

From: [Julie Roll](#)
To: [Marja Ambler](#)
Subject: FW: Governing Board Regarding TRPA file #ERSP2022-0045
Date: Tuesday, April 25, 2023 12:50:33 PM

And another comment.

-----Original Message-----

From: gudebski@gmail.com <gudebski@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2023 12:49 PM
To: Julie Roll <jroll@trpa.gov>
Subject: Governing Board Regarding TRPA file #ERSP2022-0045

RE: 8477 Meeks Bay Ave Pier Review
Parcel #016-091-020
TRPA file #ERSP2022-0045

To: Julie Roll
TRPA Planning Department
PO Box 5310
Stateline, NV 89449-5310

Dear Julie,

I am the son of Wendy Jordan, the property owner to the North of the proposed Hirt Pier Project, and I also am a partial owner of said property. I concur with all of Wendy's points below and am disturbed by the size and length of this "approved" pier that would extend far out into the existing buoy field needlessly and impact-fully on many negative levels. Why is this massive lakeside structure being permitted?

1. The first major concern is the length of the pier, which extends 160 feet into the lake, well beyond the TRPA's pier head line and any concern for depth. Why is it so far into the lake, cutting off any future piers to the South by the length and the angle? It does not need to be that long to reach an adequate depth.
2. The 15 ft. width at the head, is more than what is needed to access a boat or enjoy the lake.
3. The 40 ft.+ Length of that wide section at the head impacts the views of the lake and is not necessary to achieving access.
5. The description of this as a multiple use pier is misleading. This property consists of a single family owner whose house touches all three lots. It is not a multiple use pier. How can it be called that if the parcel number is a still a single property owned by one family?

I, too, understand the desire for a pier at 8477 Meeks Bay Ave, but not one this physically and visually imposing on the lake and the neighbors. Its designation as multi-use is allowing it to be permitted beyond the normal pier head line and dominate the bay into which it will extend. The neighbors to the south and the Lakeside Pier Association need to be considered before this project is approved.

Sincerely,
M. Jordan Gudebski
8469 Meeks Bay Ave
gudebski@comcast.net

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Julie Roll](#)
To: [Marja Ambler](#)
Subject: FW: Governing Board Meeting 4/26/2023
Date: Tuesday, April 25, 2023 11:20:14 AM

Hi Marja

Another comment regarding the Windance Pier, ERSP2022-0045. I did speak to her for quite a while.

-----Original Message-----

From: Wendy Jordan <wendywendyj@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2023 11:18 AM
To: Julie Roll <jroll@trpa.gov>
Subject: Governing Board Meeting 4/26/2023

RE: 8477 Meeks Bay Ave Pier Review
Parcel #016-091-020
TRPA file #ERSP2022-0045

To: Julie Roll
TRPA Planning Department
PO Box 5310
Stateline, NV 89449-5310

I represent the neighboring property to the North of the proposed Hirt Pier Project and the adjacent Lakeside Pier Association easement. I do have comments/questions about the plans for this pier as follows:

1. The first is the length of the pier, which extends 160 feet into the lake, well beyond the TRPA's pier head line and any concern for depth. Why is it so far into the lake, cutting off any future piers to the South by the length and the angle? It does not need to be that long to reach an adequate depth.
2. The 15 ft. width at the head, is more than what is needed to access a boat or enjoy the lake.
3. The 40 ft.+ Length of that wide section at the head impacts the views of the lake and is not necessary to achieving access.
5. The description of this as a multiple use pier is misleading. This property consists of a single family owner whose house touches all three lots. It is not a multiple use pier. How can it be called that if the parcel number is a still a single property owned by one family?

I understand the desire for a pier at 8477 Meeks Bay Ave, but not one this physically and visually imposing on the lake and the neighbors. Its designation as multi-use is allowing it to be permitted beyond the normal pier head line and dominate the bay into which it will extend. I feel that neighbors to the South and the Lakeside Pier Association might want to be considered before this project is approved.

Respectfully submitted,
Wendy Jordan
8469 Meeks Bay Ave

Sent from my iPhone