

**TRPA
APC
PACKETS**

**FEBRUARY
1990**

*APC
February 1990*

TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY
ADVISORY PLANNING COMMISSION

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Advisory Planning Commission of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency will conduct its regular meeting at 9:30 a.m. on February 14, 1990, at the TRPA office, 195 U.S. Highway 50, Zephyr Cove, Round Hill, Nevada. The agenda for said meeting is attached hereto and made a part of this notice.

February 1, 1990

By: *David S. Ziegler*
David S. Ziegler
Executive Director

This agenda has been posted at the TRPA office and at the following post offices: Zephyr Cove and Stateline, Nevada, and Al Tahoe and Tahoe Valley, California.

TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY
ADVISORY PLANNING COMMISSION

TRPA Office, 195 U.S. Highway 50
Zephyr Cove, Round Hill, Nevada

February 14, 1990 9:30 a.m.

All items on this agenda are action items unless otherwise noted.

AGENDA

- I CALL TO ORDER AND DETERMINATION OF QUORUM
- II APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- III DISPOSITION OF MINUTES
- IV PUBLIC HEARING AND RECOMMENDATION
 - A. Amendment of Chapter 4 (Project Review and Exempt Activities) to Adopt Memoranda of Understanding Between TRPA and Caltrans and TRPA and the Nevada Department of Transportation (to be continued to March)
 - B. Amendment of Plan Area Statement 102, Tahoe Keys, Special Area #1 to Expand Permissible Commercial and Public Service Uses and to Eliminate Neighborhood-Serving Restriction
 - C. Amendment of Chapter 4, Appendix A, Projects Requiring Governing Board Review
 - D. Authorization for the Executive Director to Enter Into Memoranda of Understanding With the City of South Lake Tahoe to Exempt Sign Activities from TRPA Review
 - E. Amendments Relative to Recreation Uses and Resource Management Uses to Change Special Uses to Allowed Uses in Plan Area Statements Containing Forest Service Land
 - F. Amendment of Plan Area Statement 98, Bijou/Al Tahoe, Special Area #1, to Realign the Boundary Between the South Stateline Hydrologic Area and the Upper Truckee Hydrologic Area
 - G. Amendment of Chapter 22 to Modify Method by Which Additional Height for Certain Public Service and Tourist Accommodation Buildings Is Calculated
 - H. Amendment of Chapter 18 (Permissible Uses) Regarding Regional Public Health and Safety Facilities
 - I. Amendment of Chapter 81 (Water Quality Control) Relative to Hazardous Waste and Toxic Spills

V REPORTS (No Action)

A. Executive Director

B. Legal Counsel

C. APC Members

D. Public Interest Comments

VI PENDING MATTERS

VII ADJOURNMENT

TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY

195 U.S. Highway 50
Round Hill, Zephyr Cove, NV

P.O. Box 1038
Zephyr Cove, Nevada 89448-1038

(702) 588-4547
Fax (702) 588-4527

MEMORANDUM

February 6, 1990

To: Advisory Planning Commission

From: TRPA Staff

Subject: Amendment of Plan Area Statement 102, Tahoe Keys, Special Area #1 to Expand Permissible Commercial and Public Service Uses and to Eliminate Neighborhood-Serving Restriction

This item is being continued to the March meeting in order to conduct further analysis.

2/6/90

AGENDA ITEM IV B.

TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY

195 U.S. Highway 50
Round Hill, Zephyr Cove, NV

P.O. Box 1038
Zephyr Cove, Nevada 89448-1038

(702) 588-4547
Fax (702) 588-4527

MEMORANDUM

December 29, 1989

To: Advisory Planning Commission

From: TRPA Staff

Subject: Amendment of Appendix A and Subsection 4.10.B of Chapter 4 (Project Review and Exempt Activities) of the TRPA Code

BACKGROUND: This is a follow-up to the staff proposal to amend Chapter 4 which was presented at the August 1989 APC meeting. At the August meeting, the League to Save Lake Tahoe and others expressed concerns about the proposed amendments, especially with regard to the need for public notice and hearings on certain items. Since that meeting, staff has redrafted the proposal and met with the affected interest groups. In redrafting the proposed ordinance language, the staff considered the following items:

- The size and scale of the project,
- The required project findings,
- The amount of controversy related to the type of project,
- The staff review requirements for such projects, and
- The past three years of experience processing such projects.

TRPA staff is currently working to reduce the processing time for projects. The first attempt to reduce the backlog, in 1988, was to hire seasonal workers, which helped but did not provide a permanent solution. In 1989 four additional full-time planners were added to the project review staff. There has been a significant increase in production and the backlog has been reduced but, due to a continued large number of project applications received during the winter months, there is still a backlog problem. In addition, a significant amount of overtime was required to reduce the backlog. Therefore, staff is now considering other ways to solve the backlog problem. Some of the ideas are:

- Reduce the number of projects that are reviewed by the Governing Board,
- Reassess the type of activities reviewed by TRPA,
- Streamline the project review process,
- Obtain assistance from other sources.

JW/smc
12/29/89

AGENDA ITEM IV C.

Memorandum to Advisory Planning Commission
Amendment of Chapter 4, Appendix A, Projects
Requiring Governing Board Review -- Page 2

PROPOSED ACTION: TRPA staff is proposing to amend Appendix A and Subsection 4.10.B of Chapter 4 of the Code to reduce the number of projects which require TRPA Governing Board Review. The proposed amendments and rationales are set forth in Attachment A.

CONSISTENCY WITH REGIONAL PLAN DOCUMENTS: The proposed action is consistent with the Compact and the Goals and Policies since they are silent as to who at TRPA must review what projects. For the same reason the action is generally consistent with the Code of Ordinances and Rules of Procedure.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS: Based on the completion of an Initial Environmental Checklist, the proposed action should have no environmental effect because it in no way changes the applicable standards that apply to projects.

REQUIRED FINDINGS: Prior to adopting the subject amendments, the Governing Board must make the following findings.

A. Chapter 6 of the Code requires the following findings to be made. Brief rationales are included for each finding.

1. The project is consistent with, and will not adversely affect implementation of the Regional Plan, including all applicable Goals and Policies, Plan Area Statements and Maps, the Code and other TRPA plans and programs.

Rationale: The amendment is administrative and is being proposed to help facilitate the implementation of one of the features of the Regional Plan. As discussed above, it is consistent with the Regional Plan package.

2. The project will not cause the environmental thresholds to be exceeded.

Rationale: Based on the IEC, there should be no significant adverse environmental impact. This matter is merely an administrative procedure.

3. Wherever federal, state and local air and water quality standards applicable to the Region, whichever are stricter, must be attained and maintained pursuant to Article V(d) of the Compact, the project meets or exceeds such standards.

Rationale: The purpose of the amendments is to simplify the procedures process projects.

Memorandum to Advisory Planning Commission
Amendment of Chapter 4, Appendix A, Projects
Requiring Governing Board Review -- Page 3

4. The Regional Plan and all its elements, as implemented through the Code, Rules and other TRPA plans and programs, as amended, achieves and maintains the thresholds.

Rationale: See rationale for 1, 2 and 3 above.

- B. Ordinance 87-8 requires that the following findings from Section 2.40 of Ordinance 87-8 be made. Brief rationales are included.

1. The amendment is consistent with the Compact and the attainment or maintenance of the thresholds.

Rationale: The purpose of the amendments is to facilitate the project review operations of TRPA.

2. The provision to be amended has been shown through experience to be counter-productive or ineffective and the amendment is designed to correct the demonstrated problem and is an equal or better means of implementing the Regional Plan Package and complying with the Compact.

Rationale: The proposed amendments are administrative in nature and will help facilitate project review procedures both for TRPA and the general public.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends that the APC recommend the proposed action to the Governing Board.

ATTACHMENT A

CHAPTER 4 - APPENDIX A
REVISIONS

PROJECTS AND MATTERS TO BE REVIEWED BY GOVERNING BOARD

Change title to:

PROJECTS AND MATTERS TO BE APPROVED BY GOVERNING BOARD

Rationale:

Currently all projects listed in Appendix A are required to be reviewed by the Governing Board whether approvable under the Code or not. Some examples would be projects proposing to create uses which are prohibited in the subject plan area statements, e.g., a commercial use in a residential plan area, or projects proposing more land coverage that can be approved under the Code. Projects which are clearly unapprovable under the Code should be denied at the staff level and not require Governing Board action. The applicant always has the ability to appeal staff's denial to the Governing Board.

I. GENERAL

26. Additional height for structures (except for single family home: cross-slope and pitch) (Chapter 22)

Change to:

Additional height for structures, except for single family homes: cross-slope and pitch, and modifications to any existing roof structures consistent with Table A (Chapter 22)

Rationale:

Additional height, as defined in Chapter 20, is any building height in excess of 26 feet. The current language excepts single family homes, but does not except any other categories of buildings that have been designed to comply with Table A, but are over 26 feet in height, e.g., roof modifications on existing commercial or public service buildings to comply with building code requirements, etc. The required findings for buildings other than single family dwellings are essentially the same as for single family dwellings.

II.

3. Multi-residential except for secondary residences.

Change to:

Multi-residential greater than four units.

Rationale:

Several jurisdictions are emphasizing multi-residential housing with their allotment of 1990 allocations. The subject plan area statements establish whether or not multi-residential housing is an allowed, special or prohibited use as well as the maximum allowable density. In addition, the TRPA Code establishes set requirements for coverage, height, parking, air and water quality mitigation and BMPs that each project must comply with. Staff level processing would greatly expedite the review process to allow initiation of construction during the 1990 building season. Housing advocates have requested TRPA to facilitate the construction of multi-residential projects in the basin. Projects listed as a special use will continue to be reviewed by the Governing Board.

V. PUBLIC SERVICE PROJECTS (new or transfer) INVOLVING:

1. New facilities (1,000 sq. ft. floor area or land coverage)

Change to:

New facilities (over 1,000 sq. ft. of floor area or 3,000 sq. ft. of land coverage), except linear public facilities, driveways and parking facilities, involving over 1,000 sq. ft. of additional land coverage

Rationale:

The current language requires all public service projects proposing in excess of 1,000 square feet of additional land coverage to be reviewed by the Board. An example would be a project involving an additional deck or patio and related walkways for an existing public service facility proposing over 1,000 square feet of coverage on high capability land and within allowable coverage. The 1,000 square foot threshold for coverage should be changed to a minimum of 5,000 square feet to allow staff to review these less significant projects.

VI. RECREATION PROJECTS (new or transfer) INVOLVING:

1. New facilities (1,000 sq. ft. floor area or land coverage)

Change to:

New facilities (over 1,000 sq. ft. of floor area or 3,000 sq. ft. of land coverage), except streets, driveways and parking facilities, involving over 1,000 sq. ft. of additional land coverage

Rationale:

Same as for Public Service projects, above.

Subsection 4.10.B Amendment:

Governing Board Action: The Governing Board shall review and take final action on the categories of projects and matters listed in Appendix A or as otherwise required by law. The Governing Board hereby delegates to the Executive Director review and final action on the projects and matters not listed in Appendix A.

Change to:

Governing Board Actions: Categories of projects and matters listed in Appendix A or as otherwise required by law shall require Governing Board approval. The Governing Board hereby delegates to the Executive Director review and final action on the projects and matters not listed in Appendix A requiring Governing Board approval.

Rationale:

This language requires modification to maintain consistency with the proposed Appendix A title change discussed above.

TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY

195 U.S. Highway 50
Round Hill, Zephyr Cove, NV

P.O. Box 1038
Zephyr Cove, Nevada 89448-1038

(702) 588-4547
Fax (702) 588-4527

MEMORANDUM

February 2, 1990

To: Advisory Planning Commission

From: TRPA Staff

Subject: Authorization for the Executive Director to Enter Into Memoranda of Understanding With the City of South Lake Tahoe to Exempt Sign Activities from TRPA Review

Proposed Action: The City of South Lake Tahoe (City) has requested to enter into an MOU in order to exempt sign activities from TRPA review and take over responsibility for administering Chapter 26. The draft MOU is attached as Attachment A. This is the first local government to propose an MOU, and staff is seeking APC comments regarding the MOU.

Background: TRPA's Scenic Resources Management Plan which was adopted in September, 1989, gave local governments the ability to implement Chapter 26, Signs, pursuant to a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with TRPA. This is provided for in Subparagraph 4.2.D(14) of the Code.

Discussion: Currently sign applications in the City are subject to review by both City and TRPA staffs. The ability for local governments to implement Chapter 26 and issue sign permits without TRPA review and approval was an important component of the scenic plan that will streamline the review process and return sign review to local government. TRPA and the City would conduct joint review of exception requests for existing signs as provided in Subparagraph 26.12.C(10) of the TRPA Code.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the APC recommend the Governing Board authorize the Executive Director to enter into an MOU with the City of South Lake Tahoe to exempt sign activities within the City from TRPA review.

Please contact Andrew Strain if you have any questions or comments.

AS:rdh
2/2/90

AGENDA ITEM IV.D

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE
CITY OF SOUTH LAKE TAHOE AND THE
TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY BY REGARDING LOCAL
REGULATION OF SIGNAGE AND RELATED ACTIVITIES

This memorandum of understanding is entered into this _____ day of _____, 1990 by and between the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA), as authorized by its Governing Board, and the City of South Lake Tahoe (City), as authorized by its City Council. Signature shall be by the City Manager and Executive Director of those two agencies, respectively.

This memorandum of understanding sets forth the responsibilities of the parties with regard to certain aspects of the regulation of signage and related activities, in accordance with the desire of the parties to act in concert, where possible, to provide a regulatory structure which is consistent with the most efficient possible use of public resources.

RECITALS

- A. TRPA is required by an interstate Compact (P.L. 96-551, 94 Stat. 3233, Cal Govt Code 66801; NRS 277.200) to regulate activities within the Tahoe Basin which may have a substantial effect on the natural resources of the Basin. The bistate Compact specifically requires TRPA to regulate signage, but further provides that TRPA shall engage in joint regulatory activities with local government wherever feasible.
- B. Given the existing comprehensive regulatory structure of signage and related activities maintained by City, and consistent with the mandate of the Compact to defer land use regulation to local government wherever feasible, City and TRPA agree that City shall accomplish the review of signage and related activities within the City in accordance with Subparagraph 4.2.D(14) of the TRPA Code. A copy of the applicable TRPA ordinances is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein as if fully set forth.

IT IS NOW THEREFORE UNDERSTOOD AND AGREED BY THE PARTIES THAT:

- 1. Signage reviewed and approved by City, consistent with Chapter 26 shall be exempt from TRPA review except as set forth in paragraph 2 below. All applications for signage and related activities will be reviewed by City through its normal and customary review process. In the event City is not able to determine whether or not the application complies with the applicable ordinances, the City shall consult TRPA consistent with provisions established for that purpose by the City Manager or his designee and the Executive Director or his designee.

ATTACHMENT A

2. The City and TRPA shall jointly review all requests for exemption or exception from any provision of Chapter 26 under the procedures established for joint review of disputed applications by the City Manager or his designee and the Executive Director or his designee.
3. Nothing in this MOU shall be construed to limit the authority of City to administer state or local regulations or to impose reasonable conditions of approval on any application. Further, nothing in this MOU shall be deemed to limit the police and enforcement powers of either City or TRPA.
4. The City and TRPA staff shall review quarterly the implementation of this MOU and shall report to their respective governing boards as appropriate following such reviews.
5. This MOU shall continue until either (a) a local sign ordinance is adopted by TRPA pursuant to the regulations authorizing such certifications, or (b) 60 days written notice of termination is given by either party. Both parties hereby agree to cooperate in good faith to adopt a local City sign ordinance at the earliest practicable date so this agreement may be terminated.

CITY OF SOUTH LAKE TAHOE

Kerry Miller, City Manager
City of South Lake Tahoe

Dated: _____

TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY

David S. Ziegler, Executive Director
Tahoe Regional Planning Agency

Dated: _____

TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY

195 U.S. Highway 50
Round Hill, Zephyr Cove, NV

P.O. Box 1038
Zephyr Cove, Nevada 89448-1038

(702) 588-4547
Fax (702) 588-4527

MEMORANDUM

February 2, 1990

To: Advisory Planning Commission

From: TRPA Staff

Subject: Plan Area Statement Amendments Relative to Recreation Uses and Resource Management Uses to Change Special Uses to Allowed Uses in Plan Area Statements Containing Forest Service Land

Proposed Action: The U.S. Forest Service has requested that TRPA amend its Plan Area Statements which contain lands managed by the Forest Service. The amendments would change several recreation and resource management uses from special uses to allowed uses, and would add certain resource management activities as allowed uses to specific plan areas.

The amendments would provide greater internal consistency between the U.S. Forest Service Land Management Plan and TRPA's Regional Plan Package. They would also complement the recently adopted memorandum of understanding (MOU) between TRPA and the Forest Service. Without the proposed amendments, many Forest Service resource management activities would require TRPA Governing Board review and approval due to the special use designation.

Background: This item was continued from the December, 1989 meeting at the direction of the APC in order to give local governments and community planning teams the opportunity to review and provide input on the amendments. In January, 1990 staff circulated a revised list of amendments (Attachment A) to local governments and community planning teams (listed in Attachment B) requesting their input. No comments have been received. Staff will update the APC on any additional comments at the meeting.

Environmental Documentation: Staff has completed an Initial Environmental Checklist for this project, and proposes a Finding of No Significant Effect (FONSE), based on the following:

AS:rdh
2/2/90

AGENDA ITEM IV.E

Amendments Relative to Recreation Uses and Resource
Management Uses to Change Special Uses to Allowed Uses
in Plan Area Statements Containing Forest Service Land
Page 2

The proposed amendments will, in most cases, simply remove the requirement for special use findings and Governing Board approval for projects proposed in the identified plan areas. The recreation use amendments apply to plan areas which are classified primarily for recreation land uses. Riding and hiking trails are generally the least-impacting of recreation uses.

The resource management uses are generally intended to benefit and provide environmental improvements. Several of the resource management uses will actually serve as preventative treatments to protect environmental quality.

Consistency with TRPA Regional Plan Documents: The proposed amendments are generally consistent with the Regional Plan documents. The recreation use amendments will facilitate the future development and use of outdoor recreation activities. This is a stated goal of both agencies.

The resource management amendments will ensure consistency between the Plan Area Statements and the recently adopted TRPA-USFS MOU. The MOU provides the Forest Service the opportunity to conduct vegetation management, forest protection, erosion control, watershed restoration, and fish and wildlife habitat management activities on national forest lands without individual project approval from TRPA. The amendments will facilitate these activities through the MOU.

Required Findings: Prior to amending the Regional Plan, the following findings must be made pursuant to Chapter 6. Brief rationales on which the findings may be based are included.

1. Finding: The project is consistent with, and will not adversely affect implementation of the Regional Plan, including all applicable Goals and Policies, plan area statements and maps, the Code, and other TRPA plans and programs.

Rationale:

A. Recreation Uses

With respect to recreation uses on national forest land, the amendments will help implement the following recreational goals of the Regional Plan:

DISPERSED RECREATION GOAL #1. ENCOURAGE OPPORTUNITIES FOR DISPERSED RECREATION WHEN CONSISTENT WITH ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES AND PROTECTION OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES.

Policy 3. Trail systems for hiking and horseback riding shall be expanded to accommodate projected demands and provide a link with major regional or interstate trails.

GOAL #2. PROVIDE HIGH-QUALITY RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES

Policy 1. Wilderness and other undeveloped and unroaded areas shall be managed for low density use.

The recreation uses being considered are currently allowed as either allowed or special uses in plan areas containing national forest land without a clear reason for the difference. The amendments would make consistent the intent of the Goals and Policies with the appropriate plan area statements.

B. Resource Management Uses

The amendments will improve implementation of the Regional Plan by making the appropriate plan area statements consistent with the intent of the TRPA-USFS MOU regarding exempt resource management activities.

2. Finding: The project will not cause the environmental thresholds to be exceeded.

Rationale: Based on the completion of the Article V(g) checklist, the amendments will not cause applicable environmental thresholds to be exceeded. The resource management amendments are expected to assist in the attainment and maintenance of several environmental thresholds, including uncommon plant communities, sensitive plants, wildlife special interest species, fisheries, and vegetation presentation.

3. Finding: Wherever federal, state and local air and water quality standards applicable for the Region, whichever are strictest, must be attained and maintained pursuant to Article V(d) of the Compact, the project meets or exceeds such standards.

Rationale: For the reasons stated in Finding 2 above, the amendment will not cause the air or water quality standards to be exceeded.

4. Finding: The Regional Plan, as amended, achieves and maintains the Thresholds.

Amendments Relative to Recreation Uses and Resource
Management Uses to Change Special Uses to Allowed Uses
in Plan Area Statements Containing Forest Service Land
Page 4

Rationale: For the reasons stated in Findings 1 and 2 above, the Regional Plan will continue to achieve and maintain the threshold.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the APC recommend to the Governing Board adoption of the proposed amendments.

Please contact Andrew Strain at (702) 588-4547 if you have any questions or comments on this item.

2/2/90

AGENDA ITEM IV.E

REVISED JANUARY, 1990

PROPOSED U.S. FOREST SERVICE CHANGES IN TRPA PLAN AREA STATEMENTS

UNDERLINED LANGUAGE IS TO BE ADDED, LINED OUT LANGUAGE IS TO BE DELETED

<u>Recreation Uses</u>	<u>Affected Plan Area Statements</u>
1. Change riding and hiking trails from Special to Allowed use	068, 070B, 118, 121, 144A, 144B, 146, and 150
<u>Rationale:</u> Trails are a permissible use on all plan areas. Since trails are a common means of providing access to national forest land and recreation on the land, they should be shown as allowed. Currently there is a mixture of allowed and special use without any clear reason for the difference.	
2. Change Developed Campgrounds from Special to Allowed use	140
<u>Rationale:</u> Plan Area 140, Echo Lakes is a recreation plan area which allows various recreation uses. The BTBMU Forest Plan provides for developed campgrounds in the plan area. The Forest Service and the TRPA through its review of the Forest Plan, have determined that the use is appropriate to the plan area. The Governing Board, in approval of the 5-year list, will have an opportunity to validate proposed implementation.	
3. Change Day Use Areas from Special to Allowed use	141, 142, 144A, 144B
<u>Rationale:</u> The Forest Plan provides for the installation of day use recreation sites in these plan areas.	
4. Change Undeveloped Campground from Special to Allowed use	047, 056, 095, 121, 130, 140, 152, and 162
<u>Rationale:</u> This category of use does not necessarily require any improvements to be installed on the land. The use is permissible on all national forest land except where specifically closed for reason. Though much of the land area in the Region is closed, portions of the listed plan areas are open to undeveloped camping.	

Resource Management Uses

Affected Plan Area Statements

- ~~1-~~ Change Sensitive Plant Management
from Special to Allowed use

~~121, 139, and 143~~

~~Rationale:--Management of sensitive plants in these plan areas is provided
for in the Forest Plan and is consistent with the Regional Plan package.~~

- ~~2-~~ Change Uncommon Plant Community
Management from Special to Allowed
use

~~121, 139, and 143~~

~~Rationale:--Management of these plan communities is included in the Forest
Plan and is consistent with the Regional Plan package.~~

- 1.3- Change Erosion Control from
Special to Allowed use

143

Rationale: This is an allowed use in all plan areas except the one listed.

- 2.4- Change Insect and Disease
Suppression from Special to
Allowed use

121, 139, and 150

Rationale: Activities necessary to suppress insect and disease should be handled consistently throughout the Basin. Since action on the activity must often be prompt, the requirement for Governing Board action might cause harmful delay.

- 3.5- Change fire detection and
suppression from Special to
Allowed use

150

Rationale: This must, by its nature and need for timely action, be an allowed use.

- 4.6- Change non-structural fish
management from Special to
Allowed use

121, 139, 140, 142, and 143

Rationale: These management activities should be allowed wherever there is habitat to be managed, which is on all national forest land.

Resource Management

Affected Plan Area Statements

5.7 Change non-structural wildlife management from Special to Allowed use

121, 139, 140, 142, and 143

Rationale: Same as for #6 4 above.

6.8 Change structural fish management from Special to Allowed use

003, 004, 013, 015, 019, 024A, 030, 047, 052, 060, 066, 070B, 080, 086, 087, 095, 118, 121, 126, 127, 129, 130, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144A, 144B, 146, 148, 150, 152, 157, 162, 163, 166, 174

Rationale: Every plan area shows the use as special. For national forest land, the activity should be an allowed use. Most of the structures will have insignificant adverse environmental impacts, but create substantial habitat enhancements. If the structure is substantial in size or impact, environmental factors, such as land coverage or permanent soil disturbance, would cause the activity to receive Governing Board review.

7.9 Change structural wildlife management from Special to Allowed use

003, 004, 013, 015, 019, 024A, 030, 047, 052, 060, 066, 070B, 080, 086, 087, 095, 118, 121, 126, 127, 129, 130, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144A, 144B, 146, 148, 150, 152, 157, 162, 163, 166, 174

Rationale: Same as for #8 6 above.

8.10 Change fuels treatment from Special to Allowed use

003, 004, 013, 015, 019, 024A, 030, 047, 052, 060, 068, 070B, 080, 086, 087, 095, 118, 121, 126, 127, 129, 130, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144A, 144B, 146, 148, 150, 157, 162

Rationale: This is a routine activity associated with disposal of slash typically produced from forest management. It should be an allowed use in all plan areas and not just some.