from a stream, culverts, bridges, or water diversions	Y 🗌 N 🖂				
	b.	affecting a stream identified as fish habitat?  If yes, have modifications been included in the project to offset impacts on Y N Stream habitat and contribute to the upgrading of stream habitat?					
2.	a.	Will the project result in siltation, urban runoff, snow disposal, or litter that may affect water quality in a stream identified as fish habitat?	Y □ N ⊠				
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsections 60.4.3 and 60.4.6?	Y 🗌 N 🖾				
THRE	SHO	LD: GOOD STREAM HABITAT INDICATOR: miles of	good stream habitat				
1.	a.	Refer to questions 1 and 2, above.					
THRE	ESHO	LD: MARGIANL STREAM HABITAT INDICATOR: miles of mar	ginal stream habitat				
1.	a.	Refer to questions 1 and 2, above.					

THR	ESHO	LD: INSTREAM FLOWS		INDICATOR:	increa	se flows
1.	a. b.		n the record to indicate that flows will ren indards or, in the absence of adopted stand		_	$\boxtimes$
2.	a.		new coverage or disturbance that could coching a stream identified as fish habitat?	ontribute Y	N	$\boxtimes$
	b.		stent with Subsections 60.4.3 and 60.4.6?	Y [	N	$\boxtimes$
3.	a.	Refer to question 5, turbio	lity, above.			
THR	ESHO	LD: LAKE HABITAT	INDICAT	ΓOR: area of ex	celler	nt habitat
1.	a.	Does the project include development in the shorezone, removal of rock or gravel from the lake, or removal of vegetation in the shorezone?	frock or Y	N	$\boxtimes$	
	b.		stent with Chapters 80-86?	Υ	N	$\boxtimes$
2.	a.	Does the project increase entering Lake Tahoe?	the potential for siltation, runoff, or erosic	on Y	N	$\boxtimes$
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsections 60.4.3 and 60.4.6?		? Y [	N	$\boxtimes$
		RY: NOISE LD: SINGLE EVENT, AIR		into Pour Press	ain ala	a maa din a
1.	a.		DICATOR: dBA, LMAX, TRPA ref. points  the commercial or private operation of air			i reading
	b.	If yes, does the project co affecting aircraft operatio	mply with the Interim Service Agreements at the South Lake Tahoe Airport, or with Anoise thresholds, or is the project exemple.	t Y [ ill		
THR	ESHO	LD: SINGLE EVENT, AIF IN	RCRAFT, NIGHTTIME DICATOR: dBA, LMAX, TRPA ref. poi	ints, 8am-8pm,	single	e reading
1.	a.	Refer to question 1, single	e event, aircraft, above.			
THR	ESHO	LD: SINGLE-EVENT, BO	ATS INDICATOR: dBA, LM	MAX, at 50 ft.,	single	ereading
1.	a. b.		a marina or boat launching facility? stent with Subsection 68.3?	Y [ Y [	] N	$\boxtimes$
THR	ESHO	LD: SINGLE-EVENT, MC	OTOR VEHICLE LESS THAN 6,000 LB INDICATOR: dBA, LN		single	e reading
1.	a.	Does the project include t commercial vehicles?	he operation of fleet vehicles or other	Υ	N	$\boxtimes$
	b.		stent with Subsection 68.3?	Υ	N	$\boxtimes$

# THRESHOLD: SINGLE-EVENT, MOTOR VEHICLE GREATER THAN 6,000 LBS. CVM INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, at 50 ft., single reading

1.	a.	Refer to question 1, single event, motor vehicle, above.		
THRE	SHOI	LD: SINGLE-EVENT, MOTORCYCLE INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, at	50 ft., sin	gle reading
1.	a.	Does the project involve the offering of motorcycles for lease or rent or the operation of a motorcycle course?	Y 🗌	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 68.3?	Υ	N 🗵
THRE	SHOI	LD: SINGLE-EVENT, ORVS INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, at	50 ft., sir	ngle reading
1.	a.	Does the project involve the offering of ORVs for rent or lease or the operation of an ORV course?	Y 🗌	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 68.3?		N 🗵
THES	HOLI	D: SINGLE-EVENT, SNOWMOBILES INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, at	50 ft., sin	gle reading
1.	a.	Does the project involve the offering of snowmobiles for rent or lease or the operation of a snowmobile course?	Υ	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 68.3?	Υ	N 🗵
THRE	SHOI	LD: COMMUNITY NOISE EQUIVALENT LEVEL (CNEL)		
1.	a. b.	Does the project involve the creation of a new or relocated land use? If yes, is the project consistent with the applicable plan area statement?	Y ⊠ Y ⊠	N □ N □
2.	a.	Is the project located within a transportation corridor as mapped on TRPA maps?	Y 🗌	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, does the project include components to reduce the transmission of noise from the corridor, in accordance with the TRPA Design Review Guidelines?	Y 🗌	N□
3.	a.	Does the project involve a use or activity for which TRPA has received a CNEL related noise complaint and for which TRPA has required remedia	Y 🗆	N 🗵
	b.	action in accordance with Chapter 68? If yes, is the project consistent with the remedial action plan?	Y 🗌	N 🗵
CATE	GOR	RY: SCENIC RESOURCES		
THRE	SHOI	LD: ROADWAY AND SHORELINE RATINGS		
1.	a.	Is the project located within, or visible from, a roadway or shoreline unit targeted for scenic upgrading?	Y 🗌	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with the TRPA Scenic Quality Implementation Program (SQUIP)?	Y 🗌	N 🗆
2.	a.	Is the project located within, or visible from, a roadway or shoreline unit not targeted for scenic upgrading?	Y 🗌	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is there evidence in the record that the project will not cause a significant decrease in scenic quality, and is the project consistent with the TRPA Design Review Guidelines?	Y 🗌	N□

# **CATEGORY: RECREATION**

# THRESHOLD: PRESERVE AND ENHANCE THE HIGH QUALITY RECREATION EXPERIENCE

			INDICATOR	R: dispersed rec. capacity
1.	<ul><li>a. Is the project lo</li><li>b. If yes, is the pro</li></ul>	Y ⋈ N □ Y ⋈ N □		
THRI	ESHOLD: ESTABLISH	H FAIR SHARE OF CAPACITY FOR O	OUTDOOR REC	CREATION
	ILABLE TO THE GEN			INDICATOR: PAOTs
				**
1.	1 0	t require an allocation of PAOTs?		Y N N
	b. If yes, is the rec	reational opportunity involved available	to the public?	Y 🗌 N 🔲
CAT	EGORY: CODE/RUL	ES OF PROCEDURE REQUIREME	NTS	
1.	Does the project real	uire Governing Board Review (Chapter 2	2)2	Y⊠ N□
1.	Does the project requ	une Governing Board Review (Chapter 2	-):	1 2 11
5.	Does the project request. XII Rules of Pr	uire notice to adjacent property owners cocedure)?		Y⊠ N□
6.	Is the project consist	ent with the following:		
	Chapter 2	(Project Review)	N/A	Y ⋈ N □
	Chapter 6	(Tracking-Data Sheets/Log Book)	N/A	YND
	Chapter 21	(Permissible Uses)	N/A 🗍	YND
	Chapter 22	(Temporary Uses)	N/A 🔀	ΥΠΝΠ
	Chapter 30	(Coverage)	N/A 🔲	YN
	Chapter 31	(Density)	N/A 🔀	Y N
	Chapter 32	(Basic Service)	N/A 🗍	$\stackrel{\cdot}{\mathbf{Y}} \boxtimes \stackrel{\cdot}{\mathbf{N}} \stackrel{\square}{\square}$
	Chapter 33.3	(Grading)	N/A 🗍	Y N D
	Chapter 33.4	(Special Reports)	N/A 🗍	YN
	Chapter 33.5	(Construction Schedule)	N/A	$Y \boxtimes N \square$
	Chapter 33.6	(Vegetation Protection)	N/A 🔲	Y 🖾 N 🗍
	Chapter 34	(Driveways)	N/A	$Y \boxtimes N \square$
	Chapter 34	(Parking)	N/A 🔲	Y N D
	Chapter 35	(Natural Hazards-Floodplain)	N/A 🖂	Y 🗌 N 🗍
	Chapter 36	(Design Standards)	N/A 🔲	Y 🖾 N 🗌
	Chapter 37	(Height)	N/A 🔲	$Y \boxtimes N \square$
	Chapter 38	(Signs)	N/A 🔲	Y 🖾 N 🗖
	Chapter 50	(Allocations)	N/A 🔲	$Y \square N \boxtimes$
	Chapter 51	(Transfers)	N/A	Y N
	Chapter 52	(Bonus Units-MFD only)		$Y \square N \square$
	Chapter 53	(IPES)	N/A ⊠ N/A ⊠	Y N
	Chapter 60	(BMP's)	N/A	Y 🖾 N 🗍
	Chapter 60.1	(Water Quality)	N/A	Y 🖾 N 🗍
	Chapter 60.2	(Water Quality Mitigation)	N/A	$Y \square N \square$
	Chapter 61.1	(Tree Removal)	N/A	Y N
	Chapter 61.3.6	(Sensitive Plants/Fire Hazard)	N/A 🔲	Y N
	Chapter 61.4	(Revegetation)	N/A 🔲	Ϋ́N 🗆
	Chapter 62	(Wildlife)	N/A 🔀	Y N
	Chapter 63	(Fish)	N/A 🗵	Y N
	Chapter 65.1	(Air Quality)	N/A 🔲	Y N
	Chapter 65.2	(Traffic/Air Quality Mitigation)	N/A	YN
	Chapter 67	(Historic Resource)	N/A	Y N