

From: Heather <hrazukas@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/1/2023 6:51:16 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>; BOS@placer.ca.gov <BOS@placer.ca.gov>; cpahule@placer.ca.gov <cpahule@placer.ca.gov>; swydra@placer.ca.gov <swydra@placer.ca.gov>
Subject: Homewood Mountain Resort - What's the Plan? Where is equal Access?

To Homewood Regulators,

I have learned that TRPA has paused permitting on the Homewood Mountain Resort project, and is requiring that the developers submit an application for plan revision for the entire project. I am expecting JMA Ventures, Discovery Land Company, and Mohari Hospitality to solicit extensive community feedback and incorporate community wishes into their new proposal which will be made public with a minimum 30-day time period for public comment.

I also expect the new proposal to address, with detailed descriptions, the following questions:

- What is the plan? What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What will the buildings look like? How tall will buildings be? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment throughout the resort?
- Where is equal access for the public? What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted by any feature, location, time of year, or operating business model?
- What is the price to the public? How will local residents and visitors be able to afford access to HMR and its facilities? How might that change over time?

I request regulators of the HMR project to require delivery of community elements in the same phases as residential buildout and to hold future funding for community elements in bond with a legally binding, enforceable operating plan to ensure that developer promises are kept.

I will also be looking for details on the non-negotiable required elements from the Master Plan including public safety enhancements [i.e. fire prevention and facilities], environmental impact mitigations, and vehicle traffic mitigations.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets TRPA, Placer County, and community expectations.

Heather Razukas
7279 Third Avenue, Tahoma

From: susie high <tahoesusie@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/3/2023 6:01:20 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

From: Pete High <tahoepte@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/4/2023 1:55:36 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

From: Gerard Mangan <gerard.mangan@live.ie>
Sent: 6/5/2023 2:00:51 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - Please protect community access to a beautiful place

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and is requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny of this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By the time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in the same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for your time and effort to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Gerard Mangan.

From: Jay Koski <JKoski@studweldprod.com>

Sent: 6/5/2023 8:48:47 AM

To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>; swydra@placer.ca.gov <swydra@placer.ca.gov>; cpahule@placer.ca.gov <cpahule@placer.ca.gov>; BOS@placer.ca.gov <BOS@placer.ca.gov>; Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. **Thank you!** I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, **in good faith**, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, **I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan**. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain **detailed descriptions** in the following areas:

- **What is the Plan?** What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?
- **Where is the Public?** What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?
- **What is the Price?** What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- Environmental impact mitigations;
- Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations.

Jay Koski
8873 View Circle Tahoma, CA 96142

From: Meg Murphy <megm6951@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/5/2023 4:21:56 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

From: Robin Breuner <robin@breuner.org>
Sent: 6/6/2023 5:39:18 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

From: Paul Nielsen <pnielsen@trpa.gov>
Sent: 6/8/2023 12:27:45 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Subject: FW: Homewood Mountain Resort project

From: BByers@kpcb.com <BByers@kpcb.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 28, 2023 9:21 PM
To: Paul Nielsen <pnielsen@trpa.gov>; Julie Regan <jregan@trpa.gov>; Jeff Cowen <jcowen@trpa.gov>; swydra@placer.ca.gov; cpahule@placer.ca.gov; BOS@placer.ca.gov; Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>
Cc: twinklyman@gmail.com; Brook Byers <bbyers@kpcb.com>
Subject: Homewood Mountain Resort project

Dear Paul, Julie, Jeff, Stacy, Christopher, and Cindy,

I am writing to express my strong concern about the plans by the developers for their "Homewood Mountain Resort."

Their 2011 proposal included plenty of access for the public (residents and visitors) once their resort became operational.

Now it appears that their plans are to severely restrict public access to the ski area, marina, lakefront restaurant, etc. except for a small group who can pay very high prices.

This is not what our beloved West Shore of Lake Tahoe needs or wants.

Note: I've been a homeowner on the West Shore for 40 years and have used all those features in the Homewood area for decades. My children and future generations should have the same access and usage.

My ask: please put this project on "hold" (they misled all of us) and have them alter their rules and business model....or cancel it.

Thank you for considering my views.

Brook Byers
1200 West Lake Blvd.
Tahoe City, CA

From: Paul Nielsen <pn Nielsen@trpa.gov>
Sent: 6/8/2023 12:30:34 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Subject: FW: Homewood Mountain Resort

From: TwinkLyman@gmail.com <twinklyman@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 28, 2023 1:11 PM
To: Paul Nielsen <pn Nielsen@trpa.gov>; Julie Regan <jregan@trpa.gov>; Jeff Cowen <jcowen@trpa.gov>
Subject: Homewood Mountain Resort

Dear TRPA,
I am writing to you in **strong opposition** to the new Homewood Resort project, for many reasons, which I will outline here in as few words as possible:

1. Discovery Land Co lacks integrity. They are known for their lack of respect for the community - and aim to keep it as closed off and private as possible. The ire that has resulted with their "neighbors/not neighbors" is palpable in all of their locations where locals have suddenly been shut out from their ocean bays, lake marinas, and local recreation & restaurants. The whole concept with their resorts is to maintain the exclusivity that these self-important clients seem to demand: walls, gates, security and the promise of private.
2. The clientele that follows Discovery Land Co. to their new projects, for the most part, are not "Homewood" or even Tahoe types.
3. Discovery Land Co is known to get their foot in the door, then make exceptions & changes which ensures more profitability for DLC or favors a client that will bring notoriety to the premises. Just google "lawsuits against Discovery Land Co." and you'll see a small sampling of the suits brought against them. We don't want our taxpayers to be funding the inevitable fight against their infractions.

I've had the privilege of visiting friends at many of the DLC resorts from Hawaii to the Bahamas. As much as I've appreciated the lifestyle that these resorts afford the few who can afford it, I'm also conscientiously opposed to what they do - and that is disrespect and disrupt the local life in a profoundly negative way.

We've been Tahoe visitors and renters for 70 years and finally decided to buy a home inside Chambers Landing in 2012, enjoying the recreation across the street on the Homewood mountain, and lakeside at the Cafe. We could afford to buy a membership at the new mountain resort, ensuring us the luxury of solitary skiing and hiking and dining. But the trade off is what that would do to our community, whom we relate-to and enjoy. It would destroy the local culture.

As protectors of our beautiful, friendly, homey Homewood and West Shore, I urge you to find all the reasons you can to stop this development as proposed.

Thank you,
Linley Lyman

--

Linley Lyman
TwinkLyman@gmail.com
Cell: 650-400-4600

From: john pittman <bjmp@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: 6/13/2023 10:16:59 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

Please don't let the rich buy up all of Tahoe and kick up the locals who are not rich.

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

John

Sent from my iPad

From: Cindy Loughman <cloughman@icloud.com>
Sent: 6/13/2023 12:15:42 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

PLEASE STOP THE DEVELOPMENT OF HOMEWOOD. I have lived in a Discovery Land project before (Kuki'o), and I can 100% tell you that these people DO NOT CARE about the locals (not even to provide jobs for! They import their staff from other properties), they do not care about the environment, and they do not care about the land. THE ONLY THING THEY CARE ABOUT IS MONEY.

Cindy Loughman
(760)399-7730

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Sent from my iPad

From: Cynthia Schroeder <cynthia.schroeder@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/13/2023 2:25:43 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

What about the rotting and dangerous Tahoe Inn property at the north shore state line? When will that promise be kept?

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Sincerely,
Cynthia Schroeder
PO Box 1231
Carnelian Bay, CA
96140

P.S. I've been a full-time resident since 2011 and frequent visitor and second homeowner since 1989.

408.768.6280

From: Eleven Dozen <elevenozen@protonmail.ch>
Sent: 6/13/2023 9:03:23 AM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>; cpahule@placer.ca.gov <cpahule@placer.ca.gov>; supervisorogustafson@placer.ca.gov <supervisorogustafson@placer.ca.gov>
Subject: Homewood: Bait and Switch!!

Hello, i am a homeowner in Lake Tahoe, and as a voter and local here, our household strongly opposes the current JMA privatization plans for Homewood Mountain. I'll be watching (and spreading this information to my neighbors) to see what the local government is doing to protect Tahoe's public spaces so that everyone can enjoy them!

Cheers
Fred Welterlin

From: Kristi Hoffman <jaghoffman@hotmail.com>
Sent: 6/13/2023 2:28:33 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

From: Paul Nielsen <pnielsen@trpa.gov>
Sent: 6/13/2023 10:57:00 AM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Subject: FW: Keep Homewood Public

-----Original Message-----

From: Marja Ambler
Sent: Monday, June 12, 2023 8:34 PM
To: Paul Nielsen
Subject: FW: Keep Homewood Public

-----Original Message-----

From: Barbara Bussey, The Treasured Home
Sent: Sunday, June 11, 2023 12:58 PM
To: Marja Ambler
Subject: Keep Homewood Public

I understand you have another meeting regarding the Privatization of Homewood Ski Resort, this week.

My concerns:

1. How lake water quality may be affected by growth of this proportion.
2. How much traffic will increase. We are homeowners on West Lake Blvd. There are many times Tahoe City traffic backs all the way south to Hurricane Bay, where we are. Besides increasing already heavy traffic on this highway, what would be the escape plan, in case of fire with this many more residents?
3. I don't understand how this project seems to be sliding under TRPA's radar, so easily? We've had to pass rigorous requirements to extend a simple deck! What's this developer's secret to getting this project as far as it's gotten? Many of us regular folks would love to get the scoop!
4. If buying a private membership, to a family ski hill, isn't the epitome of elitism, then what is?

Thank you for your consideration,

Barbara Bussey
The Treasured Home
9906 Fair Oaks Blvd.
Fair Oaks, CA 95628
www.TheTreasuredHome.com
Shop: 916.514.5272
Cell: 916.203.3060

From: Cindy Loughman <cloughman@icloud.com>
Sent: 6/14/2023 1:19:27 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?
Attachments: [preview.png](#)

To Homewood Regulators -

Just wanted to share the sales package that I received about purchasing a membership/property at the Homewood Discovery Land project:



<https://www.grangergrouptahoe.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Homewood-Discovery-JMA-project-deck-Jan-2022.pdf>

This clearly states it will be EXCLUSIVE AND PRIVATE.

PLEASE STOP THIS DEVELOPMENT!!!

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

From: Lisa C <grdnquen@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/14/2023 2:16:41 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Lisa

From: Jennifer Knox <knoxjenlynn@icloud.com>
Sent: 6/14/2023 10:58:18 AM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Jennifer Knox
Tahoe City
Sent from my iPad

From: Dale Bowen <dbowen0989@att.net>
Sent: 6/15/2023 4:10:37 AM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Sent from my iPhone

From: Craig <craigestes1@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/16/2023 5:29:33 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Make it a great day
Craig Estes

From: Elena Flowers <erflowers22@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/16/2023 8:55:30 AM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>; Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

From: Laura Larson <laura.l.larson@icloud.com>
Sent: 6/16/2023 12:15:26 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Laura Larson
831.359.9503

From: Nancy Estes <nancyestes1@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/16/2023 5:33:10 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Sent from my iPhone

From: Robert Heckes <rheckes@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/16/2023 11:17:50 AM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Subject: Objection to privatizing Homewood - public easement is in effect

To whom it may concern,

My family members, friends, neighbors and I have been accessing Homewood for many years: winter, spring, summer and fall. In my view, a public easement has been established on this land.

I am writing to show support for planned improvements, but strongly maintain that public access has been established over many decades. I support the 2011 Master Plan's "central goal" to "restore Homewood as a key gathering center for Lake Tahoe's West Shore. And maintain the vision for "the heritage of a ski resort that can be enjoyed **equally** by local residents and visitors."

I **object** to the aim of the developers JMA Ventures, Mohari Hospitality, and Discovery Land Company to **restrict public access to Homewood Mountain Resort**

Sincerely,

Robert Heckes | local home owner
Owner Of
455 Pineland Drive
Tahoe City,
96145

Owner of
7024 Hilo Ave
Tahoma, CA
96142

From: Lori Campusano <campgirls@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: 6/17/2023 12:10:41 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Lori A GrafCampusano
530-320-1057

From: Jennifer Flowers <jflowers65@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/17/2023 10:32:10 AM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Jennifer Flowers
Meeks Bay Homeowner

From: Sally Schoenstein <salschoen@me.com>
Sent: 6/17/2023 1:37:36 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development. It's of great importance to me and my family as we've been here for multiple generations.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes. This seems reasonable and should be required.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

-Sally Schoenstein
Chamberlands resident since 1960

From: Lori schilling-davis <82skygirl@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/19/2023 12:30:02 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood Development

Dear Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Kind Regards,
Lori Schilling Davis
Homeowner in Tahoe Woods

From: Tan N. Vo <tan.n.vo@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/19/2023 9:12:19 AM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I am a resident in Talmont.

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Sincerely,
Tan Vo
Talmont, Tahoe City

From: TRPA <trpa@trpa.gov>
Sent: 6/21/2023 9:37:54 AM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Subject: Fw: Homewood

Public comment below.

Best,
TRPA Staff

From: melinda daugherty <mdaug42@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, June 16, 2023 8:31 PM
To: TRPA <trpa@trpa.gov>
Subject: Homewood

I have lived at 426 Lewis Ave, Tahoma, since 2008. My family and guests have enjoyed skiing at Homewood and many dinners at West Shore Cafe. Recently, I learned that the 2011 Master Plan for Homewood, which would have kept public access for Homewood, was changed in a Zoom meeting in 2022 to create a private skiing site modeled on The Yellowstone Club. What a ridiculous idea to create a private exclusive club on Hwy. 89. Also, the plan for a 32 unit condo tower certainly does not fit into Homewood.

We already miss the West Shore Cafe.

Please go back to the original plan.

Sincerely,

Melinda P. Daughetty

From: Jeff MacDonald <macdonaldjfm@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/22/2023 5:54:19 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Jeff MacDonald
☎☎ : 310-892-5832

From: Trevor Tompane <trevor.tompane@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/22/2023 7:55:33 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

Dear Regulators of the Homewood Mountain Resort,

Before I just copy and paste the comments crafted by Keep Homewood Public, I just wanted to make an authentic personal statement. I really appreciate the due diligence you've committed to any planned developments at Homewood. My family and I have been Homewood resort skiers and snowboarders for over 30 years. Yes, we travelled from the Bay Area, and I know that's not always so welcome in Tahoe. We've always tried to be respectful visitors and build friendly relationships with people at Homewood, Sunnyside, and all our favorite West Shore haunts. I now have kids of my own, 3 boys, and take them every winter for one or two trips up to Homewood. The oldest two learned to snowboard there, and the youngest will next year too, if the resort remains public. To be certain, we live in San Diego now, so the drive is no small feat with 3 young boys. But it's worth the time and effort to invest in a friendly public institution that gets my family connected to nature in a healthy way.

As long as Homewood stays public, we're coming up. Myself, my wife and sons, my brother and his family, my parents, and as many friends as I can drag along to pay respects to the mountains on the West Shore. Maybe Homewood doesn't have a gondola or multiple high speed quads or vast terrain with the most vertical. But it's been a soulful and restorative experience for as long as I can remember, and that's good enough for all of us. Thanks for considering our hopes to keep riding at Homewood.

Very Respectfully,

LCDR Trevor Tompane, MD MPH
Orthopaedic Surgery
1st Medical Battalion
Naval Hospital Camp Pendleton

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

From: L Zhang <lzhangucb@hotmail.com>
Sent: 6/23/2023 4:43:45 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Sent from my iPhone

From: Nick Harris <nhtahoe@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/23/2023 2:19:06 PM
To: Jeff Cowen <jcowen@trpa.gov>
Cc: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>;
Subject: Re: Homewood Master Plan Update 06.22.2023
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for sharing the update. It sounds like they are moving in a positive direction with regard to listening to the community. I am disappointed, however, that they did not mention any plans to remediate the Tahoe Inn property. My wife & I just drove past it last night on our way home to KB from Incline, and it is an utterly disgusting blight on the community.

Thanks,
Nick Harris
Kings Beach, CA

On Fri, Jun 23, 2023 at 10:04 AM Jeff Cowen <jcowen@trpa.gov> wrote:

Thank you for your input and requesting to be updated on the Homewood Mountain Resort Master Plan. Resort representatives have provided the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) an update on their concept for implementation of the Master Plan.

[Homewood Update to TRPA June 22, 2023](#)

At this time, Homewood has not submitted any applications to TRPA. The agency is keeping the [Homewood Mountain Resort page](#) updated with news and correspondence, including all public comments and emails received.

We appreciate the time you have taken to reach out to us. Your comments and questions are helping TRPA know what the community's concerns are.

If an application is received, TRPA planners will be better able to determine what the process, timeline, and public input opportunities will be for changes in resort operations to be considered. Please continue to contact us at homewoodplan@trpa.gov and watch for emails with updates and possible opportunities for additional input.

TRPA Staff

Paul Nielsen
pnielsen@trpa.gov
Special Projects Manager

Jeff W Cowen
jcowen@trpa.gov

Public Information Officer



trpa.gov | [facebook](#) | [twitter](#) | [instagram](#)

From: Walt Chenoweth <walt.chenoweth@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/23/2023 12:41:58 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Walt and Marybeth Chenoweth
315 Cedar Ridge Drive
Meeks Bay, CA.
909 753-6103

From: julie carter <carterjulie275@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/26/2023 11:32:01 AM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Julie Carter

From: PEGGY KENNEDY <kennedyem@comcast.net>
Sent: 6/29/2023 8:59:04 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Peggy Kennedy
(925) 216-8720 cell
kennedyem@comcast.net

From: Paul Bierwith <paulbierwith@icloud.com>
Sent: 6/29/2023 9:22:02 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Sent from my iPhone

From: Felicia Eth <feliciaeth.literary@gmail.com>
Sent: 6/30/2023 4:10:23 PM
To: HomewoodPlan <homewoodplan@trpa.gov>
Cc: Cindy.Gustafson <cindygustafson@placer.ca.gov>;
Subject: Homewood - What's the Plan? Where is the Public? What's the Price?

To Homewood Regulators -

I have learned that TRPA paused permitting on Homewood redevelopment, and are requiring developers to submit an updated proposal for the entire project. Thank you! I appreciate TRPA's increased scrutiny on this precedent-setting development.

I also expect TRPA to require that JMA, Discovery, and Mohari make their new proposal public, solicit extensive community feedback and, in good faith, incorporate community wishes.

JMA has been less than candid about the details underlying their intentions. This must change. Once their new proposal is made public, I will look for deviations from the approved Master Plan. Specifically, I expect the proposal to contain detailed descriptions in the following areas:

What is the Plan?

What is the side-by-side comparison between the new proposal and the Master Plan? What exactly will be built in every area of the resort? How tall/wide will buildings be? What will the buildings look like? How will they affect views of ridgelines and the lake? What is the purpose of each feature of the built environment?

Where is the Public?

What aspects of the proposal will the general public have access to? Is public access restricted to any feature by time? By location? By time of year? By operating/business model?

What is the Price?

What will the general public pay for access to any feature? How might that change over time?

I will also look for details on non-negotiable proposal elements such as:

- *Public safety enhancements, eg funding for law enforcement and fire fighting;
- *Environmental impact mitigations;
- *Vehicle traffic mitigations;
- *Plan for land use + infrastructure removal should the ski area close permanently;
- *Delivery of community elements in same phases as residential buildout;
- *Holding future funding for community elements in bond; and
- *A legally binding, enforceable operating plan that ensures developer promises are kept.

Thank you for the time and effort you are spending to ensure this development meets community expectations!

Sent from my iPhone