PROJECT REVIEW CONFORMANCE CHECKLIST & V (g) FINDINGS

(Commercial/Tourist Accommodation/Public Service/Recreation/Resource Mngt.)

Proje	ct Nan	ne:_ Waldorf Astoria		
Proje	ct Typ	e:Mixed Use		
APN	/ Proje	ect Number: TRPA File Number CEPP2014- 0138-01, Assessor's Parcel Number	(APN) 123-	-051-02,
Proje	ct Rev	iew Planner: PN Date of Review: 4.18.23	3	
justit If the maki these	fication e answ ing sai e quest	the answer to question b. on any of the following questions is <i>no</i> , per non-a separate sheet for making the findings required in subsections 4.4. For to question b. is yes or if no answer is required, this checklist shall see the diffindings. Any positive impacts of the project on the thresholds that have ions should also be noted.	.1 and 4.4. erve as jus	2 of the cod stifications for
CAT	EGOI	RY: AIR QUALITY		
THR	ESHO	LD: CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) INDICATOR: (CO) 8-hr. av	g. Statelin	e CA station
1.	a. b.	Does the project generate new vehicle trips? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.2.4.B.1?	Y	N ⊠ N □
2.	a. b.	Does the project create new points of vehicular access? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 34.3.2?	Y ⊠ Y ⊠	N □ N □
3.	a. b.	Does the project include combustion appliances? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.4?	Y ⊠ Y □	N □ N □
4.	a. b.	Does the project include a new stationary source of CO? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.6?	Y ☐ Y ☐	N ⊠ N □
THR	ESHO	LD: OZONE INDICATOR: Ozone, 1-hr. avg.	. Lk. Taho	e Blvd statio
1.	a. b.	Does the project increase regional VMT? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.2.4?	Y □ Y □	N ⊠ N □
2.	a. b.	Does the project include new gas/oil space/water heaters? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.4?	Y ⊠ Y ⊠	N □ N □
3.	a. b.	Does the project include a new stationary source of NO ² ? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.6?	Y ☐ Y ☐	N ⊠ N □
THR	ESHO	LD: PARTICULATE MATTER INDICATOR: Part. Matter, 24-hr. avg.	Lk. Taho	e Blvd statio
1.	a. b.	Does the project increase airborne dust emissions? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 60.4.3?	Y	N ⊠ N □
2.	a. h	Does the project include a new stationary source of particulate matter? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 65.1.6?	Y 🔲 Y 🗖	N ⊠

3.	a.	Refer to question 1, Ozone, above	ve.		
THR	ESHO	LD: VISIBILITY	INDICATOR: miles of visibility	y, veg and subr	egional path
1.	a.	Refer to questions 1-3, Particular	e Matter, above.		
		LD: TRAFFIC VOLUME RRIDOR, WINTER, 4pm-12am	INDICATOR: traffic	volume, US 50 JanMar. avg.	
1.	a.	Refer to question 1, CO, above.			
THR	ESHO	LD: NO ² EMISSIONS		INDICA	ATOR: VMT
1.	a.	Refer to questions 1-2, VMT, be	low.		
THR	ESHO	LD: WOOD SMOKE	INDICATO	OR: number of	wood heaters
1.	a. b.	Does the project include any new If yes, is the project consistent w		Y	N ⊠ N □
THR	ESHO	LD: VMT	INDICATOR: changes in number	er of trips and av	vg. trip length
1.	a. b.	Does the project increase average If yes, is the project consistent w		Y □ Y □	N ⊠ N □
2.	a.	refer to question 1, CO, above.			
CAT	EGO]	RY: WATER QUALITY			
		LD: TURBIDITY	INDICATOR:	turbidity of ind	icator stations
1.	a.	Does the project increase impervious soil disturbance?	ious coverage or create permanent	Y 🗌	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent w	ith Subsection 60.2.3?	Y 🗌	N 🗌
2.	a. b.	Does the project create temporar If yes, is the project consistent w		Y ⊠ Y ⊠	N □ N □
3.	a. b.	Does the project require the use of If yes, is the project consistent w		Y 🔀 Y 🗵	N 🔲 N 🔲
4.	a.	Does the project include domesti or groundwater?	c wastewater discharge to the surfa	ice Y	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent w	ith Subsection 60.1.3.B?	Y 🗌	N 🗵
5.	a. b.	Does the project disturb or encro If yes, is the project consistent w	-	Y	N ⊠ N ⊠
THR	ESHO	LD: CLARITY, WINTER (IN LA			
			INDICATOR: secch depth, Dec	Mar. avg. TRG	index station

1. a. Refer to questions 1-5, turbidity, above.

THRESHOLD: PHYTOPLANKTON PRIMARY PRODUCTIVITY (IN LAKE)

INDICATOR: phyto, primary productivity, ann. Avg., TRG index station

1. a. Refer to questions 1-5, turbidity, above.

THRESHOLD: DIN LOAD, SURFACE RUNOFF

INDICATOR: DIN x discharge, tributary network annual total 1

1. a. Refer to questions 1, 2, 3 and 5, turbidity, above.

THRESHOLD: DIN LOAD, GROUNDWATER

INDICATOR: DIN x discharge, grndwtr. Network, annual total

1. a. Refer to questions 2 & 3, turbidity, above.

THRESHOLD: DIN LOAD, ATMOSPHERIC

INDICATOR: NO3 + HNO, annual avg. Lake Tahoe Blvd station

1. a. Refer to question 4, turbidity, above.

THRESHOLD: NUTRIENT LOADS, GENERAL

INDICATOR: sol. P x discharge sol. Fe x

1. a. Refer to questions 1-5, turbidity, above.

THRESHOLD: TOTAL N, P, Fe, (trib.) CA ONLY

INDICATOR: single reading, tributary network

1. a. Refer to questions 1, 2, 3, and 5, turbidity, above.

THRESHOLD: DIN; SOL, P, Fe, SS (trib.) NV ONLY

INDICATOR: single reading tributary network

1. a. Refer to questions 1, 2, 3 and 5, turbidity, above.

THRESHOLD: DIN, SOL, P, Fe, SS, GREASE/OIL DISCHARGED TO SURFACE WATER FROM INDICATOR: single reading runoff sites

- 1. a. Does the project route impervious surface runoff directly into Lake Tahoe Y □ N ⋈ or a major tributary?
 - b. If yes, is the discharge structure consistent with BMP handbook? $Y \square N \boxtimes$
- 2. a. Does the project create large impervious areas (e.g. parking lots) Y ⋈ N ☐ which may serve as a source of airborne pollutants, grease or oil?
 - o. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsections 60.4.3, 60.4.6, 60.4.9? Y \boxtimes N \square

THRESHOLD: TOTAL N, TOTAL P, TOTAL Fe TURBIDITY, GREASE/OIL DISCHARGE TO

GRDWTR FROM RUNOFF INDICATOR: single reading runoff site

- 1. a. Does the project include infiltration devices to infiltrate impervious $y \boxtimes y \subseteq y$ surface runoff directly underground?
 - b. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 60.4.6? Y \boxtimes N \square

CATEGORY: SOIL CONSERVATION

THES	SHOL	D: IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE	NDICATO	R: area o	or coverage
1.	a. b.	Does the project include new or relocated coverage? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 30.4, 30.5, 30.6?		Y ⊠ Y ⊠	N □ N □
THRI	ESHO	LD: NATURALLY-FUNCTIONING SEZ	INDIC	ATOR: a	area of SEZ
1.	a. b.	Does the project disturb or encroach on a naturally-functioning St. If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 30.5?	EZ?	Y ☐ Y ☐	N ⊠ N □
CAT	EGOI	RY: VEGETATION			
THRI	ESHO:	LD: PLANT & STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY INDICATO	OR: plant &	k structu	ral diversity
1.	a. b.	Does the project create a change in diversity? If yes, does the project include vegetation management technique to increase diversity (reveg., thinning)?	es	Y □ Y □	N ⊠
THRI	ESHO:	LD: MEADOW & RIPARIAN VEGETATION INDICATOR: a	area of mea	dow & 1	riparian veg.
1.	a.	Refer to question 5, turbidity, above.			
THRI	ESHO	LD: DECIDUOUS RIPARIAN VEGETATION INDICAT	OR: area o	f riparia	n vegetation
1.	a.	Refer to question 5, turbidity, above.			
THRESHOLD: SHRUB ASSOCIATION INDICATOR: area of shrub association					association
1.	a.	Does the project create an increase in the areal extent of the shrub)	Y 🗌	N 🗵
	b.	association? If yes, has the additional area been calculated, and a determination made that the total area is less than or equal to 25%?	n been	Y 🗌	N 🗵
THRESHOLD: YELLOW PINE ASSOCIATION (not mature) INDICATOR: area of yellow pine assoc.					
1.	a.	Does the project create a change in the areal extent of the immatu	re yellow	Y 🗌	N 🗵
	b.	pine association? If yes, has the additional area been calculated, and a determination that the total area in the Region is between 15 and 25%?	on made	Y 🗌	N 🗵
THRI	ESHO	LD: RED FIR ASSOCIATION IND	ICATOR:	area of r	ed fir assoc.
1.	a.	Does the project create a change in the areal extent of the immatu	re red fir	Y 🗌	N 🗵
	b.	association? If yes, has the additional are been calculated, and a determination that the total area in the Region is between 15 and 25%?	n made	Y 🗌	N 🗵
THRI	ESHO:	LD: FOREST OPENINGS INDICATOR: size	and locatio	n of fore	est openings
1.	a. b.	Does the project create new forest openings? If yes, is the new opening less than 8 acres?		Y ⊠ Y ⊠	N □ N □

2.	a. b.	If yes, are the resultant adjacent openings not of the same relative age class or successional stage?	Y N X Y N X					
THE	ECITO		CATOD, believe sites					
IHKE	SHO	LD: UNCOMMON PLANT COMMUNITITES INDI	CATOR: habitat sites					
1.	a.	Will the project impact the habitats for the deepwater sphagnum bog, Osgood Swamp, or the Freel Peak Cushing Plant Community?	Y □ N ⊠					
	b.	Y □ N ⊠						
THRE	ESHO	LD: SENSITIVE VEGETATION INDICATOR: n	umber of habitat sites					
1.	a.	Will the project impact the habitats of the <u>Carex paucifructus</u> , the <u>Draba asterophora v.</u> , or the <u>Rorippa subumbellata?</u>	Y □ N ⊠					
	b.	If yes, have modifications been included in the project to protect these plant communities?	Y □ N ⊠					
CATI	EGOF	RY: WILDLIFE						
THRE	ESHO	LD: SPECIAL INTEREST SPECIES INDICATOR: n	umber of habitat sites					
1.	a.	Will the project result in the loss, modification or increased disturbance Y N N of habitat site for goshawk, osprey, bald eagle, (winter and nesting), golden eagle, peregrine falcon, waterfowl, or deer, as mapped on official TRPA						
	b.	maps? If yes, have modifications been included in the project to protect these habitat sites?	Y□ N⊠					
CATI	EGOF	RY: FISHERIES						
THRE	ESHO	LD: EXCELLENT STREAM HABITAT INDICATOR: sites of ex	cellent stream habitat					
1.	a.	Does the project include stream channelization, stream dredging, removal of rock or gravel from a stream, culverts, bridges, or water diversions affecting a stream identified as fish habitat?	Y □ N ⊠					
	b.	If yes, have modifications been included in the project to offset impacts on $Y \square N \boxtimes$ stream habitat and contribute to the upgrading of stream habitat?						
2.	a.	Will the project result in siltation, urban runoff, snow disposal, or litter that may affect water quality in a stream identified as fish habitat?	Y N N					
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsections 60.4.3 and 60.4.6?	Y □ N ⊠					
THRE	ESHO	LD: GOOD STREAM HABITAT INDICATOR: miles of	of good stream habitat					
1.	a.	Refer to questions 1 and 2, above.						
THRE	ESHO	LD: MARGIANL STREAM HABITAT INDICATOR: miles of ma	arginal stream habitat					
1.	a.	Refer to questions 1 and 2, above.						

THK	ESHO	LD: INSTREAM FLOWS	NDICATOR: 11	icrease flows
1.	a. b.	Does the project include new water diversions? If yes, is there evidence in the record to indicate that flows will remay within adopted TRPA standards or, in the absence of adopted standards that flows will not be diminished?		N ⊠ N ⊠
2.	a.	Does the project include new coverage or disturbance that could con to uncontrolled runoff reaching a stream identified as fish habitat?	tribute Y	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsections 60.4.3 and 60.4.6?	Y 🗌	N 🗵
3.	a.	Refer to question 5, turbidity, above.		
THR	ESHO	LD: LAKE HABITAT INDICATO	OR: area of exc	ellent habitat
1. a.	a.	Does the project include development in the shorezone, removal of gravel from the lake, or removal of vegetation in the shorezone?	cock or Y	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Chapters 80-86?	Υ	N 🗵
2.	a.	Does the project increase the potential for siltation, runoff, or erosion entering Lake Tahoe?	n Y 🗌	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsections 60.4.3 and 60.4.6?	Y 🗌	N 🗵
		RY: NOISE LD: SINGLE EVENT, AIRCRAFT, DAYTIME INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, TRPA ref. poin	nts, 8am-8pm, s	ingle reading
1.	a. b.	Does the project involve the commercial or private operation of aircr If yes, does the project comply with the Interim Service Agreement affecting aircraft operations at the South Lake Tahoe Airport, or will the project meet the TRPA noise thresholds, or is the project exempt Code section 68.9?	Y 🗌	N ⊠ N ⊠
THR	ESHO	LD: SINGLE EVENT, AIRCRAFT, NIGHTTIME INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, TRPA ref. poin	uts, 8am-8pm, s	ingle reading
1.	a.	Refer to question 1, single event, aircraft, above.		
THR	ESHO	LD: SINGLE-EVENT, BOATS INDICATOR: dBA, LM	AX, at 50 ft., s	ingle reading
1.	a. b.	Does the project involve a marina or boat launching facility? If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 68.3?	Y	N ⊠ N ⊠
THR	ESHO	LD: SINGLE-EVENT, MOTOR VEHICLE LESS THAN 6,000 LBS INDICATOR: dBA, LM		ingle reading
1.	a.	Does the project include the operation of fleet vehicles or other commercial vehicles?	Y 🗆	N 🗵
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 68.3?	Y 🗌	N 🗵

$\frac{\text{THRESHOLD: SINGLE-EVENT, MOTOR VEHICLE GREATER THAN 6,000 LBS. CVM}}{\text{INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, at 50 ft., single reading}}$

1.	a.	Refer to question 1, single event, motor vehicle, above.				
THRE	SHOI	LD: SINGLE-EVENT, MOTORCYCLE INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, &	ıt 50 ft., sin	gle reading		
1.	a.	Does the project involve the offering of motorcycles for lease or rent or the operation of a motorcycle course?	Y 🗌	N 🗵		
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 68.3?	Y 🗌	N 🗵		
THRE	SHOI	LD: SINGLE-EVENT, ORVS INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, a	at 50 ft., sir	ngle reading		
1.	a.	Does the project involve the offering of ORVs for rent or lease or the operation of an ORV course?	Y 🗌	N 🗵		
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 68.3?	Y 🗌	N 🗵		
THES	HOLI	D: SINGLE-EVENT, SNOWMOBILES INDICATOR: dBA, LMAX, a	ıt 50 ft., sin	gle reading		
1.	a.	Does the project involve the offering of snowmobiles for rent or lease or the operation of a snowmobile course?	Y 🗌	N 🗵		
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with Subsection 68.3?	Y 🗌	N 🗵		
THRE	SHOI	LD: COMMUNITY NOISE EQUIVALENT LEVEL (CNEL)				
1.	a. b.	Does the project involve the creation of a new or relocated land use? If yes, is the project consistent with the applicable plan area statement?	Y ⊠ Y ⊠	N □ N □		
2.	a.	Is the project located within a transportation corridor as mapped on TRPA maps?	Y 🗵	N 🗆		
	b.	If yes, does the project include components to reduce the transmission of noise from the corridor, in accordance with the TRPA Design Review Guidelines?	Y 🗵	N 🗌		
3.	a.	Does the project involve a use or activity for which TRPA has received a CNEL related noise complaint and for which TRPA has required remed	Y 🗌 ial	N 🗵		
	action in accordance with Chapter 68?b. If yes, is the project consistent with the remedial action plan?		Y 🗌	N 🗵		
CATE	GOR	RY: SCENIC RESOURCES				
THRE	SHOI	LD: ROADWAY AND SHORELINE RATINGS				
1.	a.	Is the project located within, or visible from, a roadway or shoreline unit targeted for scenic upgrading?	Y 🗵	N 🗆		
	b.	If yes, is the project consistent with the TRPA Scenic Quality Implementation Program (SQUIP)?	Y 🗵	N 🗌		
2.	a.	Is the project located within, or visible from, a roadway or shoreline unit not targeted for scenic upgrading?	Y 🗌	N 🗵		
	b.	If yes, is there evidence in the record that the project will not cause a significant decrease in scenic quality, and is the project consistent with th TRPA Design Review Guidelines?	Y 🗌	N 🗵		

CATEGORY: RECREATION

THRESHOLD: PRESERVE AND ENHANCE THE HIGH QUALITY RECREATION EXPERIENCE

			INDICATOR	R: dispersed r	ec. capacity
1.		ed in a conservation or recreation plan ct consistent with the applicable plan ar		Y	N ⊠ N ⊠
THDE	сного, еставгтен е	AIR SHARE OF CAPACITY FOR OU	ITDOOD DEC	PEATION	
	LABLE TO THE GENE		TDOOK KEC		OR: PAOTs
7 7 7 111	ENDEE TO THE GENER	TOBELC		n (Bierri	31t. 1710 Is
1.	a. Does the project re	equire an allocation of PAOTs?		Y 🗌	$N \boxtimes$
	1 0	ational opportunity involved available t	o the public?	ΥΠ	NΠ
			-		
CATE	GORY: CODE/RULES	S OF PROCEDURE REQUIREMEN	TS		
1.	Does the project require	e Governing Board Review (Chapter 2)	?	Y 🗵	N 🗌
_	Describe and in the second	4 4 4 4		V	N \square
5.		e notice to adjacent property owners		Y 🗵	ΝЦ
	(Art. XII Rules of Proce	edure)?			
6.	Is the project consistent	t with the following:			
	Chapter 2	(Project Review)	N/A	v	N \square
	Chapter 6	(Tracking-Data Sheets/Log Book)	N/A	Y 🔀 Y 🖂	N □ N □
	Chapter 21	(Permissible Uses)	N/A	Y	N \square
	Chapter 22	(Temporary Uses)	N/A	Y	N \square
	Chapter 30	(Coverage)	N/A	Y	N \square
	Chapter 31	(Density)	N/A		
	Chapter 32	(Basic Service)	N/A		N \square
	Chapter 33.3	(Grading)	N/A	Y	N \square
	Chapter 33.4	(Special Reports)	N/A	Y	N \square
			N/A	Y	N 🗌
	Chapter 33.5	(Construction Schedule)		Y	N \square
	Chapter 33.6	(Vegetation Protection)	N/A	Y	N \square
	Chapter 34	(Driveways)	N/A	Y	N \square
	Chapter 34	(Parking)	N/A	Y	N \square
	Chapter 35	(Natural Hazards-Floodplain)	N/A	Υ	N \square
	Chapter 36	(Design Standards)	N/A	Y	N \square
	Chapter 37	(Height)	N/A	Y	N \square
	Chapter 38	(Signs)	N/A	Y	N \square
	Chapter 50	(Allocations)	N/A	Y	N \square
	Chapter 51	(Transfers)	N/A	Υ	N \square
	Chapter 52	(Bonus Units-MFD only)	N/A	Y	N \square
	Chapter 53	(IPES)	N/A	Υ	N \square
	Chapter 60	(BMP's)	N/A	Y	N \square
	Chapter 60.1	(Water Quality)	N/A L	Y	N \square
	Chapter 60.2	(Water Quality Mitigation)	N/A	Υ	N 🔲
	Chapter 61.1	(Tree Removal)	N/A	Y 🗵	N 🗌
	Chapter 61.3.6	(Sensitive Plants/Fire Hazard)	N/A	Y 🔀	N 🔲
	Chapter 61.4	(Revegetation)	N/A	Y 🗵	N 🔲
	Chapter 62	(Wildlife)	N/A	Y 🔲	N 🔲
	Chapter 63	(Fish)	N/A	Y 🔲	N 🔲
	Chapter 65.1	(Air Quality)	N/A	Y 🗵	N 🔲
	Chapter 65.2	(Traffic/Air Quality Mitigation)	N/A	Y 🗌	$N \square$
	Chapter 67	(Historic Resource)	N/A	Y 🗵	N \square