

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Resources

Roadway Unit Number	3					
Roadway Unit Name	Emerald Bay					
Scenic Resource Number	3.1					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	3					
Roadway Unit Name	Emerald Bay					
Scenic Resource Number	3.2					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Visual Feature</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	2	11	A
1991	3	3	3	2	11	A
1996	3	3	3	2	11	A
2001	3	3	3	2	11	A
2006	3	3	3	2	11	A
2011	3	3	3	2	11	A
2015	3	3	3	2	11	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	3					
Roadway Unit Name	Emerald Bay					
Scenic Resource Number	3.3					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	3					
Roadway Unit Name	Emerald Bay					
Scenic Resource Number	3.4					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Natural Landscape</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	3					
Roadway Unit Name	Emerald Bay					
Scenic Resource Number	3.5					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	3					
Roadway Unit Name	Emerald Bay					
Scenic Resource Number	3.6					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	3					
Roadway Unit Name	Emerald Bay					
Scenic Resource Number	3.7					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Natural Landscape</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	3					
Roadway Unit Name	Emerald Bay					
Scenic Resource Number	3.8					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Visual Feature</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	2	3	3	11	A
1991	3	2	3	3	11	A
1996	3	2	3	3	11	A
2001	3	2	3	3	11	A
2006	3	2	3	3	11	A
2011	3	2	3	3	11	A
2015	3	2	3	3	11	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	3					
Roadway Unit Name	Emerald Bay					
Scenic Resource Number	3.9					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	3					
Roadway Unit Name	Emerald Bay					
Scenic Resource Number	3.10					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Natural Landscape</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	2	3	3	11	A
1991	3	2	3	3	11	A
1996	3	2	3	3	11	A
2001	3	2	3	3	11	A
2006	3	2	3	3	11	A
2011	3	2	3	3	11	A
2015	3	2	3	3	11	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	3					
Roadway Unit Name	Emerald Bay					
Scenic Resource Number	3.11					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Visual Feature</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	2	3	3	11	A
1991	3	2	3	3	11	A
1996	3	2	3	3	11	A
2001	3	2	3	3	11	A
2006	3	2	3	3	11	A
2011	3	2	3	3	11	A
2015	3	2	3	3	11	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	11					
Roadway Unit Name	Tahoe Pines					
Scenic Resource Number	11.4					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Natural Landscape</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	1	1	2	1	5	A
1991	1	1	2	1	5	A
1996	1	1	2	1	5	A
2001	1	1	2	1	5	A
2006	1	1	2	1	5	A
2011	1	1	2	1	5	A
2015	1.5	1	2	1	5.5	A
Comments: (2015) Installation of new curbs and gutters throughout this unit improves the score for unity.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	15					
Roadway Unit Name	Tahoe City					
Scenic Resource Number	15.5					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	1	2	2	1	6	A
1991	1	2	2	1	6	A
1996	1	2	2	1	6	A
2001	2	3	2	2	9	A
2006	2	3	2	2	9	A
2011	2	3	2	2	9	A
2015	2	3	2	2	9	A
Comments: (2001) Improved view of the lake is afforded by the pedestrian boardwalk and restriction of lake side parking along the highway.						

Roadway Unit Number	20					
Roadway Unit Name	Tahoe Vista					
Scenic Resource Number	20.4					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Natural Landscape</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	1	2	2	1	6	A
1991	1	2	2	1	6	A
1996	1	2	2	1	6	A
2001	1	2	2	1	6	A
2006	1	2	2	1	6	A
2011	1	2	2	1	6	A
2015	2	2	2	1	7	A
Comments: (2015) Installation of substantial streetscape improvements and road configuration in the Kings Beach area improves the score for unity.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	26					
Roadway Unit Name	Sand Harbor					
Scenic Resource Number	26.1					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	26					
Roadway Unit Name	Sand Harbor					
Scenic Resource Number	26.2					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Visual Feature</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	26					
Roadway Unit Name	Sand Harbor					
Scenic Resource Number	26.3					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Visual Feature</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	2	11	A
1991	3	3	3	2	11	A
1996	3	3	3	2	11	A
2001	3	3	3	2	11	A
2006	3	3	3	2	11	A
2011	3	3	3	2	11	A
2015	3	3	3	2	11	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	26					
Roadway Unit Name	Sand Harbor					
Scenic Resource Number	26.4					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	26					
Roadway Unit Name	Sand Harbor					
Scenic Resource Number	26.5					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	2	3	3	2	10	A
1991	2	3	3	2	10	A
1996	2	3	3	2	10	A
2001	2	2	3	1	8	N
2006	2	2	3	1	8	N
2011	2	2	3	1	8	N
2015	2	2	3	1	8	N
Comments:						
(2001) Scores for vividness and intactness are reduced, reflecting the addition of piers, boathouses, and large, visible residences that have occurred since 1986.						
(2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	27					
Roadway Unit Name	Prey Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	27.1					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Visual Feature</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments:						
(2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	27					
Roadway Unit Name	Prey Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	27.2					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Natural Landscape</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	2	2	3	10	A
1991	3	2	2	3	10	A
1996	3	2	2	3	10	A
2001	3	2	2	3	10	A
2006	3	2	2	3	10	A
2011	3	2	2	3	10	A
2015	3	2	2	3	10	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	27					
Roadway Unit Name	Prey Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	27.3					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Natural Landscape</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	27					
Roadway Unit Name	Prey Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	27.4					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	27					
Roadway Unit Name	Prey Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	27.5					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Natural Landscape</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	2	2	3	10	A
1991	3	2	2	3	10	A
1996	3	2	2	3	10	A
2001	3	2	2	3	10	A
2006	3	2	2	3	10	A
2011	3	2	2	3	10	A
2015	3	2	2	3	10	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	27					
Roadway Unit Name	Prey Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	27.6					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Visual Feature</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	27					
Roadway Unit Name	Prey Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	27.7					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	27					
Roadway Unit Name	Prey Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	27.8					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Visual Feature</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	2	2	3	10	A
1991	3	2	2	3	10	A
1996	3	2	2	3	10	A
2001	3	2	2	3	10	A
2006	3	2	2	3	10	A
2011	3	2	2	3	10	A
2015	3	2	2	3	10	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	27					
Roadway Unit Name	Prey Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	27.9					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Natural Landscape</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	2	1	3	9	A
1991	3	2	1	3	9	A
1996	3	2	1	3	9	A
2001	3	2	1	3	9	A
2006	3	2	1	3	9	A
2011	3	2	1	3	9	A
2015	3	2	1	3	9	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	30					
Roadway Unit Name	Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	30.1					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Natural Landscape</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	2	2	2	9	A
1991	3	2	2	2	9	A
1996	3	2	2	2	9	A
2001	3	2	2	2	9	A
2006	3	2	2	2	9	A
2011	3	2	2	2	9	A
2015	3	2	2	2	9	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	30					
Roadway Unit Name	Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	30.2					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	2	11	A
1991	3	3	3	2	11	A
1996	3	3	3	2	11	A
2001	3	3	3	2	11	A
2006	3	3	3	2	11	A
2011	3	3	3	2	11	A
2015	3	3	3	2	11	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	30					
Roadway Unit Name	Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	30.3					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Visual Features</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	3	12	A
1991	3	3	3	3	12	A
1996	3	3	3	3	12	A
2001	3	3	3	3	12	A
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	30					
Roadway Unit Name	Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	30.4					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Natural Landscape</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	2	2	2	2	8	A
1991	2	2	2	2	8	A
1996	2	2	2	2	8	A
2001	2	2	2	2	8	A
2006	2	2	2	2	8	A
2011	2	2	2	2	8	A
2015	2	2	2	2	8	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	30					
Roadway Unit Name	Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	30.5					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	2	3	2	1	8	A
1991	2	3	2	1	8	A
1996	2	3	2	1	8	A
2001	2	3	2	1	8	A
2006	2	3	2	1	8	A
2011	2	3	2	1	8	A
2015	2	3	2	1	8	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	30					
Roadway Unit Name	Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	30.6					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Visual Feature</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	2	11	A
1991	3	3	3	2	11	A
1996	3	3	3	2	11	A
2001	3	3	3	2	11	A
2006	3	3	3	2	11	A
2011	3	3	3	2	11	A
2015	3	3	3	2	11	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	30					
Roadway Unit Name	Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	30.7					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	2	3	3	2	10	A
1991	2	3	3	2	10	A
1996	2	3	3	2	10	A
2001	2	3	3	2	10	A
2006	2	3	3	2	10	A
2011	2	3	3	2	10	A
2015	2	3	3	2	10	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	30					
Roadway Unit Name	Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	30.8					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	2	2	2	2	8	A
1991	2	2	2	2	8	A
1996	2	2	2	2	8	A
2001	2	2	2	2	8	A
2006	2	2	2	2	8	A
2011	2	2	2	2	8	A
2015	2	2	2	2	8	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	30					
Roadway Unit Name	Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	30.9					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Visual Feature</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	1	2	2	1	6	A
1991	1	2	2	1	6	A
1996	1	2	2	1	6	A
2001	1	2	2	1	6	A
2006	1	2	2	1	6	A
2011	1	2	2	1	6	A
2015	1	2	2	1	6	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Roadway Unit Number	30					
Roadway Unit Name	Meadow					
Scenic Resource Number	30.9					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Visual Feature</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	1	10	A
1991	3	3	3	1	10	A
1996	3	3	3	1	10	A
2001	3	3	3	1	10	A
2006	3	3	3	1	10	A
2011	3	3	3	1	10	A
2015	3	3	3	1	10	A
Comments: (2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Roadway Unit Number	34					
Roadway Unit Name	El Dorado Beach					
Scenic Resource Number	34.2					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View to Lake</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	2	11	A
1991	3	3	3	2	11	A
1996	3	3	3	2	11	A
2001	3	3	3	2	11	A
2006	3	3	3	2	11	A
2011	3	3	3	2.5	11.5	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments:						
(2011) The redesign of the park along the north side of the road improves the quality of the foreground portion of this panoramic view of the lake from the road.						
(2015) Removal of buildings in this unit improves visual access to the lake.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Shoreline Resources

Shoreline Unit Number		3				
Shoreline Unit Name		Jameson Beach				
Scenic Resource Number		3.3				
Scenic Resource Type		<i>View of Shoreline</i>				
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	2	2	1	2	7	A
1991	2	2	1	2	7	A
1996	2	2	1	1	6	N
2001	2	2	1	1	6	N
2006	2	2	1	1	6	N
2011	2	2	1	1	6	N
2015	2	2	1	1	6	N
Comments:						
(1996) Intactness has been reduced due to large and bulky additions to residential buildings which are unscreened and set very close to the water's edge, and due to the expansion of the pier and related accessory structures at Camp Richardson.						
(2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Shoreline Unit Number		8				
Shoreline Unit Name		Rubicon Point				
Scenic Resource Number		8.3				
Scenic Resource Type		<i>View of Shoreline</i>				
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	2	2	3	10	A
1991	3	2	2	3	10	A
1996	3	2	2	3	10	A
2001	3	2	2	3	10	A
2006	3	2	2	3	10	A
2011	3	2	2	3	10	A
2015	3	2	2	3.5	10.5	A
Comments:						
(2015) Maturing vegetation screens shoreland development improved the score for intactness in this travel unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Shoreline Unit Number	12					
Shoreline Unit Name	Mckinney Bay					
Scenic Resource Number	12.3					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View of Shoreline</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	1	2	3	1	7	A
1991	1	2	3	1	7	A
1996	1	2	3	1	7	A
2001	1	2	3	1	7	A
2006	1	2	3	1	7	A
2011	1	2	3	2	8	A
2015	1.5	2	3	2	8.5	A
Comments:						
(2011) The boat storage area at the Marina has been painted and successfully screened with trees and shrubs.						
(2015) Vegetation has matured providing improved screening						

Shoreline Unit Number	12					
Shoreline Unit Name	Mckinney Bay					
Scenic Resource Number	12.6					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View of Shoreline</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	2	2	2	9	A
1991	3	2	2	2	9	A
1996	3	2	2	2	9	A
2001	2	2	2	2	8	N
2006	2	2	2	2	8	N
2011	2	2	2	2	8	N
2015	2.5	2	2	2.5	9	A
Comments:						
(2001) Construction of new homes with poor setbacks and color add to view of the amphitheater canopy to increase prominence of shoreline development and decrease unity.						
(2015) Redevelopment of older homes consistent with the shoreland ordinance, and maturation of vegetation has improved the score.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Shoreline Unit Number		16				
Shoreline Unit Name		Lake Forest				
Scenic Resource Number		16.2				
Scenic Resource Type		<i>View of Shoreline</i>				
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	2	1	2	2	7	A
1991	2	1	2	2	7	A
1996	2	1	2	2	7	A
2001	2	1	2	2	7	A
2006	2	1	2	2	7	A
2011	2	1	2	2	7	A
2015	2	1	2	2	7	A
Comments:						
(2015) Large, obvious scar on hillside from new development under construction at this time threatens the score for intactness. Assess during next round of threshold evaluations after construction of the project has been completed.						

Shoreline Unit Number		22				
Shoreline Unit Name		Brockway				
Scenic Resource Number		22.6				
Scenic Resource Type		<i>Visual Feature</i>				
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	1	3	1	0	5	A
1991	1	3	1	0	5	A
1996	1	3	1	0	5	A
2001	1	3	1	0	5	A
2006	1	3	1	0	5	A
2011	1	3	1	0	5	A
2015	1.5	3	1	0	5.5	A
Comments:						
(2015) Exterior painting of the CalNeva building with colors that are very compatible with the setting improves the score for unity.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Shoreline Unit Number	26					
Shoreline Unit Name	Cave Rock					
Scenic Resource Number	26.2					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View of Shoreline</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	2	3	2	10	A
1991	3	2	3	2	10	A
1996	3	2	3	2	10	A
2001	3	2	3	2	10	A
2006	3	2	3	2	10	A
2011	3	2	3	2.5	10.5	A
2015	3	2	3	2.5	10.5	A
Comments:						
(2011) The rebuild of some shoreline structures under 2002 shoreland ordinance improve the score for these shoreline views.						
(2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Shoreline Unit Number	26					
Shoreline Unit Name	Cave Rock					
Scenic Resource Number	26.7					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View of Shoreline</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	2	2	2	1	7	A
1991	2	2	2	1	7	A
1996	2	2	2	1	7	A
2001	2	2	2	1	7	A
2006	2	2	2	1	7	A
2011	2	2	2	1.5	7.5	A
2015	2	2	2	1.5	7.5	A
Comments:						
(2011) The rebuild of some shoreline structures under 2002 shoreland ordinance improve the score for these shoreline views.						
(2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Shoreline Unit Number	26					
Shoreline Unit Name	Cave Rock					
Scenic Resource Number	26.9					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View of Shoreline</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	2	2	2	1	7	A
1991	2	2	2	1	7	A
1996	2	2	2	0	6	N
2001	2	2	2	0	6	N
2006	2	2	2	0	6	N
2011	2	2	2	0.5	6.5	N
2015	2	2	2	0.5	6.5	N
Comments:						
(1996) Intactness rating is reduced due to the addition of several new or expanded large and bulky structures along the edge of the shoreline. Many have large areas of highly reflective surfaces, are poorly screened, and do not blend with the surrounding landscape. Additional clutter from manmade elements along the shoreline and on piers contributes to the degradation						
(2011) The rebuild of some shoreline structures under 2002 shoreland ordinance improve the score for these shoreline views.						
(2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Shoreline Unit Number	26					
Shoreline Unit Name	Cave Rock					
Scenic Resource Number	26.12					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Visual Feature</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	3	3	2	11	A
1991	2	3	3	2	10	N
1996	2	3	3	2	10	N
2001	2	3	3	2	10	N
2006	3	3	3	3	12	A
2011	3	3	3	3	12	A
2015	3	3	3	3	12	A
Comments:						
(1991) Decline in unity.						
(2006) Construction of a rock faced enhanced barrier rail along the highway improves the overall scenic quality of the setting by improving unity and intactness.						
(2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Shoreline Unit Number	27					
Shoreline Unit Name	Lincoln Park					
Scenic Resource Number	27.3					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>Backdrop View</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	1	2	2	1	6	A
1991	1	1	2	1	5	N
1996	1	1	2	1	5	N
2001	1	1	2	1	5	N
2006	1	1	2	1	5	N
2011	1	1	2	1	5	N
2015	1	1	2	1	5	N
Comments:						
(1991) Hills above Lincoln Park, seen in the middle ground, are much scarred by road cuts and fills. This reduces vividness.						
(2015) No change in scores but extensive roadside parking threatens the scores for scenic resources within this unit.						

Shoreline Unit Number	30					
Shoreline Unit Name	Edgewood					
Scenic Resource Number	30.2					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View of Shoreline</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	3	2	1	2	8	A
1991	3	2	1	2	8	A
1996	3	2	1	1	7	N
2001	3	2	1	1	7	N
2006	3	2	1	1	7	N
2011	3	2	1	1	7	N
2015	3	2	1	1	7	N
Comments:						
(1996) The score for intactness has been reduced due to the prominence of new structures located along the shoreline, including the recently completed pump house near the south end of Nevada Beach and a huge, unscreened residence north of Nevada Beach.						
(2015) A hotel redevelopment project is under construction. It should be assessed in future evaluations.						

Appendix G-2: SCENIC RESOURCE RATINGS

Shoreline Unit Number	32					
Shoreline Unit Name	Al Tahoe					
Scenic Resource Number	32.1					
Scenic Resource Type	<i>View of Shoreline</i>					
Scenic Quality Ratings						
	Unity	Vividness	Variety	Intactness	Score	Status
1982	1	2	1	1	5	A
1991	1	2	1	1	5	A
1996	1	2	1	1	5	A
2001	2	2	1	2	7	A
2006	2	2	1	2	7	A
2011	2	2	1	2	7	A
2015	3	2	1	2	8	A
Comments:						
(2001) Maturing vegetation along the shoreline improves unity and intactness.						
(2015) Completion of shoreline components of Lakeside Commons Park improvements improves the score for unity.						