

**TRPA
GOVERNING BOARD
PACKETS**

**AUGUST
1988**

TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY

195 U.S. Highway 50
Round Hill, Zephyr Cove, NV

P.O. Box 1038
Zephyr Cove, Nevada 89448-1038

(702) 588-4547

August 24 + 25 1988

NOTICE OF AMENDED AGENDA

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the agenda for the August 24, 25, 1988 Governing Board meeting of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency is amended by adding the following item under Project Review:

- D. U.S. Forest Service, Lahontan Cutthroat Trout Restoration,
Upper Truckee River, El Dorado County

This project has been proposed to implement the fishery management plan for the Lahontan cutthroat trout, Salmo clarki henshawi, a federally listed threatened species. The Lahontan cutthroat trout was originally the native trout species in Lake Tahoe. In order to establish and maintain the introduced Lahontan cutthroat population in the headwaters of the Upper Truckee River, the U.S. Forest Service and the California Department of Fish and Game cooperatively propose to remove the brook trout, Salvelinus fontinalis from the area.

The brook trout will be salvaged to the extent possible by electrofishing, collecting the shocked fish and planting them in nearby Round Lake. Any remaining fish are proposed to be eliminated by chemical treatment with rotenone. Dead fish would then be collected and removed to an approved disposal site outside of the Tahoe Basin.

The rotenone treatment is proposed to be repeated in the fall of 1989. In 1990, the area would be monitored, and if no brook trout are found, the Lahontan cutthroat trout would be introduced.

August 18, 1988

By: _____

W.A. Morgan
William A. Morgan
Executive Director

TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY
NOTICE OF MEETINGS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on August 24, 25, 1988, commencing at 9:45 a.m. on the 24th and at 9:30 a.m. on the 25th, at the TRPA office, 195 U.S. Highway 50, Round Hill, Zephyr Cove, Nevada, the Governing Board of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency will conduct its regular meeting. The official agenda is attached hereto and made a part of this notice.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that on August 24, 1988, at 8:30 a.m., in the same location, the Finance Committee of said agency will meet to discuss the following: 1) receipt of the July financial statement; 2) allocation of Local Transportation Funds to El Dorado County; and 3) budget requests for Fiscal Years 1989-90 and 1990-91.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that on August 24, 1988, at 8:30 a.m., in the same location, the Legal Committee of said agency will meet to confer with counsel on the following: 1) City of South Lake Tahoe redevelopment plan litigation; 2) appeal of Superior Court decision in Bruce King, et al. v TRPA, El Dorado, Case No. SLT-1587; and 3) proposed settlement of TRPA v. Berg, El Dorado County Superior Court.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that on August 24, 1988, at 9:00 a.m., in the same location, the Retirement Committee will meet to discuss and act on the following: 1) designation of a new employee representative to the Retirement Committee; 2) designation of trust account signatories; and 3) retirement fund disbursements to former employees.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that on August 24, 1988, during the lunch recess, the Rules Committee will meet to discuss the revision of the Agency's Rules of Procedure relating to environmental documentation.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that on August 25, 1988, at 9:00 a.m., the Legislation Committee will meet to discuss Congressional status of the bistate compact amendments relating to the makeup of the Nevada delegation.

Date: August 17, 1988

By:


William A. Morgan
Executive Director

NOTE: Items on the agenda without a time designation may not necessarily be considered in the order in which they appear on the agenda.

TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY
GOVERNING BODY

TRPA Office 195 U.S. Highway 50
Zephyr Cove, Round Hill, Nevada

August 24, 1988 9:45 a.m.
August 25, 1988 9:30 a.m.

OFFICIAL AGENDA

- I PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- II ROLL CALL AND DETERMINATION OF QUORUM
- III APPROVAL OF MINUTES
- IV APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- V CONSENT CALENDAR (see next to last page)
- VI PROJECT REVIEW
 - A. Jeanette Wright, New Residential Pier, 4530 North Lake Boulevard, APN 91-165-04, Placer County
 - B. Al Tahoe School-Age Child Care Center Expansion, California State Department of General Services, Office of Local Assistance, APN 26-050-04, 02, El Dorado County (to be acted on after Item VIII B.)
 - C. A. C. Beecher Trust, New Single Family Residence to Replace Single Family Residence Burned in 1966, Special Use, 841 Stateline, City of South Lake Tahoe, APN 22-010-13, El Dorado County
- VII EIS CERTIFICATION
 - A. Certification of the EIS for Amendments to 208 Water Quality Management Plan (See Item VIII A.) - Public Hearing Only
- VIII PUBLIC HEARING AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCES/RESOLUTIONS
 - A. Amendment of the Water Quality Management Plan (Section 208 of the Clean Water Act) - Public Hearing Only
 - B. Amendment to Boundary Between Plan Areas 097 (Bijou Pines) and 098 (Bijou/Al Tahoe) to Include APN 26-050-04 in PAS 098. Applicant: City of South Lake Tahoe. Property Identification: 1181 Rufus Allen Boulevard - Public Hearing and Adoption
 - C. Amendment of Chapter 4 (Project Review and Exempt Activities) to Allow for a Memorandum of Understanding Between TRPA and the California Tahoe Conservancy Regarding Exempt and Qualified Exempt Activities - Public Hearing and Adoption
 - D. Adoption of Threshold Indicators and Related Items for Air Quality, Water Quality and Soil Conservation (Chapter 32 of the Code of Ordinances) - Public Hearing Only

- E. Amendment to Permissible Uses for Plan Area 094, to Add Pre-Schools as a Special Use. Applicant: Fred C. Puliafico. Property Identification: 3260 Pioneer Trail, APN 25-510-66, El Dorado County - Public Hearing and Adoption
- F. Amendment of Chapter 91 (Air Quality Controls), Code of Ordinances - (to be continued to September)
- G. Amendment of Regional Plan Land Capability Overlays for Man-modified Area, Buehler, Plan Area 078, APN 07-180-05, Douglas County - (to be continued to September)
- H. Amendment of Regional Plan Land Capability Overlay Maps for Plan Areas 001A (Tahoe City), 002 (Fairway Tract), 174 (64 Acre Tract), and 009A (Lake Forest Commercial) - (to be continued to September)
- I. Amendment of Chapters 36 (Interim Single Family Review System) and 20 (Land Coverage) of the Code of Ordinances to Provide for Review of Certain Residential Parcels in Tyrolian Village, Washoe County - (to be continued to September)

IX APPEALS

- A. Vitto, Reconsideration of Appeal of Staff Denial of Single Family Residential Foundation Exemption, APN 05-150-08, Douglas County

X PLANNING MATTERS

- A. Governing Board Authorization for Chairman to Sign South Tahoe Airport Master Plan Scenarios and Assumptions

XI ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

- A. Assignment of Board Members to the Finance and Rules Committees in Compliance With Rules of Procedure
- B. Appointment of Nevada Lay Member to the APC

XII REPORTS

- A. Finance Committee Report and Board Action on Recommendations
 - 1. Receipt of the July Financial Statement
 - 2. Budget Requests for Fiscal Years 1989-90 and 1990-91
- B. Legal Committee Report and Board Action on Recommendations
 - 1. City of South Lake Tahoe Redevelopment Plan Litigation
 - 2. Appeal of Superior Court Decision in Bruce King, et al. v. TRPA, El Dorado, Case No. SLT-1587
 - 3. Proposed Settlement of TRPA v. Berg, El Dorado Superior Court

C. Retirement Committee Report and Board Action on Recommendations

1. Designation of New Employee Representative to Retirement Committee

2. Designation of Trust Account Signatories

D. Legislation Committee Report and Board Action on Amendment of Bistate Compact Relating to Makeup of Nevada Delegation on TRPA Governing Board

E. Executive Director

1. Status Report on Projects Reviewed at Staff Level and on Emergency Permits Issued by Executive Director

2. Status Report on Code Interpretations Made by Executive Director

3. Status Report on Progress Under the Individual Parcel Evaluation System

4. Status Report on Tahoe Keys Transfer of Coverage Program

5. Other

F. Agency Counsel

G. Governing Board Members

XIII PUBLIC INTEREST COMMENTS

XIV ADJOURNMENT

CONSENT CALENDAR

<u>Item</u>	<u>Recommended Action</u>
1. Fred Damavandi/Spenella, New Single Use Residential Pier, 5754 North Lake Blvd., APN 116-080-04, Placer County	Approval With Findings and Conditions
2. Approval of Resolution Allocating Local Transportation Funds to El Dorado County	Approval
Successful Land Capability Challenges:	Approval With Findings
3. Lapkin, APN 131-224-12, Incline Village, Washoe County	

4. Peterson, APN 131-223-05, Incline Village, Washoe County
5. Fallgatter, APN 33-415-07, 791 Kiowa, El Dorado County
6. Sykora, APN 28-311-32, Tahoe Tyrol, El Dorado County

These consent calendar items are expected to be routine and noncontroversial. They will be acted upon by the Governing Board at one time without discussion. If any Governing Board member or noticed affected property owner requests that an item be removed from the consent calendar, it will be taken up separately under the appropriate agenda category.

Four of the members of the governing body from each State constitute a quorum for the transaction of the business of the agency. The voting procedure shall be as follows:

(1) For adopting, amending or repealing environmental threshold carrying capacities, the regional plan, and ordinances, rules and regulations, and for granting variances from the ordinances, rules and regulations, the vote of at least four of the members of each State agreeing with the vote of at least four members of the other State shall be required to take action. If there is no vote of at least four of the members from one State agreeing with the vote of at least four of the members of the other State on the actions specified in this paragraph, an action of rejection shall be deemed to have been taken.

(2) For approving a project, the affirmative vote of at least five members from the State in which the project is located and the affirmative vote of at least nine members of the governing body are required. If at least five members of the governing body from the State in which the project is located and at least nine members of the entire governing body do not vote in favor of the project, upon a motion for approval, an action of rejection shall be deemed to have been taken. A decision by the agency to approve a project shall be supported by a statement of findings, adopted by the agency, which indicates that the project complies with the regional plan and with applicable ordinances, rules and regulations of the agency.

(3) For routine business and for directing the agency's staff on litigation and enforcement actions, at least eight members of the governing body must agree to take action. If at least eight votes in favor of such action are not cast, an action of rejection shall be deemed to have been taken.

TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY
STAFF SUMMARY

Project Name: Damavandi/Spenella, New Single Use Residential Pier

Application Type: Shorezone

Applicant: Fred Damavandi

Applicant Representative: James Spenella

Location: 5754 North Lake Blvd., Agate Bay (near Flick Point)

Assessor's Parcel Number/Project Number: 116-080-04 (Placer County)

Project Description: The applicant proposes to construct a new 75 foot long, 10 foot wide, single use residential pier and low level boat lift for this parcel in Agate Bay. The pier's deck is to be composed of redwood or cedar, and pilings are to be of 10 and 3/4 inch steel posts. The pier design calls for a three foot by 45 foot catwalk on the north side, and a 30 foot section of stairs and landings made of steel grating landward of the highwater line.

Site Description: This section of foreshore is mostly sandy with scattered boulders and cobble. There are approximately 20 other piers within 500 feet of this property. There is a single family dwelling on this lot which received an approval for an addition by TRPA on July 5, 1988. State Route 28 borders the eastern side of this lot. The existing shoreline is moderately well vegetated and stable.

Review Per Code:

Chapter 4 - Project Review and Exempt Activities	Chapter 51 - Permissible Uses in Shorezone
Chapter 5 - Environmental Documentation	Chapter 53 - Shorezone Tolerance Districts and Development
Chapter 6 - Findings Required	Chapter 54 - Development Standards Lakeward of High Water
Chapter 13 - Plan Area Statements	Chapter 55 - Development Standards in Backshore
Chapter 18 - Permissible Uses	Chapter 56 - Mitigation Fee Requirements
Chapter 20 - Land Coverage Standards	Chapter 65 - Vegetation Protection
Chapter 25 - Best Management Practice Requirements	Chapter 77 - Revegetation
Chapter 30 - Design Standards	Chapter 79 - Fish Resources
Chapter 38 - Tracking, Accounting and Banking	Chapter 81 - Water Quality Mitigation
Chapter 50 - Projects in Shorezone and Lakezone	

LB:cs
8/11/88

CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM 1

Staff Analysis:

A. Environmental Documentation: The applicant has completed an Initial Environmental Checklist (IEC) in order to assess the potential environmental impacts of the project. No significant environmental impacts were identified and staff has concluded that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the completed IEC will be made available at the Governing Board hearing and at TRPA. A sample IEC is attached to each Governing Board packet for reference.

B. Plan Area Statement: The project is located within Plan Area Statement 018, Flick Point/Agate Bay. The Land Use Classification is Residential and the Management Strategy is Mitigation. Agency staff has reviewed the subject Plan Area Statement and has identified the following items (underlined) as being applicable to the project. Following each item is a brief statement addressing consistency.

1. Planning Statement:

This area should continue to be residential, maintaining the existing character. This proposal does not change the residential character of the neighborhood.

2. Planning Considerations:

The prime fish habitat in Lake Tahoe is tentatively identified for habitat restoration. TRPA staff has determined that this section of shoreline is not prime fish habitat.

Shorezone erosion is evident. This section of shorezone is moderately well vegetated and relatively stable. BMPs contained in special conditions 2.c, 2.e, 2.f, 2.g, 2.l, and 2.p are designed to reduce erosion.

Scenic Roadway Units 18 and 19 and Scenic Shoreline Unit 20 are within this Plan Area, and Roadway Unit 19 is identified for scenic restoration. Scenic Roadway Unit 19 fronts this property. TRPA staff has performed a visual analysis for this pier and has determined that this pier will not lower the numerical ratings for scenic quality as viewed from the highway or the lake.

C. Land Capability District/Land Coverage:

1. Land Capability District: The land capability of the project area is class 1. The total project area above high water line is approximately 10,960 square feet.

2. Existing Coverage:

Buildings/Decks	2,030 square feet
Pavement	315 square feet
Other	<u>36 square feet</u>
Total	2,381 square feet (21%)

3. Proposed Coverage: No change in the amount of coverage (2,381 square feet).

4. Allowed Coverage: 110 square feet (1%).

The subject property is currently in excess of TRPA's land coverage allowances when Sections 20.3.A and 55.3 of the TRPA Code are applied to this project (allowable land coverage in land capability district 1a and the backshore). Section 55.3 allows a base land coverage of one percent within the backshore area. This allowable coverage figure can be exceeded, however, to allow construction in this area for access to the pier provided the following standards are implemented (pursuant to Section 55.4.A):

1. Application of BMPs.
2. Restoration in accordance with Subsection 20.4.C of land in the backshore in the amount of 1.5 times the area of land in the backshore covered or disturbed for the project beyond that required in Section 55.3.

The applicants have proposed to construct a ten foot wide closed mesh galvanized steel grate walkway between the existing house and the proposed pier at the highwater line. This structure would not be considered as land coverage pursuant to the definition of land coverage in Chapter 2 of the TRPA Code provided it permits at least 75 percent of normal precipitation to directly reach the ground and permits growth of vegetation on the approved species list. The proposed structure would require at least six footings to be constructed to support the walkway which will be counted as new land coverage. In addition, the applicants have proposed to place gravel beneath this structure which may inhibit vegetation growth.

Staff feels that it is appropriate to not count the grate structure as land coverage for this site provided the overall width is reduced to five feet and gravel is not placed under the walkway so as to inhibit vegetative growth. All new footings have been counted as land coverage and the land coverage associated with this walkway will be relocated from another portion of this lot. Overall land coverage on this property will not exceed the current amount.

5. Coverage Mitigation: Based on the above coverage figures the existing project area contains approximately 20 percent excess coverage (25 square feet of excess land coverage was mitigated in a prior TRPA permit). In order to mitigate the existing excess coverage pursuant to Section 20.5 of the TRPA Code of Ordinances the applicant shall be required to pay a mitigation fee of \$240 or reduce 48 square feet of existing coverage based on a project construction cost of \$24,000.
- D. Structure Height: The proposed pier deck elevation is 6,229 feet (Lake Tahoe datum). Section 54.4.B(2) of the Code limits pier deck elevations to elevation 6,232 or less.
- E. Backshore Stability and Water Quality Improvements: This section of shoreline is relatively well vegetated and stable. Existing vegetation should not be disturbed and unvegetated areas should be stabilized with new willows, cottonwoods, rock rip-rap, or a combination of each.
- F. Shorezone Tolerance Districts: This project is located within Shorezone Tolerance District 6. The project, as conditioned, complies with the shorezone tolerance district standards.
- G. Scenic: This site is located within Scenic Shorezone Unit 20 and Scenic Roadway Unit 19. Scenic Shorezone Unit 20 is an attainment unit for scenic quality. Scenic Roadway Unit 19 is a non-attainment unit, due mostly to existing development between the highway and Lake Tahoe. TRPA staff has completed a scenic analysis for this pier and has determined that, provided all the design standards of the TRPA Code are met, this pier will not decrease the numerical ratings for the subject scenic roadway or shorezone unit ratings. This pier will be mostly screened from view from Roadway Unit 19 by existing buildings.
- H. Location Standards for Piers: This proposal conforms to the location standards found in Section 54.4.A(5) of the TRPA Code and is designed within the limits established for maximum pier length (TRPA pierhead line).
- I. Required Findings: The following is a list of the required findings as set forth in Chapters 6 and 50 of the TRPA code of Ordinances. Following each finding, Agency staff has briefly summarized the evidence on which the required finding may be made.
1. The project is consistent with and will not adversely affect implementation of the Regional Plan, including all applicable Goals and Policies, Plan Area Statements and Maps, the Code and other TRPA plans and programs.
- a. Land Use: This structure will not affect the allowed land use on this site. Residential piers are allowed structures in this Plan Area.

- b. Transportation: This new pier will not adversely impact transportation in the Lake Tahoe Basin. Piers constructed within the limits of the TRPA pierhead line have been determined not to pose a threat to lake navigation.
 - c. Conservation: The applicant will be required to mitigate the existing excess land coverage, and to apply BMPs to the project area. The pier location, color, and design will not decrease the shoreline or roadway scenic ratings.
 - d. Recreation: This project will not adversely impact public recreation in the Lake Tahoe Basin.
 - e. Public Services and Facilities: This project does not affect public services or facilities in the Lake Tahoe Basin.
 - f. Implementation: This project does not affect the Implementation Element of the Goals and Policies.
2. The project will not cause the environmental threshold carrying capacities to be exceeded.

The basis for which this finding can be made is provided on the checklist entitled "Checklist: Article V(g) Findings" in accordance with Chapter 6, Subsection 6.3.B of the TRPA Code of Ordinances. All responses contained on said checklist indicate compliance with the environmental threshold carrying capacities. A copy of the completed checklist will be made available at the Governing Board hearing and at TRPA. A sample checklist is attached to each Governing Board hearing packet for reference.

3. Wherever Federal, State, or local air and water quality standards applicable for the Region, whichever are strictest, must be attained and maintained pursuant to Article V(d) of the TRPA Compact, the project meets or exceeds such standards.

The basis for which this finding can be made is provided in the checklist entitled "Checklist: Article V(g) Findings" in accordance with Chapter 6, Subsection 6.3.B of the TRPA Code of Ordinances. All responses contained on said checklist indicate compliance with the environmental threshold carrying capacities. A copy of the completed checklist will be made available at the Governing Board hearing and at TRPA. A sample checklist is attached to each Governing Board hearing packet for reference.

4. This project will not adversely impact: (1) littoral processes, (3) fish spawning, (3) backshore stability, and (4) on-shore wildlife habitat, including wildfowl nesting areas.

This pier will be more than 90 percent open to the water and should not impact littoral processes. This section of shoreline has not been identified as fish spawning or on-shore wildlife habitat. Backshore stability will improve with installation of BMPs.

5. There are sufficient accessory facilities to accommodate the project.

The project is an accessory structure to an allowed use and the primary use facilities are capable of accommodating the use from the project.

6. The project is compatible with existing shorezone and lakezone uses or structures on, or in the immediate vicinity of, the littoral parcel; or that modifications of such existing uses or structures will be undertaken to assure compatibility.

This project is a compatible accessory use to an allowed use and is compatible with other accessory uses (piers) in the vicinity.

7. The use proposed in the foreshore or nearshore is water-dependent.

This pier is located in the foreshore of Lake Tahoe and is water-dependent.

8. Measures will be taken to prevent spills or discharges of hazardous materials.

This approval prohibits the use of wood preservatives on wood in contact with the water. Storage of hazardous materials will not be allowed on the pier. Spray painting and the use of tributyltin (TBT) will be prohibited.

9. Construction and access techniques will be used to minimize disturbance to ground and vegetation.

The applicant shall be required to not store construction materials in the backshore.

10. The project will not adversely impact navigation or create a threat to public safety as determined by those agencies with jurisdiction over a lake's navigable waters.

The pier will not extend beyond TRPA's pierhead line and will not impact navigation on Lake Tahoe, or create a threat to public safety. This project must also be reviewed by Placer County, the California State Lands Commission, the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and California Fish and Game. These agencies typically make their own public safety findings in addition to TRPA's.

11. TRPA has solicited comments from those public agencies having jurisdiction over the nearshore and foreshore and all such comments received were considered by TRPA prior to action being taken on this project.

Staff has incorporated several water quality conditions recommended by the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board for similar pier proposals into the TRPA permit. This project must receive approval from the California State Lands Commission, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, California Fish and Game and Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board prior to TRPA acknowledgement of the permit. Comments from the above agencies were solicited as part of the review of this project.

Required Actions and Findings: Agency staff recommends that, the Governing Board approve the project by making the following motions and findings:

- I. A motion, based upon the staff summary, for a finding of no significant environmental effect with direction to staff to prepare the necessary certification documents to be included with the permit and for the findings contained in Section I, above, of this staff summary.
- II. A motion to approve the project, based upon the staff summary, subject to the following conditions:
 1. The Standard Conditions of Approval listed in Attachment S.
 2. The following special conditions:
 - a. The applicant shall either pay an excess coverage mitigation fee of \$240 or reduce 48 square feet of existing land coverage (within the same hydrologic area) based on an estimated construction cost of \$24,000 prior to commencement of construction. Upon completion of final construction plans, the applicant shall provide a final construction cost estimate and if different from the above estimate the required mitigation fee or coverage reduction shall be adjusted accordingly.
 - b. Any normal construction activities creating noise in excess of the TRPA noise standards shall be considered exempt from said standards provided all such work is conducted between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 6:30 p.m.
 - c. All required water quality improvements for the single family dwelling addition approved by TRPA on July 5, 1988 for this property are hereby incorporated into and made part of this permit.

- d. The use of wood preservatives on wood in contact with the water is prohibited. Extreme care shall be taken to insure that wood preservatives are not introduced into Lake Tahoe. Spray painting and the use of tributyltin (TBT) will be prohibited.
- e. Disturbance of the lake bed materials shall be kept to the minimum necessary for project construction.
- f. Best practical control technology shall be employed to prevent earthen materials to be resuspended as a result of pier construction and from being transported to adjacent lake waters. The applicant shall install a turbidity screen around the entire construction site (in the water) prior to construction. This screen may be removed upon project completion only upon a satisfactory inspection by TRPA to insure that all suspended materials have settled.
- g. The discharge of petroleum products, construction waste, and litter (including sawdust), or earthen materials to the surface waters of the Lake Tahoe Basin is prohibited. All surplus construction waste materials shall be removed from the project site and deposited only at legal points of disposal.
- h. In no case shall the width of this pier be greater than 10 feet, except that portion of the pier with a catwalk. The catwalk shall not exceed three feet in width.
- i. Final construction drawings shall conform to all the applicable design standards of Section 54.4.B, TRPA Code of Ordinances and all other applicable TRPA design standards.
- j. The applicant shall submit a new pier mitigation fee of \$2,250 for the construction of 75 feet of new pier.
- k. The applicant shall submit a construction schedule prior to commencement of construction. This schedule shall identify dates for the following:
 1. When installation of temporary erosion control structures and turbidity screens will occur.
 2. When construction will commence.
 3. When construction slash and debris will be removed.
 4. When installation of all permanent erosion control structures will occur.
 5. When construction will be completed.