

# LIST OF FIGURES

---

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Figure ES-1 2015 status determination summary by threshold category for the 178 threshold standards addressed in this report. Standards were placed into one of three categories: Attainment – where conditions are at or better than the standard; Non-attainment – where conditions are worse than the standard; and No status determination – where ambiguity in the standard, reference to an unknown historic baseline, or insufficient data precluded a determination of status.....	ES-3
Figure ES-2 A trend determination was made for 70 of the 178 indicators. Standards were placed into one of four trend categories: Improving – where the status was improving relative to the trend; little or no change – where the status change was less than 0.5 percent; declining – where the status relative to trend increased by more than 0.5 percent; and no determination – where insufficient data exists to assess trend or where status determination was qualitative	ES-3
Figure ES-3 Summary of the status of air quality standards. ....	ES-4
Figure ES-4 Summary of the status of water quality standards.....	ES-5
Figure ES-5 Five-year average Secchi depth between 1970-2015. In 2000, forecasts based on observed trends between 1968 and 2000 suggested that by 2030 the lake’s clarity could drop to less than 40 feet (Murphy & Knopp). Today, the 2015 five-year average Secchi Depth (73 feet) is 18 feet better than the year 2000 forecast.....	ES-6
Figure ES-5 Summary of the status of soil conservation standards.....	ES-7
Figure ES-7 Summary of the status of vegetation preservation standards.....	ES-8
Figure ES-8 Summary of the status of fisheries standards.....	ES-10
Figure ES-9 Summary of the status of wildlife standards.....	ES-10
Figure ES-10 Summary of the status of scenic resources standards.....	ES-11
Figure ES-11 Summary of the status of noise standards. ....	ES-12
Figure ES-12 Summary of the status of recreation standards. ....	ES-13

## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Figure 1-1 Tahoe Regional Planning Agency Regional Plan Map. Plan areas are shown, with each color representing an individual land use classification.....	1-2
Figure 1-2 Example of how threshold standards are hierarchically organized under indicator reporting categories and threshold categories.....	1-5

## CHAPTER 2: METHODOLOGY

Figure 2-1 This figure illustrates the colors and symbols used to communicate the three components of a reporting icon: status, trend, and confidence. Each indicator summary presents and analysis of an indicator’s current condition and trend relative to a standard. This information is used to guide which status and trend graphics are represented in the reporting icon. An evaluation of data quality and the robustness of the status and trend analysis are used to guide the level of confidence assigned to a reporting icon.....	2-3
Figure 2-2 Categories of indicator status and the colors assigned to each category. Status determinations are based on the percent divergence of the most current indicator value from the standard or interim target.....	2-8

**CHAPTER 3: AIR QUALITY**

Figure 3-1 A key to the symbols used to assess status, trends, and confidence levels .....3-9

**CHAPTER 4: WATER QUALITY**

Figure 4-1 A key to the symbols used to assess status, trends, and confidence levels ..... 4-17

**CHAPTER 5: SOIL CONSERVATION**

Figure 5-1 Key to the symbols used to assess status, trends, and confidence levels.....5-4

**CHAPTER 6: VEGETATION PRESERVATION**

Figure 6-1 A key to the symbols used to assess status, trend, and confidence levels ..... 6-10

**CHAPTER 7: FISHERIES**

Figure 7-1 Timeline of species introduction or date first documented in Lake Tahoe. The bottom part of the timeline highlights decline or extirpation of native species (Wittman and Chandra 2015).....7-3

Figure 7-2 A key to the symbols used to assess status, trends, and confidence levels .....7-5

**CHAPTER 8: WILDLIFE**

Figure 8-1 A key to the symbols used to assess status, trends, and confidence levels .....8-4

**CHAPTER 9: SCENIC RESOURCES**

Figure 9-1 A key to the symbols used to assess status, trends, and confidence levels .....9-4

Figure 9 Intersection of Coon Street and State Route 28 in Kings Beach before (left) and after (right) installation of a roundabout.....9-8

Figure 9-3 Photo of the Alta Mira building before (left) and after removal (right) which increased views to Lake Tahoe from U.S. Highway 50 in the City of South Lake Tahoe .....9-8

Figure 9-4 Cal Neva hotel as seen from lake in 2011 (left) and in 2015 (right) after exterior was painted. .... 9-17

**CHAPTER 10: NOISE**

Figure 10-1A key to the symbols used to assess status, trends, and confidence levels ..... 10-6

**CHAPTER 11: RECREATION**

Figure 11-1 Existing Recreation Facilities, Map 1 ..... 11-17

Figure 11-2 Existing Recreation Facilities, Map 2 ..... 11-18

Figure 11-3 Existing Recreation Facilities, Map 3 ..... 11-19

Figure 11-4 Existing Recreation Facilities, Map 4 ..... 11-20

**CHAPTER 12: IMPLEMENTATION AND EFFECTIVENESS**

Figure 12-1 Summary of Environmental Benefits from Approved Transfers of Development Since 2012 ..... 12-5

Figure 12-2 Screenshot of LakeTahoelInfo.org. Source: Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA 2016b). LakeTahoelInfo.org Accessed 9/2/2016..... 12-8

Figure 12-3 Lake Tahoe Environmental Improvement Program Funding and Accomplishments 1997-2015. Source: TRPA 2016a..... 12-13

Figure 12-4 Lake Tahoe Environmental Improvement Projects by Threshold Category ..12-15

**CHAPTER 13: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Figure 13-1 Screen shots from the LTinfo.org website and mock-up of the threshold dashboard reporting platform. .... 13-5

Figure 13-2 The “Plan-do-check-adjust” approach utilized by TRPA and the basin partnership for adaptive management and continuous improvement..... 13-10

Figure 13-3 Steps used to identify and establish TRPA Environmental Threshold Carrying Capacities (TRPA 1982a). .... 13-13