

Mail PO Box 5310 Stateline, NV 89449-5310

Location 128 Market Street Stateline, NV 89449

ContactPhone: 775-588-4547
Fax: 775-588-4527

www.trpa.gov

STAFF REPORT

Date: February 23, 2023

To: Residential Allocation Performance Review Committee

From: TRPA Staff

Subject: Recommendation to Distribute 2023 and 2024 Residential Allocations

Summary and Staff Recommendation:

In the Tahoe Region, residential allocations are considered for distribution to local jurisdictions every two years from the total number of allocations released every four years by the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA). Distribution to the local jurisdictions is based on three criteria: 1) residential permit review and code compliance; 2) Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) implementation; and 3) short-term rental neighborhood compatibility (TRPA Code, Section 50.5). The Performance Review Committee (PRC), comprised of staff from each local jurisdiction and the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA), is tasked with reviewing the Performance Review System results as set forth in this staff summary. Based on the review, the PRC is asked to make a recommendation to the Governing Board to distribute the allocations.¹

Based on the Performance Review System addressed in TRPA Code, Section 50.5: *Allocation of Additional Development*, TRPA staff recommends:

- The City of South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County, Placer County, and Douglas County receive the base number of residential allocations for 2023 and 2024 as shown in Table 3 of the Staff Report; and
- 2) Washoe County receive the base number of allocations with two increments of deduction for 2023 and 2024 as shown in Table 3 of the Staff Report.

Required Motions:

To recommend approval of the proposed allocation distribution to the Governing Board, the PRC must make the following motions, based on this staff summary and the evidence in the record:

- 1) A motion that the proposed distribution of residential allocations for 2023 and 2024 meets the requirements of Chapter 50, Section 50.5: *Allocation of Additional Residential Units* of the TRPA Code of Ordinances; and
- 2) A motion to recommend approval of the distribution of residential allocations for 2023 and 2024 as shown in Table 3 of the Staff Report.

¹Pursuant to TRPA Code 50.5.2.A.1, TRPA will reserve 10% of each jurisdiction's annual allocations for distribution to parcels below the Individual Parcel Evaluation Score (IPES) line.

Residential Audits:

In 2021 and 2022, TRPA staff completed residential project review and code compliance audits for each jurisdiction (City of South Lake Tahoe, Douglas County, El Dorado County, Placer County, and Washoe County), as required by TRPA Code of Ordinances Section 50.5.2.E. The purpose of these audits is to (1) ensure residential projects reviewed and inspected by Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) partners on behalf of TRPA comply with the TRPA Code and Rules of Procedure, (2) identify project review training and education opportunities for MOU partners, and (3) provide the PRC with a summary of MOU performance for the distribution of residential allocations.

The 2021 and 2022 Audit Results are provided below. Local jurisdictions were provided initial audit results and provided an opportunity to work with TRPA to address comments before final scores were determined. In jurisdictions without an active MOU, permits issued by TRPA were audited by TRPA staff. Overall, MOU partners did an exceptional job completing TRPA environmental review, ensuring project files were complete and well organized, and completing inspections. As a result, TRPA staff is not recommending any deductions to allocations because the average audit score for 2021 and 2022 were all above 90%.

Table 1: 2021 & 2022 MOU Residential Project & Compliance Review Audits							
	2021			2022			2021 & 2022 Average
Jurisdiction	Project	Compliance	Average	Project	Compliance	Average	
	Review			Review			
City of	93.5	93.2	93.35	96	92.4	94.2	93.77
South Lake							
Tahoe							
Douglas	97	92.2	94.6	95.5	94.55	95.03	94.81
County							
El Dorado	93	85.2*	89.1	94	97.95	95.98	92.54
County							
Placer	96	87.65	91.83	94.5	91.9	93.2	92.52
County							
Washoe	93	95.7	94.35	93	96.8	94.9	94.63
County							

^{*}The average security return score was 93.8 and the average winterization score was 76.6, for an average compliance score of 85.2 in El Dorado County. The average winterization score was lower than normal due to the Caldor Fire which happened in the fall of 2021. Areas within the Tahoe Basin and in El Dorado County were evacuated towards the end of construction season and many El Dorado County staff members were reassigned to assist with fire related matters.

<u>Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Implementation:</u>

Lake Tahoe is designated an Outstanding National Resource Water and a "Waterbody of extraordinary ecological or aesthetic value" by the states of California and Nevada for its world famous clarity and striking blue color. The Lake Tahoe Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Program seeks to effectively guide efforts to restore historic clarity within the lake so people may once again be able to see to depths of nearly 100 feet.

The program established Lake Clarity Credit targets for reducing the amount of nitrogen, phosphorus, and fine sediment entering the lake. TRPA Code, Section 50.5.2, states a jurisdiction shall receive their base allocation for achieving above 90 percent or greater conformance with State approved annual Lake Tahoe Clarity Credit targets.

According to the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board and Nevada Division of Environmental Protection, all jurisdictions in California and Nevada achieved their 2021 Lake Tahoe Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) credit targets and have achieved or are anticipated to achieve their 2022 TMDL credit targets. The results are documented on the Clarity Tracker's Urban Uplands Results page (https://clarity.laketahoeinfo.org/Results/Detail/UrbanUplands) and in the 2022 TMDL Performance Report. ² Since all of the jurisdictions are meeting Lake Tahoe Clarity Credit targets, TRPA staff is not recommending any deductions to allocations.

2021 Credit Award 2021 Credit Target 800 727 700 648 567 600 543 554 521 500 403 credits 367 400 342 278 305 300 200 102 100 101

Douglas El Dorado

2021 CREDIT TARGETS AND AWARDS

Source: 2022 TMDL Performance Report, available at: https://clarity.laketahoeinfo.org/Document/Index

NDOT

Placer

Washoe

Short-Term Rental Neighborhood Compatibility:

CSLT

CalTrans

In 2019, TRPA's Local Government and Housing Committee convened a Short-Term Rental (STR) Neighborhood Compatibility Working Group to develop STR Neighborhood Compatibility Guidelines and a Code amendment to make STR neighborhood compatibility a third criterion of the Performance Review System. The Guidelines were developed to provide local jurisdictions a range of options and flexibility in implementing and achieving STR neighborhood compatibility. The Guidelines include locational, operational, and enforcement criteria. TRPA maintains information developed by the STR Neighborhood Compatibility Working Group at: https://www.trpa.gov/short-term-rental-neighborhood-compatability/.

² Source: Mary Fiore-Wagner and Brian Judge, Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board, and Jason Kuchnicki, Nevada Division of Environmental Protection.

All of the local jurisdictions in the Tahoe Basin have adopted STR (or Vacation Home Rental, or VHR) ordinances that address noise, occupancy, parking, refuse, defensible space, and public health and safety. All of the local jurisdictions have also established STR/VHR enforcement programs. All of the local jurisdictions have addressed the location criterion, which the exception of Washoe County. Under the locational criterion, a local jurisdiction must demonstrate that STRs are being located consistent with Regional Plan Land Use Goals and Policies, including directing STRs towards Town Centers, tourist lodging and/or commercial areas, major non-auto dependent transportation corridors and/or near tourist-oriented regional recreational amenities; addressing residential compatibility issues such as the over saturation ("clustering") of STRs and the construction of large STRs in residential neighborhoods; and supporting Regional Plan Transportation Goals and Policies, including directing STRs to areas where alternative transportation options (shared-use paths, bike lanes/routes, and public transit) are available.

A summary of how the local jurisdictions ranked their STR programs is shown in Table 2 (below). In areas where a score was not provided by the local jurisdiction, TRPA staff provided one. The Guidelines submitted by the local jurisdictions and a memorandum from Placer County are provided as Attachments A, B, C, D, & E. TRPA Code, Section 50.5.2.E, states that a local jurisdiction that receives a score of 90 percent or greater based on the STR Neighborhood Compatibility Guidelines shall receive their full allotment of residential allocations, be penalized one increment of deduction for a score between 75 and 89 percent, or be penalized two increments of deduction for a score below 75 percent. TRPA is proposing to subtract two increments of deduction from Washoe County's annual base allocation for both 2023 and 2024 because the County has yet to adequately address the location criterion.

Table 2: Short-Term Rental Neighborhood Compatibility Scores							
BEST PRACTICES	DC	EDC	PC	CSLT	WC		
LOCATIONAL (maximum 30 points							
Land Use (10 points)	0	0	6	10	0		
Residential Compatibility (10	10	10	6	10	1		
points)							
Transportation (10 points)	10	10	6	8	0		
Other Best Practices (can	10	5	10	2	0		
substitute up to 30 points)*							
Total	30	25	28	30	1		
OPERATIONAL (maximum 30 points)							
Noise (5 points)	5	5	2.5	5	5		
Occupancy (2.5 points)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5		
Parking (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5		
Refuse (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5		
Defensible Space (2.5 points)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5		
Water Quality (2.5 points)	0	0	0	0	0		
Public Health & Safety (5	5	5	3	5	5		
points)							

Education (2.5 points)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Other Best Practices (can	2.5	2.5	7	2.5	2.5	
substitute for up to 30 points)*						
Total	30	30	30	30	30	
ENFORCEMENT (maximum 40 points)						
Implementation (15 points)	15	15	15	15	15	
Funding (10 points)	10	10	6	10	10	
Education (10 points)	10	10	8	10	10	
Penalties (5 points)	5	5	3	5	0	
Other Best Practices (can	n/a	n/a	9	n/a	5	
substitute for up to 40 points)*						
Total	40	40	36	40	40	
TOTAL	100	95	94	100	71	

^{*}TRPA is recommending jurisdictions be able to substitute points for developing working groups and hosting public workshops that informed the development of STR ordinances and programs. TRPA is also recommending that local jurisdictions be able to substitute points for using transit occupancy tax (TOT) funding generated from STRs to fund transportation projects, including transit, to offset the impacts of tourism.

Recommendation:

TRPA staff recommends the Performance Review Committee recommend to the Governing Board the following amount of residential allocations be allocated to each jurisdiction for 2023 and 2024:

Table 3: 2023 & 2024 Performance Evaluation Results Summary							
Jurisdiction	Annual Base Allocation*	Deduction Increments*	Minimum Allocation with Deductions	Total Recommended 2023 and 2024 Allocations			
Douglas County	10	2.0	2	20			
El Dorado County	30	5.5	8	60			
Placer County	37	6.5	11	74			
City of South Lake Tahoe	33	5.75	10	66			
Washoe County	10	1.75	3	13			
Total	120		34	233			
Residential Allocation Incentive Pool***				7			

^{*} The Annual Base Allocation and Deduction Increments are provided in Table 50.5.2 of TRPA Code. One deduction increment equals the number of allocations shown for individual jurisdictions. If the final allocation results in a decimal ending in 0.5 or higher the allocation is rounded up to the nearest whole number, if the decimal is below 0.5 the allocation is rounded down to the nearest whole number.

** Based on TRPA Code, Section 50.5.2, which requires two increments of deduction for a score below 75% on the STR Neighborhood Compatibility Guidelines, staff recommends that Washoe County be deducted two increments from their base allocation for 2021 and 2022, for a total of 7 deducted allocations. Thus, staff recommends Washoe County receive 13 residential allocations for 2023 and 2024.

***Allocations not distributed under the Performance Review System will be assigned to TRPA's Residential Allocation Incentive Pool. Individuals can apply for an allocation from the Residential Allocation Incentive Pool in exchange for retiring a sensitive lot and local jurisdictions can apply for allocations in exchange for restoring stream environment zones, building multi-family housing in Town Centers, transferring residential development rights to Town Centers, maintaining a Certified Local Government Moderate Income Housing Program, and demonstrating progress towards other Regional Plan Goals and Policies (refer to TRPA Code, Section 50.5.1.D).

Contact Information:

For questions regarding this agenda item, please contact Brandy McMahon, AICP, Local Government Coordinator, at (775) 589-5274 or bmcmahon@trpa.gov.

Attachments (Posted Separately):

- A. STR Neighborhood Compatibility Guidelines Douglas County
- B. STR Neighborhood Compatibility Guidelines El Dorado County
- C. Memo from Placer County and STR Neighborhood Compatibility Guidelines Placer County
- D. STR Neighborhood Compatibility Guidelines City of South Lake Tahoe
- E. STR Neighborhood Compatibility Guidelines Washoe County