

From: David Waal <davidwaal@gmail.com>
Sent: 8/29/2023 6:10:40 PM
To: swydra@placer.ca.gov <swydra@placer.ca.gov>; cpahule@placer.ca.gov <cpahule@placer.ca.gov>; BOS@placer.ca.gov <BOS@placer.ca.gov>; Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>; Julie Regan <jregan@trpa.gov>; Paul Nielsen <pnielsen@trpa.gov>; Jeff Cowen <jcowen@trpa.gov>; HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Subject: Gondola permit for Homewood Mountain Resort

To Homewood Regulators,

I am writing to express my concerns about the recently submitted gondola permit application (CEPP2014-0636-02) for Homewood Mountain Resort.

While the resort badly needs infrastructure upgrades due to a lack of investments by the current owner, the question of public access remains undefined. Who gets to ride the new gondola?

The developers tried to mollify community objections by including a "[Draft Public Access Plan](#)" with their permit application. This so-called plan **lacks quantifiable or enforceable commitments to public access**. Instead, it contains unconvincing generalities like "season ski passes will be available for purchase by the public" and "we plan to continue to provide guest skier services at the North Base."

I call on TRPA and Placer County to **deny this permit application and any future permit application that lacks enforceable commitments to public access**.

Specifically:

- Public access: Anyone may recreate at Homewood year-round.
- Persons-At-One-Time: 80% of Homewood's operating capacity to be dedicated to the public.
- Pricing: public access for skiing, snowboarding, hiking, biking, and picnicking, including for day visitors, to be priced comparably with Tahoe Basin ski area rates of areas that are similar in size (i.e. Mt Rose, Sierra, etc).
- Perpetuity: execute the recreational land use deed restriction on the ski hill (PAS 157) required by the Master Plan and EIR/EIS.

I further request that **TRPA and Placer County enforce consistency with the Master Plan in any future permit**. Specifically:

- Architecture to evoke the "Old Tahoe" look of West Shore structures such as Vikingsholm and the Hellman-Ehrman Mansion.
- Community benefits to be included in every phase of building, supported by a bonded fund covering 100% of construction cost.
- Ski area facilities, including for day skiers, to be upgraded as promised.

Thank you,

David and Laura Waal
420 Grouse Dr. Homewood

From: Kathy Astromoff <kathy.astromoff@gmail.com>
Sent: 8/29/2023 8:23:48 PM
To: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>
Cc: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>;
Subject: Homewood Mountain Resort Permit Application CEPP2014-0636-02

To Homewood Regulators,

I am writing to express my concerns about the recently submitted gondola permit application (CEPP2014-0636-02) for Homewood Mountain Resort.

While the resort badly needs infrastructure upgrades, the question of public access remains undefined. Who gets to ride the new gondola?

The developers tried to mollify community objections by including a "Draft Public Access Plan" with their permit application. This so-called plan lacks quantifiable or enforceable commitments to public access. Instead, it contains unconvincing generalities like "season ski passes will be available for purchase by the public" and "we plan to continue to provide guest skier services at the North Base."

I call on TRPA and Placer County to deny this permit application, and any future permit application that lacks enforceable commitments to public access. Specifically:

*Public access: anyone may recreate at Homewood year-round.

*Persons-At-One-Time: 80% of Homewood's operating capacity to be dedicated to the public.

*Pricing: public access for skiing, snowboarding, hiking, biking, and picnicking, including for day visitors, to be priced comparably with Tahoe Basin ski area rates.

*Perpetuity: execute the recreational land use deed restriction on the ski hill (PAS 157) required by the Master Plan and EIR/EIS.

I further request that TRPA and Placer County enforce consistency with the Master Plan in any future permit. Specifically:

*Architecture to evoke the "Old Tahoe" look of West Shore structures such as Vikingsholm and the Hellman-Ehrman Mansion.

*Community benefits to be included in every phase of building, supported by a bonded fund covering 110% of construction cost.

*Ski area facilities, including for day skiers, to be upgraded as promised.

Thank you.

Kathy Astromoff

From: Nick O'Neill <sierraoneill@gmail.com>
Sent: 8/29/2023 11:05:15 PM
To: Cindy Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>
Cc: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>;
Subject: Homewood Mountain Resort Permit Application CEPP2014-0636-02

I am writing to express my concerns about the recently submitted gondola permit application (CEPP2014-0636-02) for Homewood Mountain Resort.

While the resort badly needs infrastructure upgrades, the question of public access remains undefined. Who gets to ride the new gondola?

The developers tried to mollify community objections by including a "Draft Public Access Plan" with their permit application. This so-called plan lacks quantifiable or enforceable commitments to public access. Instead, it contains unconvincing generalities like "season ski passes will be available for purchase by the public" and "we plan to continue to provide guest skier services at the North Base."

I call on TRPA and Placer County to deny this permit application, and any future permit application that lacks enforceable commitments to public access. Specifically:

*Public access: anyone may recreate at Homewood year-round.

*Persons-At-One-Time: 80% of Homewood's operating capacity to be dedicated to the public.

*Pricing: public access for skiing, snowboarding, hiking, biking, and picnicking, including for day visitors, to be priced comparably with Tahoe Basin ski area rates.

*Perpetuity: execute the recreational land use deed restriction on the ski hill (PAS 157) required by the Master Plan and EIR/EIS.

I further request that TRPA and Placer County enforce consistency with the Master Plan in any future permit. Specifically:

*Architecture to evoke the "Old Tahoe" look of West Shore structures such as Vikingsholm and the Hellman-Ehrman Mansion.

*Community benefits to be included in every phase of building, supported by a bonded fund covering 110% of construction cost.

*Ski area facilities, including for day skiers, to be upgraded as promised.

Thank you.

Nick O'Neill
Sent from my iPhone

From: Sarina Kriston <spk5356@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: 8/29/2023 9:45:01 PM
To: Cindy Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>
Cc: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>;
Subject: Homewood Mountain Resort Permit Application CEPP2014-0636-02

I am writing to express my concerns about the recently submitted gondola permit application (CEPP2014-0636-02) for Homewood Mountain Resort.

While the resort badly needs infrastructure upgrades, the question of public access remains undefined. Who gets to ride the new gondola?

The developers tried to mollify community objections by including a "Draft Public Access Plan" with their permit application. This so-called plan lacks quantifiable or enforceable commitments to public access. Instead, it contains unconvincing generalities like "season ski passes will be available for purchase by the public" and "we plan to continue to provide guest skier services at the North Base."

I call on TRPA and Placer County to deny this permit application, and any future permit application that lacks enforceable commitments to public access. Specifically:

*Public access: anyone may recreate at Homewood year-round.

*Persons-At-One-Time: 80% of Homewood's operating capacity to be dedicated to the public.

*Pricing: public access for skiing, snowboarding, hiking, biking, and picnicking, including for day visitors, to be priced comparably with Tahoe Basin ski area rates.

*Perpetuity: execute the recreational land use deed restriction on the ski hill (PAS 157) required by the Master Plan and EIR/EIS.

I further request that TRPA and Placer County enforce consistency with the Master Plan in any future permit. Specifically:

*Architecture to evoke the "Old Tahoe" look of West Shore structures such as Vikingsholm and the Hellman-Ehrman Mansion.

*Community benefits to be included in every phase of building, supported by a bonded fund covering 110% of construction cost.

*Ski area facilities, including for day skiers, to be upgraded as promised.

Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone
Sarina Kriston

From: Sarina Kriston <spk5356@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: 8/29/2023 9:44:42 PM
To: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>
Cc: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>;
Subject: Homewood Mountain Resort Permit Application CEPP2014-0636-02

I am writing to express my concerns about the recently submitted gondola permit application (CEPP2014-0636-02) for Homewood Mountain Resort.

While the resort badly needs infrastructure upgrades, the question of public access remains undefined. Who gets to ride the new gondola?

The developers tried to mollify community objections by including a "Draft Public Access Plan" with their permit application. This so-called plan lacks quantifiable or enforceable commitments to public access. Instead, it contains unconvincing generalities like "season ski passes will be available for purchase by the public" and "we plan to continue to provide guest skier services at the North Base."

I call on TRPA and Placer County to deny this permit application, and any future permit application that lacks enforceable commitments to public access. Specifically:

*Public access: anyone may recreate at Homewood year-round.

*Persons-At-One-Time: 80% of Homewood's operating capacity to be dedicated to the public.

*Pricing: public access for skiing, snowboarding, hiking, biking, and picnicking, including for day visitors, to be priced comparably with Tahoe Basin ski area rates.

*Perpetuity: execute the recreational land use deed restriction on the ski hill (PAS 157) required by the Master Plan and EIR/EIS.

I further request that TRPA and Placer County enforce consistency with the Master Plan in any future permit. Specifically:

*Architecture to evoke the "Old Tahoe" look of West Shore structures such as Vikingsholm and the Hellman-Ehrman Mansion.

*Community benefits to be included in every phase of building, supported by a bonded fund covering 110% of construction cost.

*Ski area facilities, including for day skiers, to be upgraded as promised.

Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone
Sarina Kriston

From: Misha Troyan <bear_backer@hotmail.com>
Sent: 8/30/2023 6:29:39 AM
To: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>
Cc: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>;
Subject: Homewood Mountain Resort Permit Application CEPP2014-0636-02

I am writing to express my concerns about the recently submitted gondola permit application (CEPP2014-0636-02) for Homewood Mountain Resort.

While the resort badly needs infrastructure upgrades, the question of public access remains undefined. Who gets to ride the new gondola?

The developers tried to mollify community objections by including a "Draft Public Access Plan" with their permit application. This so-called plan lacks quantifiable or enforceable commitments to public access. Instead, it contains unconvincing generalities like "season ski passes will be available for purchase by the public" and "we plan to continue to provide guest skier services at the North Base."

I call on TRPA and Placer County to deny this permit application, and any future permit application that lacks enforceable commitments to public access. Specifically:

*Public access: anyone may recreate at Homewood year-round.

*Persons-At-One-Time: 80% of Homewood's operating capacity to be dedicated to the public.

*Pricing: public access for skiing, snowboarding, hiking, biking, and picnicking, including for day visitors, to be priced comparably with Tahoe Basin ski area rates.

*Perpetuity: execute the recreational land use deed restriction on the ski hill (PAS 157) required by the Master Plan and EIR/EIS.

I further request that TRPA and Placer County enforce consistency with the Master Plan in any future permit. Specifically:

*Architecture to evoke the "Old Tahoe" look of West Shore structures such as Vikingsholm and the Hellman-Ehrman Mansion.

*Ski area facilities, including for day skiers, to be upgraded as promised.

Thank you.

From: bjshovelain@gmail.com <bjshovelain@gmail.com>
Sent: 8/30/2023 7:12:31 AM
To: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>
Cc: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>;
Subject: Homewood Mountain Resort Permit Application CEPP2014-0636-02

I am writing to express my concerns about the recently submitted gondola permit application (CEPP2014-0636-02) for Homewood Mountain Resort.

While the resort badly needs infrastructure upgrades, the question of public access remains undefined. Who gets to ride the new gondola?

The developers tried to mollify community objections by including a "Draft Public Access Plan" with their permit application. This so-called plan lacks quantifiable or enforceable commitments to public access. Instead, it contains unconvincing generalities like "season ski passes will be available for purchase by the public" and "we plan to continue to provide guest skier services at the North Base."

I call on TRPA and Placer County to deny this permit application, and any future permit application that lacks enforceable commitments to public access. Specifically:

*Public access: anyone may recreate at Homewood year-round.

*Persons-At-One-Time: 80% of Homewood's operating capacity to be dedicated to the public.

*Pricing: public access for skiing, snowboarding, hiking, biking, and picnicking, including for day visitors, to be priced comparably with Tahoe Basin ski area rates.

*Perpetuity: execute the recreational land use deed restriction on the ski hill (PAS 157) required by the Master Plan and EIR/EIS.

I further request that TRPA and Placer County enforce consistency with the Master Plan in any future permit. Specifically:

*Architecture to evoke the "Old Tahoe" look of West Shore structures such as Vikingsholm and the Hellman-Ehrman Mansion.

*Community benefits to be included in every phase of building, supported by a bonded fund covering 110% of construction cost.

*Ski area facilities, including for day skiers, to be upgraded as promised.

Thank you.

From: bjshovelain@gmail.com <bjshovelain@gmail.com>
Sent: 8/30/2023 7:12:31 AM
To: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>
Cc: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>;
Subject: Homewood Mountain Resort Permit Application CEPP2014-0636-02

I am writing to express my concerns about the recently submitted gondola permit application (CEPP2014-0636-02) for Homewood Mountain Resort.

While the resort badly needs infrastructure upgrades, the question of public access remains undefined. Who gets to ride the new gondola?

The developers tried to mollify community objections by including a "Draft Public Access Plan" with their permit application. This so-called plan lacks quantifiable or enforceable commitments to public access. Instead, it contains unconvincing generalities like "season ski passes will be available for purchase by the public" and "we plan to continue to provide guest skier services at the North Base."

I call on TRPA and Placer County to deny this permit application, and any future permit application that lacks enforceable commitments to public access. Specifically:

*Public access: anyone may recreate at Homewood year-round.

*Persons-At-One-Time: 80% of Homewood's operating capacity to be dedicated to the public.

*Pricing: public access for skiing, snowboarding, hiking, biking, and picnicking, including for day visitors, to be priced comparably with Tahoe Basin ski area rates.

*Perpetuity: execute the recreational land use deed restriction on the ski hill (PAS 157) required by the Master Plan and EIR/EIS.

I further request that TRPA and Placer County enforce consistency with the Master Plan in any future permit. Specifically:

*Architecture to evoke the "Old Tahoe" look of West Shore structures such as Vikingsholm and the Hellman-Ehrman Mansion.

*Community benefits to be included in every phase of building, supported by a bonded fund covering 110% of construction cost.

*Ski area facilities, including for day skiers, to be upgraded as promised.

Thank you.

From: Candice Wilmuth <candicewilmuth@gmail.com>
Sent: 8/30/2023 9:27:02 AM
To: Cindy Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>
Cc: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>;
Subject: Homewood Mountain Resort Permit Application CEPP2014-0636-02

To Homewood Regulators,

I am writing to express my concerns about the recently submitted gondola permit application (CEPP2014-0636-02) for Homewood Mountain Resort.

While the resort badly needs infrastructure upgrades, the question of public access remains undefined. *Who gets to ride the new gondola?*

The developers tried to mollify community objections by including a "Draft Public Access Plan" with their permit application. This so-called plan lacks quantifiable or enforceable commitments to public access. Instead, it contains unconvincing generalities like "season ski passes will be available for purchase by the public" and "we plan to continue to provide guest skier services at the North Base."

I call on TRPA and Placer County to deny this permit application, and any future permit application that lacks enforceable commitments to public access. Specifically:

- **Public access: anyone may recreate at Homewood year-round.**
- **Persons-At-One-Time: 80% of Homewood's operating capacity to be dedicated to the public.**
- **Pricing: public access for skiing, snowboarding, hiking, biking, and picnicking, including for day visitors, to be priced comparably with Tahoe Basin ski area rates.**
- **Perpetuity: execute the recreational land use deed restriction on the ski hill (PAS 157) required by the Master Plan and EIR/EIS.**

I further request that TRPA and Placer County enforce consistency with the Master Plan in any future permit. Specifically:

- Architecture to evoke the "Old Tahoe" look of West Shore structures such as Vikingsholm and the Hellman-Ehrman Mansion.
- Community benefits to be included in every phase of building, supported by a bonded fund covering 110% of construction cost.
- Ski area facilities, including for day skiers, to be upgraded as promised.

Thank you.
Candice Wilmuth
West Shore Resident & Homewood Season Pass Holder

From: Marc Roos <mroos@sereno.com>
Sent: 8/30/2023 10:59:28 AM
To: swydra@placer.ca.gov <swydra@placer.ca.gov>; cpahule@placer.ca.gov <cpahule@placer.ca.gov>; BOS@placer.ca.gov <BOS@placer.ca.gov>; Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>; Julie Regan <jregan@trpa.gov>; Paul Nielsen <pnielsen@trpa.gov>; Jeff Cowen <jcowen@trpa.gov>; HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Subject: Homewood Ski Area...

To Homewood Regulators,

I am writing to express my concerns about the recently submitted gondola permit application (CEPP2014-0636-02) for Homewood Mountain Resort.

While the resort badly needs infrastructure upgrades, the question of public access remains undefined. Who gets to ride the new gondola?

The developers tried to mollify community objections by including a "[Draft Public Access Plan](#)" with their permit application. This so-called plan **lacks quantifiable or enforceable commitments to public access**. Instead, it contains unconvincing generalities like "season ski passes will be available for purchase by the public" and "we plan to continue to provide guest skier services at the North Base."

I call on TRPA and Placer County to **deny this permit application, and any future permit application that lacks enforceable commitments to public access**. Specifically:

- *Public access*: anyone may recreate at Homewood year-round.
- *Persons-At-One-Time*: 80% of Homewood's operating capacity to be dedicated to the public.
- *Pricing*: public access for skiing, snowboarding, hiking, biking, and picnicking, including for day visitors, to be priced comparably with Tahoe Basin ski area rates.
- *Perpetuity*: execute the recreational land use deed restriction on the ski hill (PAS 157) required by the Master Plan and EIR/EIS.

I further request that **TRPA and Placer County enforce consistency with the Master Plan in any future permit**. Specifically:

- Architecture to evoke the "Old Tahoe" look of West Shore structures such as Vikingsholm and the Hellman-Ehrman Mansion.
- Community benefits to be included in every phase of building, supported by a bonded fund covering 100% of construction cost.
- Ski area facilities, including for day skiers, to be upgraded as promised.

Thank you -

Marc Roos

8249 Meeks Bay Avenue

From: rob may <robmay@mac.com>
Sent: 8/30/2023 11:45:33 AM
To: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>
Cc: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>;
Subject: Homewood Mountain Resort Permit Application CEPP2014-0636-02

I am writing to express my concerns about the recently submitted gondola permit application (CEPP2014-0636-02) for Homewood Mountain Resort.

While the resort badly needs infrastructure upgrades, the question of public access remains undefined. Who gets to ride the new gondola?

The developers tried to mollify community objections by including a "Draft Public Access Plan" with their permit application. This so-called plan lacks quantifiable or enforceable commitments to public access. Instead, it contains unconvincing generalities like "season ski passes will be available for purchase by the public" and "we plan to continue to provide guest skier services at the North Base."

I call on TRPA and Placer County to deny this permit application, and any future permit application that lacks enforceable commitments to public access. Specifically:

*Public access: anyone may recreate at Homewood year-round.

*Persons-At-One-Time: 80% of Homewood's operating capacity to be dedicated to the public.

*Pricing: public access for skiing, snowboarding, hiking, biking, and picnicking, including for day visitors, to be priced comparably with Tahoe Basin ski area rates.

*Perpetuity: execute the recreational land use deed restriction on the ski hill (PAS 157) required by the Master Plan and EIR/EIS.

I further request that TRPA and Placer County enforce consistency with the Master Plan in any future permit. Specifically:

*Architecture to evoke the "Old Tahoe" look of West Shore structures such as Vikingsholm and the Hellman-Ehrman Mansion.

*Community benefits to be included in every phase of building, supported by a bonded fund covering 110% of construction cost.

*Ski area facilities, including for day skiers, to be upgraded as promised.

Thank you.

From: Tatiana EpanchinTrojan <tepanchin@gmail.com>
Sent: 8/30/2023 6:48:35 AM
To: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>
Cc: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>;
Subject: Homewood Mountain Resort Permit Application CEPP2014-0636-02

I am writing to express my concerns about the recently submitted gondola permit application (CEPP2014-0636-02) for Homewood Mountain Resort.

While the resort badly needs infrastructure upgrades, the question of public access remains undefined. Who gets to ride the new gondola?

The developers tried to mollify community objections by including a "Draft Public Access Plan" with their permit application. This so-called plan lacks quantifiable or enforceable commitments to public access. Instead, it contains unconvincing generalities like "season ski passes will be available for purchase by the public" and "we plan to continue to provide guest skier services at the North Base."

I call on TRPA and Placer County to deny this permit application, and any future permit application that lacks enforceable commitments to public access. Specifically:

*Public access: anyone may recreate at Homewood year-round.

*Persons-At-One-Time: 80% of Homewood's operating capacity to be dedicated to the public.

*Pricing: public access for skiing, snowboarding, hiking, biking, and picnicking, including for day visitors, to be priced comparably with Tahoe Basin ski area rates.

*Perpetuity: execute the recreational land use deed restriction on the ski hill (PAS 157) required by the Master Plan and EIR/EIS.

I further request that TRPA and Placer County enforce consistency with the Master Plan in any future permit. Specifically:

*Architecture to evoke the "Old Tahoe" look of West Shore structures such as Vikingsholm and the Hellman-Ehrman Mansion.

*Community benefits to be included in every phase of building, supported by a bonded fund covering 110% of construction cost.

*Ski area facilities, including for day skiers, to be upgraded as promised.

Thank you.

From: Xochitl Hensley <xochie.hensley@gmail.com>
Sent: 8/30/2023 4:35:32 AM
To: Cindy Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>
Cc: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>;
Subject: Homewood Mountain Resort Permit Application CEPP2014-0636-02

I am writing to express my concerns about the recently submitted gondola permit application (CEPP2014-0636-02) for Homewood Mountain Resort.

While the resort badly needs infrastructure upgrades, the question of public access remains undefined. Who gets to ride the new gondola?

The developers tried to mollify community objections by including a "Draft Public Access Plan" with their permit application. This so-called plan lacks quantifiable or enforceable commitments to public access. Instead, it contains unconvincing generalities like "season ski passes will be available for purchase by the public" and "we plan to continue to provide guest skier services at the North Base."

I call on TRPA and Placer County to deny this permit application, and any future permit application that lacks enforceable commitments to public access. Specifically:

*Public access: anyone may recreate at Homewood year-round.

*Persons-At-One-Time: 80% of Homewood's operating capacity to be dedicated to the public.

*Pricing: public access for skiing, snowboarding, hiking, biking, and picnicking, including for day visitors, to be priced comparably with Tahoe Basin ski area rates.

*Perpetuity: execute the recreational land use deed restriction on the ski hill (PAS 157) required by the Master Plan and EIR/EIS.

I further request that TRPA and Placer County enforce consistency with the Master Plan in any future permit. Specifically:

*Architecture to evoke the "Old Tahoe" look of West Shore structures such as Vikingsholm and the Hellman-Ehrman Mansion.

*Community benefits to be included in every phase of building, supported by a bonded fund covering 110% of construction cost.

*Ski area facilities, including for day skiers, to be upgraded as promised.

Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone