Appendix 3. Recreation Area and Bike Trail Ratings

PUBLIC RECREATION AREAS

| Recreation Area Number 1 | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | ion Area Name | Nevada | | | | | |
| Descrip | tion of Changes | (Contribute to | or Detract from) | | | | |
| On site | (2011) Rep | laced two restro | oms, retrofit day | use parking, retrofit | roads and | spurs in | |
| | campgroun | d area. | | | | | |
| Off site | None | | | | | | |
| Scenic | Quality Ratings | Changes | | | | | |
| Views fr | om Recreation A | rea | | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | | |
| Man-Ma | de Features | | | | | | |
| 1-a Res | trooms | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | |
| 1993 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 10 | Α | |
| 2001 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 10 | Α | |
| 2006 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 10 | Α | |
| 2011 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 14 | Α | |
| 1-b Par | 1-b Parking and Roads | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | |
| 1993 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 12 | Α | |
| 2001 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 12 | Α | |
| 2006 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 12 | Α | |
| 2011 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 13 | А | |
| 2001 Pacammandations: Needs aggressive replanting in the picnic/parking let gross. Needs | | | | | | | |

2001 Recommendations: Needs aggressive replanting in the picnic/parking lot areas. Needs additional planting along north property line. The interpretive trail from the campground out into the Jennings Casino site restoration and to the trailhead off Kahle Drive should be included in the inventory.

| Recreation A | Area Number | 2 | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| Recreation | Area Name | Zephyr Cove | | | | |
| Description | of Changes (Cont | tribute to or Detract from) | | | | |
| On site | deck/landscaping vegetation assoc | buildings, remodel outside of Sunset Bar with new new beach fence, new tiki-style shade umbrellas. Maturation of iated with parking lot redesign continues to better screen parking. | | | | |
| No change to powerlines or arch quality of concession buildings (except bar). | | | | | | |
| Off site | | | | | | |
| | change to residences on ridgeline. | | | | | |
| Scanic Qual | ity Patings Chang | 706 | | | | |

Views from Recreation Area

2-3

| Year | Unity | Vividness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
|------|-------|-----------|---------|------------|-------|--------|
| 1993 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 15 | Α |
| 2001 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 14 | N |
| 2006 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 14 | N |
| 2011 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 15 | А |

Note: New wooden fence at the back of the beach and larger buoy field creates problems with intactness from 2-1, 2-2, and 2-3, but the added close view of nearby residential rebuilds drops the score for 2-3 only.

Natural Features

No change

Man-Made Features

No change

2001 Recommendations: Redesign and upgrade of concession buildings at the pier still need to be done. This should happen in the context of creating a consistent architectural theme for the entire resort, including the lodge and proposed campground accessory use structures. The campground and lodge should be included in the inventory.

2006 Recommendations: The linear fence that runs along US 50 that defines the edge of the recreation area should be replaced with a more traditional USFS fence such as split-rail or one similar to the fence that encloses the campground on the east side of the highway. Also see 2001 Recommendation.

| Recreation A | Area Number | 3 |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Recreation | Area Name | Cave Rock |
| Description | of Changes (Cont | tribute to or Detract from) |
| On site | upgrades to boat with a texture con | ment on the restroom roof; large with shiny metal surfaces. Recent ramp/breakwater not apparent. Replacement of galvanized guardrail necrete barrier rail that has been stained to match the natural back of views from the recreation area. |
| Off site | None | |

Views from Recreation Area

No change.

Natural Features

No change.

Man-Made Features

3-a

| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status |
|------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------------|-------|--------|
| 1993 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 15 | Α |
| 2001 | 2.5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 14.5 | N |
| 2006 | 2.5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 14.5 | N |
| 2011 | 2.5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 14.5 | N |

Note: Reflective monitoring equipment reduces the coherence of the restroom building. **2001 Recommendations:** Monitoring equipment should be relocated to a less visible location. **2006 Recommendations:** Monitoring equipment should be relocated to a less visible location or painted with a dark matte finish.

| Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) On site New visitor center was recently completed. Off site New vacation resort in Incline visible from the water near the boat ramp, but not from any of the mapped viewpoints. New development/redevelopment in the viewshed in Crystal Bay is not prominent from this distance, although some of it is visible. Compared to the 1983 slides, the increase in visibility is negligible. | Recreation A | rea Number | 4 | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| On site New visitor center was recently completed. New vacation resort in Incline visible from the water near the boat ramp, but not from any of the mapped viewpoints. New development/redevelopment in the viewshed in Crystal Bay is not prominent from this distance, although some of it is visible. | Recreation A | rea Name | Sand Harbor | | | |
| Off site New vacation resort in Incline visible from the water near the boat ramp, but not from any of the mapped viewpoints. New development/redevelopment in the viewshed in Crystal Bay is not prominent from this distance, although some of it is visible. | Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | |
| any of the mapped viewpoints. New development/redevelopment in the viewshed in Crystal Bay is not prominent from this distance, although some of it is visible. | On site | New visitor cente | er was recently completed. | | | |
| | Off site | any of the mappe Crystal Bay is no | d viewpoints. New development/redevelopment in the viewshed in the prominent from this distance, although some of it is visible. | | | |

Views from Recreation Area

4-2

| Year | Unity | Vividness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
|------|-------|-----------|---------|------------|-------|--------|
| 1993 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 17 | Α |
| 2001 | 4.5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 16.5 | N |
| 2006 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 17 | А |
| 2011 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 17 | Α |

Notes: The score for this resource has been adjusted to account for an error in the 2001 rating. The resource is of a panoramic view of the lake park development is not visible from this viewpoint and therefore does not have an impact on the rating.

Natural Features

4-7

| Year | Unity | Vividness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
|------|-------|-----------|---------|------------|-------|--------|
| 1993 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 17 | Α |
| 2001 | 3.5 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 12.5 | N |
| 2006 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13.0 | N |
| 2011 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13.0 | N |

Notes: The 1993 rating for this resource was low; this evaluation amends the points for Vividness and Variety assigned then. Over time, the vegetation along the beach has receded due to trampling and the addition of the boardwalk, stage, drinking fountain retaining wall, have all combined to reduce the visual quality of the beach.

Notes: The 2006 unity rating has been improved by 0.5 points due to the construction of a permanent fencing to reduce the trampling of vegetation and the maturing of vegetation that reduce the contrast of the retaining wall.

Man-Made Features

4-i Festival Area

| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | |
|------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------------|-------|--------|--|--|
| 1993 | | | | | | | | |
| 2001 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 15 | Α | | |
| 2006 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 15 | Α | | |
| 2011 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 15 | Α | | |

Notes: The new amphitheater structures display high design values and establish a more organized and coherent festival use compared to the pre-project condition. The size of the new facility and its use of a stone type not compatible with the color and shape of on-site rock are detriments, however. The 2000 November condition of the stage reflects several features that may be out of compliance with the TRPA permit, particularly the wall around the stage and the superstructure, both of which were to be removed after the performance season. The vegetation restoration on Sand Point that was part of the amphitheater approval is scheduled to begin in 2001. Completion of the mitigation measures will improve both the condition and compatibility scores for this area.

| Recreat | ion Area Name | n Area Name Sand Harbor | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|
| Descrip | Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | | | | |
| On site | See above. | | | | | | | | |
| Off site | See above. | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic | Quality Ratings | Changes Cont. | | | | | | | |
| 4-j Visit | or Center | | | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | | |
| 1993 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 2001 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 2006 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 18 | Α | | | |
| 2011 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 18 | Α | | | |

Note: This is a new man-made resource. The visitor center is setback among existing trees and is an example of good facilities design. The siting, materials, and architectural quality complements and fits within the overall natural scenic setting.

2001 Recommendations: The concrete retaining walls around the drinking fountains should be faced with sand-colored stone. State Parks should enforce terms of the lease agreement with amphitheater users relative to seasonal removal of stage structures. To reduce the color contrast between the sand and the stone used on the stage structure, additional vegetation capable of growth to 15' should be planted between the stage and the boardwalk. Where possible, additional vegetation should be planted downslope of the boardwalk to reduce the dominance of the shadow line.

2006 Recommendations: The amphitheater continues to be out of compliance with the TRPA permit conditions and should be stained according the conditions of the permit. Also see 2001 Recommendations.

| Recreat | tion Area Numbe | r 7 | 7 | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--|--|--|
| Recreat | tion Area Name | Incline B | each | | | | | | |
| Descrip | Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | | | | |
| On site | New entry | feature and rede | signed parking lo | t. New fence along | Lakeshore | Blvd. | | | |
| Off site | piers to the the text. S | New piers with boathouses (compared to 1983 view) to the west and new/expanded piers to the east. All new boathouses predates 1993 update, but were not noted in the text. Some poor color choices on residences in Crystal Bay. New residences along SR 28 near Lakeshore Blvd. | | | | | | | |
| Scenic | Quality Ratings | Changes | | | | | | | |
| Views fr | rom Recreation A | rea | | | | | | | |
| 7-2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | Unity | Vividness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1993 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | Α | | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | N | | | |
| 2006 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 11 | N | | | |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 | NI | | | |

Notes: Required additional lakeside landscaping for the new residence to the west has matured since the 2001 Evaluation resulting in an increase to the intactness core. This resource is now in threshold attainment.

Natural Features

No changes.

Man-Made Features

No changes

Notes: New entry features and parking lot improvements increase the scores for these features.

2001 Recommendations: Changes to the Design Review Standards are needed to increase lake setback, improve color choices, and reduce bulkiness of new and remodeled residential projects.

2006 Recommendations: None. However, in 2002 TRPA adopted the Shoreland Ordinances that regulate lake setback, color and material choices, and visual magnitude. Over time, the Shoreland Ordinances are expected to improve the scenic quality of resources visible from recreation areas located along the shoreline of Lake Tahoe.

| Recrea | tion Area Numbe | er 8 | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Recrea | tion Area Name | Burnt Ce | dar Beach | | | | | | |
| Descrip | tion of Changes | (Contribute to | or Detract from) | | | | | | |
| On site | Remove of | d concession bu | ilding and replace | with three structur | es that serve | e the | | | |
| | swimming | pool. Reconfigur | e parking lot. Ne | ew perimeter fence | and entry ki | osk. New | | | |
| | children's p | | | | | | | | |
| Off site | New piers | New piers to the west and new/expanded piers to the east. Some poor color choices | | | | | | | |
| | on residence | ces in Crystal Ba | ay visible from the | peninsula. | | | | | |
| Scenic | Quality Ratings | Changes | | | | | | | |
| Views fi | rom Recreation A | rea | | | | | | | |
| 8-2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | Unity | Vividness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1993 | 4 | 4 | 4 4 2 14 A | | | | | | |
| 2001 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 13 | N | | | |
| 2006 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 13 | N | | | |
| 2011 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 13 | N | | | |
| Notes: | Off site developme | ent is more prom | ninent from the pe | ninsula and decrea | ses both the | e | | | |
| Intactne | ss and Unity of th | ie view. | | | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | | | | |
| Man-Ma | de Features | | | | | | | | |
| 8-b | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | | |
| 1993 | 4 | 5 | 5 2 4 15 A | | | | | | |
| 2001 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 14 | N | | | |
| 2006 | 3.5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 14.5 | N | | | |

Notes: The copper on the roof has subsequently weathered and as a result is less distractive in the overall setting and results in an improvement in coherence. The stucco is still a poor choice, however, with the adoption of updated ordinances regulating color within the shoreland it is expected that this situation will be remedied in the future through regular maintenance of the structure by IVGID.

2

4

14.5

5

2001 Recommendations: Changes to the Design Review Standards are needed to improve color choices and reduce bulkiness of new and remodeled residential projects. The stucco exterior of the new structures should be painted with a darker color. The reflective qualities of the roof should be reduced. Additional piers should be limited on the sandy beach to the west.

2006 Recommendations: None. However, changes have been made the Design Review Standards in 2001 to reduce the impacts of shoreland structures to the scenic resources of the basin.

2011

3.5

| Recreat | ion Area Numbe | r 9 | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Recreat | tion Area Name | Kings Bea | ach | | | |
| Descrip | tion of Changes | (Contribute to | or Detract from) | | | |
| On site | Redevelop | pier; minimal pro | file, but with mor | re extensive railing. | | |
| Off site | CTC remov | al of commercial | development to | the east and creati | on of a park. | |
| | Commercia | l structure upgra | des across the h | nighway. | | |
| Scenic | Quality Ratings | Changes | | | | |
| Views fr | om Recreation A | rea | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | |
| 9-3 | | | | | | |
| Year | Unity | Vividness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
| 1993 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 11 | Α |
| 2001 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 12 | Α |
| 2006 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 12 | Α |
| 2011 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 12 | Α |
| 2001 No | tes: The distracti | ons of poorly ma | intained commer | rcial buildings to the | north have | been |
| removed by the CTC park project. However, commercial development across the highway and the | | | | | | |
| roadway itself have become visible in this area, precluding an increase in the Intactness score. As | | | | | | |
| vegetation matures, Intactness will probably improve. | | | | | | |
| Man-Made Features | | | | | | |

Man-Made Features

| 9-e Rep | 9-e Replacement pier | | | | | | |
|---------|--|-----------|---------------|----------------|-------|--------|--|
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | |
| 1993 | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| 2001 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Α | |
| 2006 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Α | |
| 2011 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Α | |
| 2001 Re | 2001 Recommendations: Inventory should be updated to include all the public access in this area. | | | | | | |

| Recreation Area Name Agatam Beach | Recreat | tion Area Numbe | er 11 | | | | |
|---|----------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------|--------|
| The expansion of this recreation site has been completed with upgrades that have improved the overall architectural features and extensive landscaping has been introduced that improves the overall aesthetic character of the Agatam Beach. New sidewalk, retaining walls, concrete stairs, and restroom have been completed that use natural rock and wood materials that complements the site and provides access to the beach and expansive lake views. The parking lot is well designed and landscaped and does not detract from the overall aesthetic character of the recreation area. Off site Caltrans installed a traffic light at the intersection of National Avenue Scenic Quality Ratings Changes Views from Recreation Area No change. View 11-1 will remain the prominent view from the beach even after an expanded inventory includes the remainder of the publicly owned property. Natural Features No change. Natural Features 11-a Entry Treatment Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 1 1 2 2 1 5 A 2001 1 1 2 1 5 A 2006 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 18 A 11-b Parking Area Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 211-b Parking Area Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 5 18.5 A 2011 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A | | | | Beach | | | |
| improved the overall architectural features and extensive landscaping has been introduced that improves the overall aesthetic character of the Agatam Beach. New sidewalk, retaining walls, concrete stairs, and restroom have been completed that use natural rock and wood materials that complements the site and provides access to the beach and expansive lake views. The parking lot is well designed and landscaped and does not detract from the overall aesthetic character of the recreation area. Off site Caltrans installed a traffic light at the intersection of National Avenue Scenic Quality Ratings Changes Views from Recreation Area No change. View 11-1 will remain the prominent view from the beach even after an expanded inventory includes the remainder of the publicly owned property. No change. Man-Made Features No change. Man-Made Features 11-a Entry Treatment Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 1 1 1 2 1 5 A 2001 1 1 1 2 1 5 A 2006 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 18 A 2011 9 5 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2011 4 5 A 2011 4 5 Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 5 A.5 5 18.5 A 2011 6 Design Quality Score Status 1993 1 1 3 1 7 A 2006 7 A 2007 9 Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2008 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2011 7 A 2009 9 Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 9 Bestroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 2 1 7 7 A 2001 9 Design Quality Score Status | Descrip | tion of Changes | (Contribute to | or Detract from) | | | |
| introduced that improves the overall aesthetic character of the Agatam Beach. New sidewalk, retaining walls, concrete stairs, and restroom have been completed that use natural rock and wood materials that complements the site and provides access to the beach and expansive lake views. The parking lot is well designed and landscaped and does not detract from the overall aesthetic character of the recreation area. Off site | On site | | | | | | |
| sidewalk, retaining walls, concrete stairs, and restroom have been completed that use natural rock and wood materials that complements the site and provides access to the beach and expansive lake views. The parking lot is well designed and landscaped and does not detract from the overall aesthetic character of the recreation area. Off site Caltrans installed a traffic light at the intersection of National Avenue Scenic Quality Ratings Changes Views from Recreation Area No change. View 11-1 will remain the prominent view from the beach even after an expanded inventory includes the remainder of the publicly owned property. Natural Features No change. Man-Made Features 11-a Entry Treatment Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 1 1 1 2 1 5 A 2001 1 1 1 2 1 5 A 2006 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 11-b Parking Area Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 2 2 2 1 7 A 2001 9 Status Pear Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | | | | |
| natural rock and wood materials that complements the site and provides access to the beach and expansive lake views. The parking lot is well designed and landscaped and does not detract from the overall aesthetic character of the recreation area. Off site Caltrans installed a traffic light at the intersection of National Avenue Scenic Quality Ratings Changes Views from Recreation Area No change. View 11-1 will remain the prominent view from the beach even after an expanded inventory includes the remainder of the publicly owned property. Natural Features No change. 11-a Entry Treatment Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 1 1 1 2 1 5 A 2001 1 1 1 2 1 5 A 2006 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 11-b Parking Area Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 2 2 2 1 7 A 2001 4 5 A.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities | | | | | | | |
| beach and expansive lake views. The parking lot is well designed and landscaped and does not detract from the overall aesthetic character of the recreation area. Colfraire Caltrans installed a traffic light at the intersection of National Avenue Scenic Quality Ratings Changes Views from Recreation Area No change. View 11-1 will remain the prominent view from the beach even after an expanded inventory includes the remainder of the publicly owned property. Natural Features No change. Nan-Made Features 11-a Entry Treatment Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 1 1 1 2 1 5 A 2001 1 1 1 2 1 5 A 2006 4 5 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 11-b Parking Area Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2101 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 211 5 A 211 7 A 211 6 A 211 7 A 212 1 7 A 213 1 7 A 214 7 A 215 7 A 216 7 A 217 8 A 218 7 Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 218 7 A 219 7 A 210 7 A | | | | | | | |
| Off site Caltrans installed a traffic light at the intersection of National Avenue Scenic Quality Ratings Changes Views from Recreation Area No change. View 11-1 will remain the prominent view from the beach even after an expanded inventory includes the remainder of the publicly owned property. Natural Features No change. Man-Made Features No change. Man-Made Features Status 11-a Entry Treatment Vear Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 1 1 2 1 5 A 2001 1 1 2 1 5 A 2006 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 11-b Parking Area Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | |
| Off site Caltrans installed a traffic light at the intersection of National Avenue Scenic Quality Ratings Changes Views from Recreation Area No change. View 11-1 will remain the prominent view from the beach even after an expanded inventory includes the remainder of the publicly owned property. Natural Features No change. Man-Made Features 11-a Entry Treatment Treatment Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 1 1 2 1 5 A 2001 1 1 2 1 5 A 2001 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 11-b Parking Area Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings Changes Views from Recreation Area No change. View 11-1 will remain the prominent view from the beach even after an expanded inventory includes the remainder of the publicly owned property. Natural Features No change. Man-Made Features 11-a Entry Treatment Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 1 1 2 1 5 A 2001 1 1 2 1 5 A 2006 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 4 5 4.5 | Off site | | | | | | |
| No change. View 11-1 will remain the prominent view from the beach even after an expanded inventory includes the remainder of the publicly owned property. Natural Features No change. | Scenic | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| Natural Features No change. No change. | Views fr | | | | | | |
| No change Man-Made Features | | | | | | | an |
| No change. Man-Made Features 11-a Entry Treatment Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 1 1 2 1 5 A 2001 1 1 2 1 5 A 2006 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 11-b Parking Area Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2011 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status Score Status Status 1993 2 2 <td></td> <td></td> <td>ory includes the</td> <td>remainder of the p</td> <td>publicly owned prop</td> <td>erty.</td> <td></td> | | | ory includes the | remainder of the p | publicly owned prop | erty. | |
| Man-Made Features 11-a Entry Treatment Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 1 1 2 1 5 A 2001 1 1 2 1 5 A 2006 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 11-b Parking Area Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2011 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status Status | | | | | | | |
| 11-a Entry Treatment Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 1 1 2 1 5 A 2001 1 1 2 1 5 A 2006 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 11-b Parking Area Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2011 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status Status 1993 | | | | | | | |
| Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 1 1 2 1 5 A 2001 1 1 2 1 5 A 2006 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 11-b Parking Area Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2011 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 2 2 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | |
| 1993 1 1 2 1 5 A 2001 1 1 2 1 5 A 2006 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 11-b Parking Area Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2011 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 2 2 1 7 A 2001 2 2 2 1 7 A | | T * | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status |
| 2001 1 1 2 1 5 A 2006 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 11-b Parking Area Vear Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2011 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 2 2 1 7 A 2001 2 2 2 1 7 A | | | | | , | | |
| 2006 4 5 4 5 18 A 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A 11-b Parking Area Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2011 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 2 2 1 7 A 2001 2 2 2 1 7 A | | | | _ | 1 | | |
| 11-b Parking Area Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2011 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 2 2 1 7 A 2001 2 2 2 1 7 A | | 4 | 5 | | 5 | 18 | Α |
| Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2011 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 2 2 1 7 A 2001 2 2 2 1 7 A | 2011 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 18 | Α |
| 1993 2 1 3 1 7 A 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2011 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 2 2 1 7 A 2001 2 2 2 1 7 A | 11-b Pa | rking Area | l | l . | | | 1 |
| 2001 2 1 3 1 7 A 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2011 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 2 2 1 7 A 2001 2 2 2 1 7 A | Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status |
| 2006 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 2011 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 2 2 1 7 A 2001 2 2 2 1 7 A | 1993 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | Α |
| 2011 4 5 4.5 5 18.5 A 11-c Restroom Facilities Year Coherence Condition Compatibility Design Quality Score Status 1993 2 2 2 1 7 A 2001 2 2 2 1 7 A | 2001 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | А |
| 11-c Restroom FacilitiesYearCoherenceConditionCompatibilityDesign QualityScoreStatus199322217A200122217A | 2006 | 4 | 5 | 4.5 | 5 | 18.5 | Α |
| YearCoherenceConditionCompatibilityDesign QualityScoreStatus199322217A200122217A | 2011 | 4 | 5 | 4.5 | 5 | 18.5 | Α |
| 1993 2 2 2 1 7 A 2001 2 2 2 1 7 A | | stroom Facilities | | | | | |
| 2001 2 2 2 1 7 A | Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status |
| | 1993 | _ | | | 1 | | Α |
| | 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | Α |
| | 2006 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 19 | А |
| 2011 4 5 5 5 19 A | 2011 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 19 | Α |

2001 Notes: The property surrounding the 1993 Agatam Beach parcel has changed dramatically, although no on site improvements have been made.

2001 Recommendations: Inventory should be updated to include all the public access in this area. New uses planned for this entire parcel should maximize the lake view available from the road, establish an upgraded entry, screen all parking from view from the beach and roadway, and limit the size and mass of future planned structures.

2006 Notes: Extensive upgrades to the existing man-made features and introduction of landscaping have dramatically improved the overall aesthetic character of Agatam Beach.

| Recreat | tion Area Numbe | r 12 | | | | |
|----------|-------------------|--|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Recreat | tion Area Name | Patton B | each | | | |
| Descrip | tion of Changes | (Contribute to | or Detract from) | | | |
| On site | | | | man-made feature | s and new o | on site |
| | | | | ach area. New man- | | |
| | | | | pasin and boardwalk | | |
| | | features: diverse vegetation of the SEZ restoration and backshore barrier beach. | | | | |
| Off site | | | | with a mural on the | | |
| | | | | tive, arched stone-f | | |
| | - | | under construction | on across highway (| part of the i | miniature |
| 0 | golf course | · · · · · | | | | |
| | Quality Ratings | | | | | |
| 12-1 | rom Recreation A | rea | | | | |
| Year | Unity | Vividness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
| 1993 | 4 | 4 | variety 3 | 2 | 13 | A |
| 2001 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 14 | A |
| 2006 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 12 | A |
| 2006 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 12 | A |
| | Features | 3 | 3 | 2 | 12 | A |
| | No change. | | | | | |
| | de Features | | | | | |
| | try Treatment | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status |
| 1993 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | Α |
| 2001 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 19 | Α |
| 2006 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 19 | Α |
| 2011 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 19 | Α |
| 12-b Ca | fé and Parking Ar | ea | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status |
| 1993 | | | | | | |
| 2001 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 19 | Α |
| 2006 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 19 | Α |
| 2011 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 19 | Α |
| 12-c Re | tention Basin and | Boardwalk | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status |
| 1993 | | | | | | |
| 2001 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 20 | Α |
| 2006 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 20 | А |
| 2011 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 20 | Α |
| 2001 No | otes: New park fe | eatures include: | Entry treatment (1 | 2-a) consists of low | stone wall | with |

2001 Notes: New park features include: Entry treatment (12-a) consists of low stone wall with carved sign and perimeter fence; Cafe and parking (12-b) consists of redeveloped cafe sited to increase view to the lake and cluster development to marina side of the park; and retention basin and boardwalk (12-c). Mural on side of marina building provides an excellent complement to the high quality of the on site improvements and considerably decreases the visual effects of a tall, flat surface along this edge of the park.

2001 Recommendations: The commercial development across the highway from this park is now the biggest detriment to the recreation experience. Power line undergrounding and substantial frontage landscaping are necessary to reduce this impact.

| Recreat | ion Area Numbe | r 14 | | | | |
|----------|--|------------------|----------------|---|-------------|-----------|
| Recreat | ion Area Name | Lake For | est Campground | and Boat Ramp | | |
| Descrip | Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | |
| On site | | | • | d area and screening | ng landscap | ing along |
| | Lake Fores | t Road in the ca | mpground area. | | | |
| Off site | None | | | | | |
| Scenic | Quality Ratings | Changes | | | | |
| Views fr | om Recreation A | rea | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | |
| Man-Mad | de Features | | | | | |
| 14-a Re | modeled Restroo | m Building | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status |
| 1993 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 10 | Α |
| 2001 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | Α |
| 2006 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | А |
| 2011 | 011 3 3 3 12 A | | | | | |
| | • | • | • | iter on hold and post ated where the can | • | |

| Recreation / | Area Number | 16 | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Recreation / | Area Name | Commons Beach | | | | | | |
| Description | of Changes (Cont | tribute to or Detract from) | | | | | | |
| On site | | nd children's play area have been upgraded since 2001 thus | | | | | | |
| | | erall architectural features and landscaping has matured which | | | | | | |
| | improves the ove | rall aesthetic character of Commons Beach. New boardwalk at the | | | | | | |
| | top of the slope, | retaining walls, and concrete stairs that use natural rock materials | | | | | | |
| | that complement | site. They provide access to the beach and lake views. Construction | | | | | | |
| | of the lakeside tr | ne lakeside trail has begun. | | | | | | |
| Off site | The large metal b | building to the north has been redeveloped with new roof, siding and | | | | | | |
| | the addition of wi | ndows which reduces its industrial character. | | | | | | |

Views from Recreation Area

16-1 Panoramic View from the Recreation Area

| Year | Unity | Vividness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
|------|-------|-----------|---------|------------|-------|--------|
| 1993 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 15 | Α |
| 2001 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 15 | Α |
| 2006 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3.5 | 15.5 | Α |
| 2011 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3.5 | 15.5 | Α |

2006 Notes: This is a correction to the 2001 evaluation score which noted the remodel of the large metal building located at the Tahoe City Marina which was not given credit for its improvements. The reduction in contrast of the structure at the Marina and other associated improvements since 2001 has increased the intactness score for this resource.

Natural Features

No change.

Man-Made Features

16-a Restrooms

| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status |
|------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------------|-------|--------|
| 1993 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | Α |
| 2001 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Α |
| 2006 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 19 | Α |
| 2011 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 19 | Α |

2006 Notes: The restrooms and children's play area have been upgraded since the 2001 and the landscaping has matured improving the overall score for this resource. The lakeside bike trail will run through this park and construction has started. Future projects visible from this parking include marina expansion and the addition of a long pier at the bottom of Grove Street.

16-b Children's Play Area

| | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------------|-------|--------|
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status |
| 1993 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 13 | Α |
| 2001 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 14 | Α |
| 2006 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Α |
| 2011 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Α |

Notes: See note above.

2001 Recommendations: Landscaping needs restoration and should include understory establishment in areas near the beach and parking lot, and aggressive treatment of the mistletoe infestation. Bike trail development should not remove lakeside vegetation west of the park.

2006 Recommendations: Landscaping has matured and has improved the overall character of the recreation area. Construction of the bike trail has started. Vegetation west of the park that screens the parking lots should be maintained.

| Recreation | Recreation Area Number 18 | | | | | |
|-------------|--|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------|
| Recreation | Area Name | William k | Kent Beach and C | ampground | | |
| Description | Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | |
| On site | Remove old | d administrative | building, construc | t new day use restr | oom facility. | |
| Off site | None | | | | | |
| Scenic Qua | ality Ratings | Changes | | | | |
| Views from | Recreation Ar | rea | | | | |
| No | change. | | | | | |
| Natural Fea | tures | | | | | |
| No | change. | | | | | |
| Man-Made I | Features | | | | | |
| 18-a Restro | om Building | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status |
| 1993 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 12 | Α |
| 2001 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 12 | Α |
| 2006 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 12 | Α |
| 2011 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 14 | Α |

2011 Notes: New restroom facilities improve the appearance of the parking area from the beach. They are sited perpendicular to the beach, and thus are less dominant in views from the beach and shorezone than the new restrooms at Pope Beach. Visibility of skylights and expanse of wall are minimized by perpendicular siting. The parking area has been partially screened from the beach by shrub vegetation, which could be continued along the remainder of the parking area visible from the beach. As per the recommendation in the 1993 Lake Tahoe Basin Scenic Resource Evaluation, the parking lot would benefit from the addition of plant islands or medians to reduce the impression of a large expanse of asphalt.

2011 Recommendations: Landscaping should be added along the edge of the parking lot to separate it from the day use area.

| Recreat | Recreation Area Number 19 | | | | | |
|----------|--|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|--------|
| Recreat | tion Area Name | Kaspian | Recreation Area | | | |
| Descrip | tion of Changes | (Contribute to | or Detract from) | | | |
| On site | The restroc | m has been rep | laced with an upd | lated facility. | | |
| Off site | None | | | | | |
| Scenic | Quality Ratings | Changes | | | | |
| Views fr | om Recreation Ai | rea | | | | |
| | No changes. | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | |
| | No changes. | | | | | |
| Man-Ma | de Features | | | | | |
| 19-a Re | strooms | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status |
| 1993 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | Α |
| 2001 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | Α |
| 2006 | 4 | 4 5 4 5 18 A | | | | |
| 2011 | 2011 4 5 4 5 18 A | | | | | |
| 2006 No | 2006 Notes: The updated restroom is an example of good Forest Service facility design. The siting, | | | | | |

materials, and architectural quality complements and fits within the overall natural scenic setting.

| Recrea | tion Area Numbe | r 20 | | | | | | |
|----------|--|---|----------------|---------------------|-------|--------|--|--|
| Recreat | tion Area Name | Ski Home | ewood: Homewoo | od Ski Area portion | | | | |
| Descrip | Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | | | |
| On site | change to particular to the structure has design details | (2001) The main ski lodge has been painted and its roof repaired. New signage. No change to parking area or maintenance area. The lift towers are now black. A second structure has been added near the lodge; it is a modular building and shares no design detail except paint color with the lodge. This structure was approved as temporary and was to have been removed in the summer of 2001. | | | | | | |
| Off site | te None | | | | | | | |
| | Quality Ratings | | | | | | | |
| Views fr | rom Recreation A | rea | | | | | | |
| | No changes. | | | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | | | |
| | No changes. | | | | | | | |
| Man-Ma | de Features | | | | | | | |
| 20-a Mo | dular Building | | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | |
| 1993 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | Α | | |
| 2001 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 8 | Α | | |
| 2006 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 8 | Α | | |
| 2011 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 8 | Α | | |

2001 Notes: The addition of the modular building further deteriorates coherence; if this building is removed, this score should return to a "2". The addition of a structure here helps to break up the expanse of pavement in the parking lot and, if landscaping were incorporated, could improve the score for this feature and that of the parking lot itself. The condition of the main lodge structure has improved.

2001 Recommendations: The modular building should either be removed or replaced with a structure compatible with the design features of the lodge. Landscaping should be incorporated around this structure and that of the main lodge.

| Recreat | tion Area Numbe | r 23 | | | | | |
|----------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------|--|
| Recreat | tion Area Name | Meeks B | ay Resort | | | | |
| Descrip | tion of Changes | (Contribute to | or Detract from) | | | | |
| On site | Construct r | new campground | restroom facility. | • | | | |
| Off site | None | | | | | | |
| Scenic | Quality Ratings | Changes | | | | | |
| Views fr | rom Recreation A | rea | | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | | |
| Man-Ma | de Features | | | | | | |
| 23-e Re | estroom Building | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | |
| 1993 | | | | | | | |
| 2001 | | | | | | | |
| 2006 | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 4 | 4 4 4 3 15 A | | | | | |
| 2011 Re | commendations | : Chain link fend | e along highway | should be replaced | with type th | nat | |

matches Meeks Bay Campground to the south.

| Recreat | ion Area Numbe | on Area Number 24 | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Recreation Area Name Meeks Bay Campground | | | | | | | | | |
| Descrip | Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | | | | |
| On site | Replace ch | ain link fence ald | ong highway. | | | | | | |
| Off site | None | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic | Quality Ratings | Changes | | | | | | | |
| Views fr | om Recreation Ai | rea | | | | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | | | | |
| Man-Mad | de Features | | | | | | | | |
| 24-c Hiç | ghway Perimeter | Fence | | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | | |
| 1993 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2001 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2006 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 13 | Α | | | |
| Notes: E | Black vinvl clad fer | nce with wood p | osts on 4-foot cer | nters has positive vis | sual charact | er while | | | |

being fairly unobtrusive. **2011 Recommendations:** Damaged portions of fence are unsightly and should be repaired. Design of fence should be modified to prevent future damage.

| Recreation Area Number 26 | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|---|------------------|---------------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Recrea | tion Area Name | Vikingsh | olm/Emerald Bay | | | | | | | |
| Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | | | | | | |
| On site | | | • | by CCC crew-built s | | | | | | |
| | | reminiscent of the historic hand stone work found in other portions of Emerald Bay. A | | | | | | | | |
| | | new foot trail along the highway links this parking lot with that for the Eagle Falls | | | | | | | | |
| | | trailhead. Recent restoration work at the castle and its environs remedies most of the | | | | | | | | |
| | | past visual problems associated with poor repair. 2006: The entrance sign has been replaced. | | | | | | | | |
| 011 | | entrance sign h | as been replaced | • | | | | | | |
| Off site | | • | | | | | | | | |
| | Quality Ratings | • | | | | | | | | |
| Views fi | rom Recreation A | rea | | | | | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | | | | | |
| | de Features | | | | | | | | | |
| 26-d | | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | | | |
| 1993 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 11 | Α | | | | |
| 2001 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | А | | | | |
| 2006 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 17 | Α | | | | |
| 2011 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 17 | Α | | | | |

2011 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 17 | A

2001 Notes: The redesigned parking lot produces a comprehensible and efficient design small enough to avoid making users feel they are not engulfed in a broad expanse of asphalt. The perimeter granite rock wall is of high quality and evokes the historic rock guardrails found in other areas of Emerald Bay.

2006 Notes: The entrance sign, damaged by an avalanche several years ago has been replaced with one that is well located and designed.

| Recreat | tion Area Numbe | r 27 | | | | | |
|----------|--|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------|--------|--|
| Recreat | tion Area Name | Eagles Fa | Eagles Fall Picnic Area | | | | |
| Descrip | tion of Changes | (Contribute to | or Detract from) | | | | |
| On site | (2006) The parking lot has been redesigned with an improved circulation pattern via a roundabout and improved parking space layout. Extensive native landscaping was introduced into the roundabout. The portable toilets were replaced with a permit restroom facility. The existing picnic benches located within a sensitive area were removed and an elevated boardwalk was introduced to manage pedestrian traffic and reduce conflicts with resources and automobiles. Overall, improvements to the recreation have produced tremendous benefits to scenic quality for the man-made features criteria for this resource. | | | | | | |
| Off site | None. | | | | | | |
| Scenic | Quality Ratings | Changes | | | | | |
| Views fr | rom Recreation Ai | rea | | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | | |
| | No change | | | | | | |
| Man-Ma | de Features | | | | | | |
| 27-a Pa | arking Lot | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | |
| 1993 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 13 | А | |
| 2001 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 13 | Α | |

2006 Comments: Redesign of the parking lot, introduction of a roundabout with native landscaping , and extensive use of natural rock materials is a good example of good design within a otherwise natural scenic setting. The re-designed facilities reduce the former visual disorder of the site and the materials are very sympathetic with the natural-appearing setting.

4.5

4.5

5

5

18.5

18.5

Α

Α

27-b Restrooms (Photos #19)

4

4

2006

2011

| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status |
|------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------------|-------|--------|
| 1993 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 8 | Α |
| 2001 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 15 | Α |
| 2006 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 19 | Α |
| 2011 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 19 | Α |

2001 Notes: The permanent restroom is an example of good US Forest Service facilities design. The siting, materials, and architectural quality complements and fits within the overall natural scenic setting.

| 27-c Bo | oardwalk | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------------|-------|--------|
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status |
| 1993 | | | | | | |
| 2001 | | | | | | |
| 2006 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 20 | Α |
| 2011 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 20 | Α |

2006 Notes: This is a new man-made resource. The boardwalk is an example of good US Forest Service facilities design. The siting, materials, and architectural quality complements and fits within the overall natural scenic setting.

| Recrea | tion Area Numbe | r 29 | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|
| Recrea | tion Area Name | Fallen Le | eaf Lake Campgro | und | | | | | |
| Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | | | | | |
| On site | Replace re | Replace restrooms. | | | | | | | |
| Off site | None | None | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings Changes | | | | | | | | | |
| Views fi | rom Recreation Ai | rea | | | | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | | | | |
| Man-Ma | de Features | | | | | | | | |
| 29-a Re | estroom Building F | Replaced | | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | | |
| 1993 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Α | | | |
| 2001 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Α | | | |
| 2006 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | А | | | |
| 2011 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Α | | | |
| Notes: N | New restroom buil | ding retains the | visual quality of the | ne original facility. | | | | | |
| 2011 Re | commendations | : None. | | - | | | | | |

| Recreation A | Area Numbe | ea Number 30 | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---------------|----------------|-------|--------|--|--|
| Recreation A | Area Name | Baldwin | Beach | | | | | |
| Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | | | | |
| On site | Replace re | Replace restroom at north end of beach. | | | | | | |
| Off site | None | None | | | | | | |
| Scenic Qual | Scenic Quality Ratings Changes | | | | | | | |
| Views from F | Recreation Ai | rea | | | | | | |
| No c | hange. | | | | | | | |
| Natural Feat | ures | | | | | | | |
| No c | hange. | | | | | | | |
| Man-Made F | eatures | | | | | | | |
| 30-a Restroo | om Building (| (north end) | | | | | | |
| Year C | oherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | |
| 1993 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | Α | | |
| 2001 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | Α | | |
| 2006 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | Α | | |
| 2011 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | А | | |

2011 Notes: New restroom building has skylights. The building is oriented perpendicular to the lake shore rather than parallel. This prevents the skylights from being directly visible in views from the lake. All new lakeside restroom buildings with skylights should be oriented this way.
2011 Recommendations: Native vegetation should be added as a screen along the lakeside edge

2011 Recommendations: Native vegetation should be added as a screen along the lakeside edge of the parking area next to the lake and restroom building.

| Recreat | tion Area Numbe | r 33 | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|-------------------------------|--|
| | tion Area Name | Camp Ri | chardson | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) (2001) Overall upgrade to lodge/commercial buildings and cabins includes consistent paint colors and signage. Permanent signage and structure upgrades in this area produce important scenic improvements. New signs include "entry to historic resort" landscaped signs along the highway, structure identification signs for each use, and directional signage at the intersection with the main entrance drive. Rebuilt snack bar building on the beach results in larger structure but with good colors and better design features. New pier much larger using good design standards (low to the water, color, etc.) with improvements to marina buildings including better colors and removal of some of the overhead boat lift apparatus. Buoy field larger than in 1983; larger also than in 1993. (2011) Replace RV campground restroom, underground some overhead wires. Off site None Scenic Quality Ratings Changes | | | | | | | |
| | rom Recreation A | rea | | | | | |
| | No change. Features | | | | | | |
| | No change. | | | | | | |
| | de Features | | | | | | |
| | ntry Treatment | | T | T | | T . | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | |
| 1993 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | Α | |
| 2001 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | Α | |
| 2006 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | Α | |
| 2011 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | Α | |
| 33-b Bu | uildings | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | |
| 1993 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | Α | |
| 2001 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 14 | Α | |
| 2006 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 14 | Α | |
| 2011 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 14 | Α | |
| developr text. Cha peak se because signage | ment. Upgrade to anges to scores for asson condition. Per the seasonal signand directional in | some concession man-made feat eak season scornage and commiformation. | on buildings occur atures reflect a su res would be lowe ercial clutter out o | nd area, stables, ar rred pre-1993 updat abstantial upgrade, yr for the entry treatic competes the impro | e, but not n /et is based ment, prima | oted in on the off- ily | |
| | estroom Building (| | | | | 0 | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | |
| 1993 2001 | | | | | | | |
| 2006 | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | ^ | |
| 2011 | 4 | 4 m building is wo | 4 | the highway Many | 16 | direc A | |
| remain e | evident within the | campground itse | elf. | the highway. Many | | ui 62 | |
| 2011 Re | ecommendations | : Continue placi | ng overhead wire | s underground whe | re teasible. | | |

| Recreat | tion Area Numbe | r 34 | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------|--|--|
| Recreat | tion Area Name | Pope Be | Pope Beach | | | | | |
| Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | | | | |
| On site | (2011) Old | restroom buildin | gs along the park | ing lot behind the | beach have | been | | |
| | replaced. | | | | | | | |
| Off site | None | | | | | | | |
| Scenic | Quality Ratings | Changes | | | | | | |
| Views fr | rom Recreation A | rea | | | | | | |
| | No changes. | | | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | | | |
| | No changes. | | | | | | | |
| | de Features | | | | | | | |
| 34-b Pa | rking Areas | | | 1 | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | |
| 1993 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 12 | Α | | |
| 2001 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 12 | Α | | |
| 2006 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3.5 | 14.5 | Α | | |
| 2011 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3.5 | 14.5 | Α | | |
| 2006 No | otes: Prior to 2006 | the USFS remo | oved a portion of | the existing parking | g lot and pla | nned to | | |
| | the restrooms. | | | | | | | |
| 34-a Re | st Rooms | | | 1 | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | |
| 1993 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | Α | | |
| 2001 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | Α | | |
| 2006 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | Α | | |
| 2011 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 16 | Α | | |

2011 Notes: The restrooms have now been replaced which improves the overall score for this manmade feature. Unfortunately, the restroom buildings are oriented parallel to the lake shore which makes their roof-mounted skylights visible from the lake. The glass on the skylights can be reflective and highly visible.

2011 Recommendations: The USFS should consider using non-reflective glass for the roof-mounted skylights of new restroom buildings.

| Recrea | tion Area Numbe | er 36 | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
| Recrea | tion Area Name | El Dorad | El Dorado Beach | | | | | |
| Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | | | | |
| On site | | (2011) Redesign of entire shoreline portion of the park. | | | | | | |
| | Off site None | | | | | | | |
| | Quality Ratings | | | | | | | |
| Views fi | om Recreation A | rea | | | | | | |
| N I = 1 1 | No change. | | | | | | | |
| Naturai | Features | | | | | | | |
| Man-Ma | No change. de Features | | | | | | | |
| | estrooms | | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | |
| 1993 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 11 | Α | | |
| 2001 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 11 | Α | | |
| 2006 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 11 | Α | | |
| 2011 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 15 | Α | | |
| 33-c La | kefront and Picni | c Area | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | |
| 1993 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 12 | Α | | |
| 2001 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Α | | |
| 2006 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Α | | |
| 2011 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 18 | Α | | |
| 33-d Bo | at Ramp | | • | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | |
| 1993 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 10 | Α | | |
| 2001 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 10 | Α | | |
| 2006 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 10 | Α | | |
| 2011 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 13 | Α | | |
| | otes: Redesign ar ments in scenic c | | of El Dorado Bea | ch shoreline park p | produces sub | ostantial | | |

| Recreation Area Number 37 | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------|--------|--|--|
| Recreat | tion Area Name | Heavenly | Heavenly Valley Ski Area | | | | | |
| Descrip | Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | | | |
| On site | On site (2001) Main lodge has been refaced with cedar shakes. Accessory log structure has | | | | | | | |
| | been added | been added. | | | | | | |
| Off site | None | None | | | | | | |
| Scenic | Quality Ratings | Changes | | | | | | |
| Views fr | rom Recreation A | rea | | | | | | |
| | No changes. | | | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | | | |
| | No changes. | | | | | | | |
| Man-Ma | de Features | | | | | | | |
| 34-a Ma | in Lodge | | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | |
| 1993 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | А | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 10 | А | | |
| 2006 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 10 | Α | | |
| 2011 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 10 | Α | | |
| 2001 Notes: Notes: The main lodge, although its appearance has improved, remains blocky with few details of architectural interest. | | | | | | | | |

TRPA 2011 Threshold Evaluation DRAFT CHAPTER 8 – SCENIC RESOURCES

BIKE TRAILS

| Bike Trail N | Number | 1 | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------|--|--|
| Recreation | Area Name | Tahoe C | Tahoe City to River Ranch | | | | | |
| Description of Changes | | | | | | | | |
| On site | (2001) New | bicycle access | bridge from the ti | railhead on the 64 | Acres (replac | cing the | | |
| | | | | curred in 1990, but | | | | |
| | | | | g the route. Repair | nting the Cal | trans | | |
| | maintenanc | e yard structure | s is a positive cha | ange. | | | | |
| Off site | None | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Qua | ality Ratings (| Changes | | | | | | |
| Views from | Natural Lands | cape | | | | | | |
| No changes. | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Fea | Natural Features | | | | | | | |
| No changes | No changes. (The 1997 flood altered the south slope of the river corridor and damaged some of the | | | | | | | |
| bridges, yet the visual effects after restoration efforts are minor.) | | | | | | | | |
| Man-Made Features | | | | | | | | |
| 1-b | | | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | |
| 1993 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 12 | Α | | |
| 2001 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 13 | Α | | |
| 2006 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 13 | Α | | |
| 2011 | 3 | 3 4 3 3 13 A | | | | | | |

2001 Notes: The new bicycle bridge incorporates interest in the side rails and uses dark colored metal members, thus bringing some grace to a concrete bridge built to modern highway standards. The improvements along the highway noted in the roadway ratings are not impressive from the perspective of the bike trail.

| Bike Tra | ail Number | 3 | | | | | |
|----------|--|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------|--------|--|
| Recrea | tion Area Name | Tahoe Ta | Tahoe Tavern | | | | |
| Descrip | Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | | |
| On site | | | | ntinued restoration | | | |
| | and comple | etion of Gatekee | per's Museum ha | s improved the ma | n-made sco | re. | |
| Off site | None | | | | | | |
| Scenic | Quality Ratings | Changes | | | | | |
| Views fr | om Natural Lands | саре | | | | | |
| | No changes. | | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | | |
| | No changes. | | | | | | |
| Man-Ma | de Features | | | | | | |
| 3-a | | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | |
| 1993 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 9 | А | |
| 2001 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 13 | Α | |
| 2006 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 3 | 4 | 15 | Α | |
| 2011 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 3 | 4 | 15 | Α | |

| Bike Trail Number 7 | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|--|----------------|-------|--------|--|--|
| Recrea | tion Area Name | City of S | City of South Lake Tahoe Recreation Area | | | | | |
| Description of Changes | | | | | | | | |
| On site | On site Redesign of the lake front park along the north side of the road. | | | | | | | |
| | Off site None | | | | | | | |
| Scenic | Scenic Quality Ratings Changes | | | | | | | |
| Views f | rom Natural Lands | саре | | | | | | |
| | No changes. | | | | | | | |
| Views c | of the Lake | | | | | | | |
| 7-3 | T. | | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | |
| 1993 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | Α | | |
| 2001 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 13 | А | | |
| 2006 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 13 | Α | | |
| 2011 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 13 | А | | |
| | No changes. | | | | | | | |
| Natural | Features | | | | | | | |
| No changes. | | | | | | | | |
| | de Features | | | | | | | |
| 7-a | 1 | | _ | _ | | T | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | | |
| 1993 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | Α | | |
| 2001 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 10 | Α | | |
| 2006 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 10 | Α | | |
| 2011 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 13 | Α | | |
| Notes: | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 Notes: The redesign of the park improves the quality of the foreground portion of panoramic | | | | | | | | |
| vious of the lake from the hike trail | | | | | | | | |

views of the lake from the bike trail..

| Bike Trail Number 11 | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---|------------------|----------------|-------|--------|--|
| Recreat | tion Area Name | El Dorado | El Dorado County | | | | |
| Description of Changes (Contribute to or Detract from) | | | | | | | |
| On site | Redevelopn | Redevelopment of historic structures and general landscaping has improved the | | | | | |
| | score for the | nis resource | | | | | |
| Off site | None | | | | | | |
| Scenic | Quality Ratings | Changes | | | | | |
| Views fr | rom Natural Lands | саре | | | | | |
| | No changes. | | | | | | |
| Natural Features | | | | | | | |
| | No changes. | | | | | | |
| Man-Made Features | | | | | | | |
| 11-d | | | | | | | |
| Year | Coherence | Condition | Compatibility | Design Quality | Score | Status | |
| 1993 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | Α | |
| 2001 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 13 | Α | |
| 2006 | 2.5 | 4 | 3.5 | 4 | 14 | Α | |
| 2011 | 2.5 | 4 | 3.5 | 4 | 14 | Α | |