Appendix 2. Scenic Quality Ratings

SHORELINE RESOURCES

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 2 | | | | | | |
|----------|----------------|--------|------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Pope | Pope Beach | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 2.3 | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | e | View | of Shoreline | i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | lness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 1 | 9 | A | | |
| 1991 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 1 | 9 | A | | |
| 1996 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 1 | 9 | A | | |
| 2001 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 1 | 9 | A | | |
| 2006 | 3 | 2 | | 3 | 1 | 9 | A | | |
| 2011 | 3 | | 2 3 2 10 A | | | | | | |
| 2011 Con | ment: Forest | Servic | e has r | eplaced the o | ld restrooms wi | th new ones. | | | |

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 3 | | | | |
|----------|----------------|-------|------|--------------|------------|------------|--------|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Jame | son Beach | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 3.3 | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ |)e | View | of Shoreline | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | A |
| 1991 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | А |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | N |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | N |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | N |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | N |
| | | | | | | II - I.P.C | |

1996 Comment: Intactness has been reduced due to large and bulky additions to residential buildings which are unscreened and set very close to the water's edge, and due to the expansion of the pier and related accessory structures at Camp Richardson.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 5 | | | | | |
|----------|----------------|----------|---------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|--------|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Ebrite | Э | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 5.2 | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | e | View | of Shoreline | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | lness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 1991 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 1996 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A | |
| 2006 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A | |
| 2011 | 11 2 2 2 2 8 A | | | | | | | |
| 2001 Con | ment: Road s | scar rev | /egetat | ion has impro | ved intactness | for this shoreline | view. | |

| Shorelin | ne Unit Numb | er | 9 | 9 | | | | | | |
|----------|---------------|-------|-------|-----------|------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|
| Shorelin | ne Unit Name | | Rubio | con Bay | | | | | | |
| Scenic I | Resource Nu | mber | 9.3 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic I | Resource Typ | De | Back | drop View | | | | | | |
| Scenic (| Quality Ratin | gs | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivic | Iness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1982 | 1 | | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | A | | | |
| 1991 | 1 | | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | A | | | |
| 1996 | 1 | | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | A | | | |
| 2001 | 1 | | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | A | | | |
| 2006 | 1 | | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | A | | | |
| 2011 | 1 | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | A | | | |

2011 Comment: Low vegetation now provides substantial screening of the once highly disturbed ground surface where homes are built on the slopes above the roadway. This improves the score of this background view.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 9 | | | | | | | |
|----------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Rubic | Rubicon Bay | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Nur | nber | 9.4 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Typ | e | View | of Shoreline | 1 | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1982 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | А | | | |
| 1991 | 0 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | А | | | |
| 1996 | 0 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | А | | | |
| 2001 | 0 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | A | | | |
| 2006 | 0 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | A | | | |
| 2011 | 0.5 | | 2 | 2 | 1.5 | 6 | A | | | |
| 2011 Com | ment: Succes | ssful re | habilita | tion of slope v | where path lead | ds from private rea | sidence to | | | |

2011 Comment: Successful rehabilitation of slope where path leads from private residence to shore and improvement in scenic conditions due to rebuild of more than a dozen shoreline structures under 2002 shoreland ordinance improve the score for this shoreline view.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 12 | | | | | |
|----------|----------------------------------|----------|---------|----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Shorelin | Shoreline Unit Name Mckinney Bay | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nu | mber | 12.3 | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ |)e | View | of Shoreline |) | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | |
| 1982 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 1991 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 1996 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 2001 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 2006 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 2011 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 8 | А | |
| | nment: The bo and shrubs. | bat stor | age are | a at the Marin | na has been pa | inted and succes | sfully screened | |

Shoreline Unit Number Shoreline Unit Name Mckinney Bay Scenic Resource Number 12.5 Scenic Resource Type Backdrop View Scenic Quality Ratings Vividness Unity Variety Intactness Score Status A А А А А А 2011 Comment: Ground surfaces of the ski slopes have been revegetated and appear to a uniform cover of vegetation.

Shoreline Unit Number Shoreline Unit Name Mckinney Bay Scenic Resource Number 12.6 Scenic Resource Type View of Shoreline **Scenic Quality Ratings** Unity Vividness Intactness Status Variety Score А А А Ν Ν Ν 2001 Comment: Construction of new homes with poor setbacks and color add to view of the amphitheater canopy to increase prominence of shoreline development and decrease unity.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 12 | | | | |
|----------|----------------|-------|-------|--------------|------------|-------|--------|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Mckir | nney Bay | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nui | mber | 12.8 | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ |)e | View | of Shoreline | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | А |
| 1991 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A |
| 2001 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A |
| 2006 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | А |

1996 Comment: The recent utility undergrounding project near Cherry Street and erosion control along the shoreline have increased intactness of this resource which is highly visible from the lake because of the proximity of the road (and improvements) to the shoreline.

| e Unit Numb | er | 13 | | | | |
|---------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| e Unit Name | | Eagle | Rock | | | |
| esource Nu | mber | 13.2 | | | | |
| esource Typ |)e | View | of Shoreline |) | | |
| uality Rating | gs | | | | | |
| Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A |
| 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | А |
| 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | А |
| 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | А |
| 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A |
| 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2.5 | 8.5 | A |
| | e Unit Name esource Nui esource Typ uality Rating Unity 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | e Unit NameEagleesource Number13.2esource TypeViewuality RatingsUnityVividness22222222222222222222222222222222 | Unit NameEagle Rockesource Number13.2esource TypeView of Shorelineuality RatingsVividnessUnityVividness22 | e Unit NameEagle Rockesource Number13.2esource TypeView of Shorelineuality RatingsVarietyUnityVividnessVariety22 | Eagle Rockesource Number13.2esource TypeView of Shorelineuality RatingsUnityVividnessVarietyIntactnessScore22228222282222822228222282222822228222282222822228 |

2011 Comment: The rebuild of some shoreline structures under 2002 shoreland ordinance improve the score for this shoreline view.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 13 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------|-------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Eagle | Eagle Rock | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nui | mber | 13.5 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ |)e | View | of Shoreline |) | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1982 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | А | | | |
| 1991 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | А | | | |
| 1996 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | А | | | |
| 2001 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | А | | | |
| 2006 | 006 2 1 2 1 6 A | | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 2 1 2 1.5 6.5 A | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | shoreline stru | ctures under 20 | 002 shoreland or | linance improve | | | |
| the score | for this shorelin | ne view | '. | | | | | | | |

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 14 | | | | | |
|----------|----------------|----------|------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Ward Creek | | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Nui | mber | 14.2 | | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Typ |)e | View | of Shoreline |) | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | |
| 1982 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | А | |
| 1991 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | А | |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | А | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A | |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 8 | А | |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 9 | А | |
| 2011 Con | ment: The re | build of | more | than a dozen | shoreline struct | ures under 2002 | shoreland | |

ordinance improve the score for this shoreline view.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 14 | | | | | | |
|----------|----------------|---------|------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Ward Creek | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 14.4 | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | e | View | of Shoreline | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 3 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | А | | |
| 1991 | 3 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | А | | |
| 1996 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | А | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | N | | |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | N | | |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | Ν | | |
| 2001 Con | ment Remov | h halad | omae e | outh of Suppy | isida hava avn | ansive class area | This creates | | |

2001 Comment: Remodeled homes south of Sunnyside have expansive glass area. This creates an interruption in view of the forested backshore, reducing unity.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er 14 | 1 | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|------------|------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | W | Ward Creek | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nui | nber 14 | 4.6 | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | e V | iew of Shoreline | 9 | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividnes | ss Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | A | | |
| 1991 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | A | | |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | A | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | A | | |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | A | | |
| 2011 2 2 3 2 9 A | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ore than a dozen ese shoreline vie | | tures under 2002 | shoreland | | |

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 15 | | | | | |
|----------|----------------|-------|------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Tahoe City | | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Nui | mber | 15.4 | - | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Typ |)e | Visua | al Feature | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | |
| 1982 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | А | |
| 1991 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | А | |
| 1996 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | А | |
| 2001 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | А | |
| 2006 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | А | |
| 2011 | 1 | 2 | 2 3 1 7 A | | | | | |
| | ment: Façad | • | vemen | ts to a large r | netal warehous | e better integrate | the structure in | |

its setting, improving intactness.

| Shoreline | e Unit Numb | er | 16 | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------|-------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Shoreline | e Unit Name | | Lake Forest | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | esource Nu | mber | 16.2 | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | esource Typ |)e | View | of Shoreline | ; | | | | |
| Scenic Q | uality Rating | gs | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | A | | |
| 1991 | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | A | | |
| 1996 | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | A | | |
| 2001 | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | A | | |
| 2006 | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | A | | |
| 2011 | 2 | | 1 1 1.5 5.5 A | | | | | | |
| | ment: The re | | | shoreline stru | uctures under 2 | 002 shoreland or | dinance improv | | |

the score for these shoreline views.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 16 | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------|--|--------------|------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Lake | Forest | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Resource Number 16.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ |)e | View | of Shoreline | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | | | |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | А | | | | | |
| 1991 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 5 | N | | | | | |
| 1996 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 5 | N | | | | | |
| 2001 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 5 | N | | | | | |
| 2006 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 5 | N | | | | | |
| 2011 1 1 2 1.5 5.5 N | | | | | | | N | | | | | |
| | | | 1991 Comment: Flat to sloping shoreline has many buildings at moderate density. Dollar Hill view is of rocky shore rising steeply to a low forested ridge: diverse residential development is prominent | | | | | | | | | |

is of rocky shore rising steeply to a low forested ridge; diverse residential development is prominent reducing unity and vividness.

2011 Comment: The rebuild of some shoreline structures under 2002 shoreland ordinance improve the score for these shoreline views.

| Shorelin | ne Unit Numb | er 1 | 8 | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Shorelin | ne Unit Name | C | Ceda | r Flat | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nu | e Number 18.2 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | pe View of Shoreline | | | | | | | |
| Scenic 0 | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividne | ess | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 5 | А | | |
| 1991 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 5 | А | | |
| 1996 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 5 | A | | |
| 2001 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 5 | A | | |
| 2006 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 5 | А | | |
| 2011 | 1 | 1 | 1 2 1.5 5.5 A | | | | | | |
| 2011 Cor | nment: The re | build of so | ome | shoreline stru | ctures under 2 | 002 shoreland or | linance improve | | |
| the score | for these shore | eline views | s. | | | | | | |

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 18 | | | | | |
|----------|----------------|----------|----------|----------------|------------------|-----------|--------|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Ceda | r Flat | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 18.4 | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | e | Visua | al Feature | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivic | Iness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | |
| 1982 | 2 | | 3 | 3 | 1.5 | 9.5 | А | |
| 1991 | 2 | : | 3 | 3 | 1 | 9 | N | |
| 1996 | 2 | : | 3 | 3 | 1 | 9 | N | |
| 2001 | 2 | | 3 | 3 | 1 | 9 | N | |
| 2006 | 2 | | 3 | 3 | 1 | 9 | N | |
| 2011 | 2 | | 3 3 1 9 | | | | | |
| 1991 Con | ment: House | is built | t on fou | ndations in th | e lake beside th | ne shore. | • | |

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er 19 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|------------|--|--------|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | Ca | Carnelian Bay | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber 19 | .3 | | | | | |
| Scenic Resource Type Visual Feature | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | <u>ys</u> | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividnes | s Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | А | | |
| 1991 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | A | | |
| 1996 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | А | | |
| 2001 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | | |
| 2006 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | | |
| 2011 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | | |
| this struct | | n into its se | etting, improving | | ral to one side sli arker paint color v | | | |

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 20 | | | | |
|----------|----------------|-------|-------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Flick | Point | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Nui | mber | 20.1 | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Typ |)e | View | of Shoreline | ; | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | А |
| 1991 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | А |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | A |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | N |
| 2006 | 2006 2 2 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | N |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1.5 | 7.5 | N |
| | | | | | vith inadequate | setbacks and ex | pansive glass |

area add to the existing developed character of the shoreline to reduce variety. **2011 Comment:** The rebuild of some shoreline structures under 2002 shoreland ordinance improve

the score for these shoreline views.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 20 | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Shoreline Unit Name Flick Point | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 20.4 | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | e | View | of Shoreline | • | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | |
| 1982 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | A | |
| 1991 | 1 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | А | |
| 1996 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | А | |
| 2001 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | A | |
| 2006 | 1 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | А | |
| 2011 | 1 | | 2 2 1.5 6.5 A | | | | | |
| 2011 Con | ment: The re | build of | fsome | shoreline stru | ctures under 20 | 002 shoreland or | dinance improve | |

2011 Comment: The rebuild of some shoreline structures under 2002 shoreland ordinance improve the score for these shoreline views.

| Shoreline | Unit Numb | er | 23 | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|--------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------|--|--|
| Shoreline | Unit Name | | Cryst | al Bay | | | | | |
| Scenic Re | esource Nur | nber | 23.2 | | | | | | |
| Scenic Re | esource Typ | e | Visua | l Feature | | | | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 3 | | 3 | 3 | 2 | 11 | A | | |
| 1991 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | N | | |
| 1996 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | N | | |
| 2001 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | N | | |
| 2006 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | N | | |
| 2011 | 2 | | 2 2 2 8 N | | | | | | |
| 1991 Comr | ment: Old ho | uses o | f timber | construction | are situated on | steep, rocky slop | pes with | | |

unusually long wooden stairways. This reduces unity, vividness, and variety.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 23 | | | | | |
|----------|---------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|------------|-------|--------|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Crystal Bay | | | | | |
| Scenic R | lesource Nur | nber | 23.3 | - | | | | |
| Scenic R | esource Typ | e | View | of Shoreline | | | | |
| Scenic Q | uality Rating | gs | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividr | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | |
| 1982 | 2 | 3 | | 3 | 2 | 10 | A | |
| 1991 | 2 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 8 | N | |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | | 2 | 1 | 7 | N | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | | 2 | 1 | 7 | N | |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | | 2 | 1 | 7 | N | |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 2 2 1 7 N | | | | | |
| 1991 Com | ment: Decrea | ase in vi | ividnes | s and variety. | | | | |

1996 Comment: Intactness rating has been reduced by numerous large, unscreened new and remodeled houses on this very visible hill slope. There are very few opportunities to screen structures here due to the landform and land lack of existing vegetative cover...

| Shoreline | e Unit Numb | er | 23 | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------|----------|----------|--------------|------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|
| Shoreline | e Unit Name | | Cryst | Crystal Bay | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | esource Nu | mber | 23.5 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | esource Typ | be | View | of Shoreline | ; | | | | | |
| Scenic Q | uality Rating | gs | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivic | Iness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1982 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | A | | | |
| 1991 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | N | | | |
| 1996 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | N | | | |
| 2001 1 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | N | | | | |
| 2006 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | N | | | |
| 2011 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | N | | | |
| 1991 Com | ment: Decrea | ase in v | vividnes | 2 | • | | • | | | |

| Shorelin | ne Unit Numb | er 23 | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Shoreline Unit Name Crystal Bay Scenic Resource Number 23.6 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Scenic (| Quality Rating | gs | - | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A | |
| 1991 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | N | |
| 1996 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | N | |
| 2001 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | N | |
| 2006 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | N | |
| 2011 1 1 2 1 5 N | | | | | | | |
| 1991 Cor | nment: Lower | hills and ridge | es are forested | d with some nev | w housing in view | • | |
| 2001 Cor | nment: Tree re | emoval, chang | ge in structure | and roof colors | s, and additional s | tructures in the | |

backdrop all contribute to increased view of residences throughout the forest uplands. Specifically, one white residence with a bright blue roof and a light green water tank are visible.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 23 | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|-------|-------|--------------|------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Cryst | al Bay | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 23.7 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | e | View | of Shoreline | | | | | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 9 | А | | | |
| 1991 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 9 | А | | | |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 9 | А | | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 9 | А | | | |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 9 | А | | | |
| 2011 2 2 3 2.5 9.5 A | | | | | | | А | | | |
| 2011 Con | 2011 Comment: The rebuild of some shoreline structures under 2002 shoreland ordinance improve | | | | | | | | | |

the score for these shoreline views.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 23 | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|-------|-------|---------|------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Cryst | al Bay | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 23.9 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | cenic Resource Type View of Shoreline | | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1982 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 11 | А | | | |
| 1991 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 11 | А | | | |
| 1996 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 10 | N | | | |
| 2001 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 10 | N | | | |
| 2006 | 3 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 10 | N | | | |
| 2011 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2.5 | 10.5 | N | | | |
| 1996 Con | 1996 Comment: Intactness score has been reduced due to several significant, large, unscreened | | | | | | | | | |

1996 Comment: Intactness score has been reduced due to several significant, large, unscreened residential and other structures which are set too close to the shoreline, are highly contrasting with the natural landscape, or are poorly screened. Additional fences, pier extensions, and boat lifts contribute to the reduced rating.

2011 Comment: The rebuild of some shoreline structures under 2002 shoreland ordinance improve the score for these shoreline views.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 23 | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|-------|-------|--------------|------------|-------|--------|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Cryst | al Bay | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nui | mber | 23.10 |) | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ |)e | View | of Shoreline | ; | | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | |
| 1982 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 1991 | 1 | | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 1996 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 2001 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 2006 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 2011 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 | 7.5 | A | |

2006 Comment: No Comment.

2011 Comment: The rebuild of some shoreline structures under 2002 shoreland ordinance improve the score for these shoreline views.

| e Unit Numb | er 24 | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| e Unit Name | Sar | nd Harbor | | | | | | | |
| esource Nu | nber 24. | 3 | | | | | | | |
| esource Typ | e Vis | ual Feature | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings | | | | | | | | | |
| Unity | Vividness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | | |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 11 | A | | | | |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 11 | A | | | | |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 11 | A | | | | |
| 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | N | | | | |
| 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | N | | | | |
| 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | N | | | | |
| | e Unit Name esource Nui esource Typ uality Rating Unity 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 | e Unit NameSaresource Number24.esource TypeVisuality RatingsVisUnityVividness3333332323 | e Unit NameSand Harboresource Number24.3esource TypeVisual Featureuality RatingsVariety333333333333333233233 | Sand Harboresource Number24.3esource TypeVisual FeatureUnity Vividness VarietyIntactness3332333233323332333223322332 | Sand Harboresource Number24.3visual Featurevisual FeatureUnity Vividness Variety Intactness Score333211333211333211233210233210 | | | | |

2001 Comment: Construction of the new amphitheater includes an unscreened, large, rock wall that is too light as viewed against the sand color. The addition of the boardwalk also contributes to a loss of unity.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 26 | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------|-------|--------------|------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Cave | Rock | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nui | nber | 26.2 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | e | View | of Shoreline |) | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivic | lness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1982 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 2 | 10 | A | | | |
| 1991 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 2 | 10 | A | | | |
| 1996 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 2 | 10 | A | | | |
| 2001 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 2 | 10 | A | | | |
| 2006 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 2 | 10 | A | | | |
| 2011 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 2.5 | 10.5 | A | | | |
| 2011 Con | 2011 Comment: The rebuild of some shoreline structures under 2002 shoreland ordinance improve | | | | | | | | | |
| the energy for these charaline views | | | | | | | | | | |

the score for these shoreline views.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 26 | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|--------|-------------------|---------|------------|-------|--------|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Cave | Rock | | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Nur | nber | 26.7 | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Typ | e | View of Shoreline | | | | | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividr | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | | |
| 1991 | 2 | 2 | | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | | |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | | |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | | |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | | 2 | 1.5 | 7.5 | A | | |

2011 Comment: The rebuild of some shoreline structures under 2002 shoreland ordinance improve the score for these shoreline views.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 26 | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-------|---------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Cave | Rock | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Nur | nber | 26.9 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Resource Type View of Shoreline | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1982 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | А | | | |
| 1991 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | А | | | |
| 1996 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | Ν | | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | Ν | | | |
| 2006 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | Ν | | | |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0.5 | 6.5 | Ν | | | |
| 1996 Comment: Intactness rating is reduced due to the addition of several new or expanded large | | | | | | | | | | |
| and bulky structures along the edge of the shoreline. Many have large areas of highly reflective | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ng landscape. Ad | | | | |
| from manr | made elements | along | the sho | oreline and on | piers contribut | es to the degrada | tion. | | | |

2011 Comment: The rebuild of some shoreline structures under 2002 shoreland ordinance improve the score for these shoreline views.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 26 | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--------|----------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Cave | Cave Rock | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nui | nber | 26.12 | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | e | | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | | |
| 1982 | 3 | | 3 | 3 | 2 | 11 | A | | | | |
| 1991 | 2 | | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | N | | | | |
| 1996 | 2 | | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | N | | | | |
| 2001 | 2 | | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | N | | | | |
| 2006 | 3 | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | A | | | | |
| 2011 | 3 | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | A | | | | |
| 1991 Comment: Decline in unity. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2006 Con | nment: Constr | uction | of a roc | k faced enha | nced barrier rai | I along the highv | vay improves the | | | | |
| overall sc | overall scenic quality of the setting by improving unity and intactness. | | | | | | | | | | |

overall scenic quality of the setting by improving unity and intactness.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | Lin | coln Park | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Resource Number 27.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Resource Type Backdrop View | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividness | s Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1982 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | А | | | | | | | | |
| 1991 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | N | | | | | | | | |
| 1996 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | N | | | | | | | | |
| 2001 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | N | | | | | | | | |
| 2006 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | N | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 1 1 2 1 5 N | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1991 Con | nment: Hills al | bove Lincol | n Park, seen in t | the middle grou | nd, are much sca | rred by road | | | | | | | | |
| cuts and f | ills. This reduc | es vividnes: | S. | | | cuts and fills. This reduces vividness. | | | | | | | | |

| Shoreline | e Unit Numb | er | 27 | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|-------|-------|--------------|------------|-------|--------|--|--|
| Shoreline | Unit Name | | Linco | In Park | | | | | |
| Scenic Re | esource Nur | nber | 27.6 | | | | | | |
| Scenic Re | esource Typ | e | View | of Shoreline | | | | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | А | | |
| 1991 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | А | | |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | А | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | N | | |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | N | | |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | Ν | | |

2001 Comment: Continual redevelopment of residences with poor setbacks, color, height, and reflective quality add to the existing overdeveloped character of this shoreline to reduce the rating for intactness.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er 3 | 30 | | | | |
|------------|----------------|-----------|--------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | E | Edgev | wood | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nui | mber 3 | 30.2 | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | be \ | View | of Shoreline | <u>;</u> | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividne | ess | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
| 1982 | 3 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 8 | A |
| 1991 | 3 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 8 | А |
| 1996 | 3 | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 7 | N |
| 2001 | 3 | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 7 | N |
| 2006 | 3 | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 7 | N |
| 2011 | 3 | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 7 | N |
| | | | | | | the prominence | |
| structures | located along | the shore | eline, | including the | recently comple | eted pump house | near the sout |

end of Nevada Beach and a huge, unscreened residence north of Nevada Beach.

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 31 | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Bijou | Bijou | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 31.4 | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Resource Type View of Shoreline | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | | |
| 1982 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | А | | | | |
| 1991 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | A | | | | |
| 1996 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | A | | | | |
| 2001 | 2 | | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | A | | | | |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | А | | | | |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 8 | А | | | | |
| 2001 Con | nment: Stabiliz | zation c | of the b | ank with succ | essful revegeta | tion and replacen | nent of an office | | | | |
| building w | ith improved ar | rchitect | ural qu | alities improve | es unity and int | actness. | | | | | |
| | | | | | Dorado Beach s | shoreline park pro | duces | | | | |
| substantia | al improvements | s in sce | enic cor | nditions. | | | | | | | |

| Shorelin | e Unit Numb | er | 32 | | | | | | | |
|----------|----------------|---------|---------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Shorelin | e Unit Name | | Al Ta | Al Tahoe | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 32.1 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | е | View | of Shoreline |) | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | lness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1982 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | A | | | |
| 1991 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | A | | | |
| 1996 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | A | | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | A | | | |
| 2006 | 2 | | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | A | | | |
| 2011 | 2 | | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | A | | | |
| 2001 Con | ment: Maturii | ng vege | etation | along the sho | reline improves | unity and intactn | iess. | | | |

ROADWAY RESOURCES

| Roadway | y Unit Numbe | er 12 | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Roadway | Unit Name | Taho | Tahoe Pines | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | esource Nu | nber 12.7 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | esource Typ | e Natu | ral Landsca | be | | | | | |
| Scenic Q | Quality Rating | gs | • | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A | | | |
| 1991 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | А | | | |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 9 | A | | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 9 | А | | | |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 9 | A | | | |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 9 | А | | | |
| | | | | | erry Street which | | | | |

repaving the bike trail, landscaping, and erosion control along the shoreline have increased intactness of this resource.

| Roadwa | y Unit Numb | er | 13 | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|------|---------|----------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| Roadwa | y Unit Name | | Sunn | yside | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nu | source Number 13.2 | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | ic Resource Type Visual Feature | | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Ratin | gs | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividr | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | |
| 1982 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 10 | А | |
| 1991 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 10 | А | |
| 1996 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | N | |
| 2001 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | N | |
| 2006 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | N | |
| 2011 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | N | |
| | | | | | | e, has been signi | | |
| by the pla | cement of solid | | | | of the roadway | sometime betwee | n 1982 and | |

1991. The view of the creek should be restored.

| Roadwa | y Unit Numbe | er 14 | 1 | | | | | |
|----------|----------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------|-------------------|--------|--|--|
| Roadwa | vay Unit Name Tahoe Tavern | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | ce Number 14.2 | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | e Vi | isual Feature | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividnes | ss Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 9 | A | | |
| 1991 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 9 | А | | |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 10 | A | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 10 | А | | |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 3 3 10 A | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 10 | A | | |
| | | | | | al and remodeling | | | |

| Roadwa | y Unit Numbe | er | 15 | | | | | |
|----------|----------------|-------|-------------------|---------|------------|-------|--------|--|
| Roadwa | y Unit Name | | Taho | e City | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 15.4 | - | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ |)e | Natural Landscape | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | |
| 1982 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 5 | A | |
| 1991 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 5 | A | |
| 1996 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 5 | A | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | |

2001 Comment: Completion of the Tahoe City streetscape project undergrounds utilities, introduces sidewalks, street trees and street lights, and provides new planter box areas. This increased unity and vividness. Greater use of native species, particularly conifers, could increase intactness even further.

| Roadwa | y Unit Numbe | ər | 15 | | | | |
|----------|----------------|-------|----------|----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Roadwa | y Unit Name | | Taho | e City | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 15.5 | - | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | е | View | s to Lake | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
| 1982 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | А |
| 1991 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | А |
| 1996 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | А |
| 2001 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | А |
| 2006 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | А |
| 2011 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | А |
| 2001 Con | mont Improv | | v of the | lake is afford | ad by the node | strian hoardwalk | and restriction |

2001 Comment: Improved view of the lake is afforded by the pedestrian boardwalk and restriction of lake side parking along the highway.

| Roadwa | y Unit Numbe | ər | 18 | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Roadwa | y Unit Name | | Carnelian Bay | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 18.3 | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | е | View | s to Lake | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | js | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 2 | ÷ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 8 | A | | |
| 1991 | 2 | ć | 3 | 2 | 1 | 8 | А | | |
| 1996 | 2 | ć | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | А | | |
| 2001 | 3 | ć | 3 | 2 | 2 | 10 | А | | |
| 2006 | 3 | ć | 3 | 2 | 2 | 10 | А | | |
| 2011 | 3 | <i>.</i> | 3 | 2 | 2 | 10 | A | | |
| 1996 Con | nment: The pa | noram | ic view | of Carnelian | Bay has been e | enhanced by the r | emoval of | | |
| several fo | reground struct | ures w | hich pr | eviously block | ked a portion of | the view. | | | |
| 2001 Con | nment: Comple | etion o | f the C | TC restoration | /access projec | ts on both sides c | of the marina | | |
| greatly en | hance the qual | ity of th | ne lake | view from the | roadway. The | projects improve | unity; with | | |
| vegetation | n establishment | , variet | y could | l also increase | Э. | - | | | |

| Roadway | y Unit Numb | er | 19 | | | | |
|----------|----------------|-------|-------|--------------|------------|-------|--------|
| Roadway | y Unit Name | | Flick | Point | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Nu | mber | 19.1 | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Typ | De | Natur | ral Landscap |)e | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | А |
| 1991 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | А |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | А |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | А |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | А |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1.5 | 7.5 | А |

2011 Comment: The application of a commercial stain product to roadside rock slope protection that darkens the rock improves this view of the natural landscape from the road.

| Roadwa | y Unit Numbe | ər | 20 | | | | |
|----------|----------------|----------|----------|------------|------------|-------|--------|
| Roadwa | y Unit Name | | Taho | e Vista | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 20.5 | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | e | View | s to Lake | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | А |
| 1991 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 10 | A |
| 1996 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 11 | A |
| 2001 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 3 | 11 | A |
| 2006 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 3 | 11 | A |
| 2011 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 3 | 11 | A |
| 1991 Con | ment: Increas | se in ur | hity and | intactness | • | | • |

omment: Increase in unity and intactness.

1996 Comment: The removal of remaining structures between the roadway and the lake, and development of a lakefront park improve the character of the view to the lake thus increasing the variety of the resource.

| Roadwa | y Unit Numbe | er 2 | 20 | | | | | |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------|----------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| Roadwa | y Unit Name | ٦ | Taho | e Vista | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nui | esource Number 20.6 | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | cenic Resource Type Visual Feature | | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividne | ess | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | |
| 1982 | 1 | 2 | | 3 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 1991 | 1 | 2 | | 3 | 1 | 7 | A | |
| 1996 | 1 | 2 | | 3 | 1 | 7 | Α | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 9 | A | |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 9 | A | |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 9 | A | |
| | | | | | | tion and the addit | ion of frontage | |
| landscapi | ng and moundi | ng impro | ves vi | iew of the gol | f course. | | | |

| Roadwa | y Unit Numb | er | 22 | | | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Roadwa | ay Unit Name Crystal Bay | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nu | rce Number 22.3 | | | | | |
| Scenic F | cenic Resource Type Natural Landscape | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
| 1982 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | А |
| 1991 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | A |
| 1996 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | A |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | Α |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | Α |
| | nment: Some ent to unity. | cut slop | be reve | getation and | installation of si | dewalks has occ | urred, producing |

| Roadway | y Unit Numb | er | 26 | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------------|------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Roadway | y Unit Name | | Sand | Sand Harbor | | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Nu | mber | 26.5 | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Typ | e | Views | s to Lake | | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | Iness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | | |
| 1982 | 2 | | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | А | | | | |
| 1991 | 2 | ; | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | А | | | | |
| 1996 | 2 | ; | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | А | | | | |
| 2001 | 2 | | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | N | | | | |
| 2006 | 2 | | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | N | | | | |
| 2011 | 2 | | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | Ν | | | | |

2001 Comment: Scores for vividness and intactness are reduced, reflecting the addition of piers, boathouses, and large, visible residences that have occurred since 1986.

| Roadwa | y Unit Numbe | er | 28 | | | | |
|------------------------|---|-------|-------|-------------|------------|-------|--------|
| Roadwa | Roadway Unit Name Scenic Resource Number | | | ner Summit | | | |
| Scenic F | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Resource Type | | | Entry | Point Views | 3 | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A |
| 1991 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A |
| 2001 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | N |
| 2006 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | N |
| 2011 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A |

2001 Comment: Placement of large, reflective guardrails adds to other existing features to reduce the scenic quality of a major entry point into the basin. The new guardrails limit the foreground view, further reducing the distinctiveness of the view.

2011 Comment: A new USFS Fire Station has been built in this area that is a visual improvement over the previous USFS building at this location. It fits well within the landscape, with modulated colors and articulated architectural features. Also, the appearance of the NDOT maintenance station to the south has improved by painting it dark green. These changes improve the vividness of this entry point view.

| Roadwa | y Unit Numbe | er 2 | 29 | | | | | | |
|----------|------------------------------------|-------------------|------|---------|------------|---------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Roadwa | y Unit Name | C | Cave | Rock | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nui | lumber 29.1 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | Type View to Lake | | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividne | ess | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 2 | 3 | | 3 | 1 | 9 | А | | |
| 1991 | 2 | 3 | | 3 | 1 | 9 | A | | |
| 1996 | 2 | 3 | | 3 | 1 | 9 | А | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 3 | | 3 | 1 | 9 | А | | |
| 2006 | 2 | 3 | | 3 | 2 | 10 | A | | |
| 2011 | 2 | 3 | | 3 | 2 | 10 | A | | |
| | nment: The co ality setting and | | | | | rier rail has impro | ved overall | | |

| Roadwa | y Unit Numb | er | 31 | | | | | | | |
|--------|----------------|-------|-------|--------------|------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|
| Roadwa | y Unit Name | | Meac | Meadow | | | | | | |
| Scenic | Resource Nu | mber | 31.1 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic | Resource Typ | be | View | View to Lake | | | | | | |
| Scenic | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivic | Iness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1982 | 2 | | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | A | | | |
| 1991 | 2 | | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | A | | | |
| 1996 | 2 | | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | A | | | |
| 2001 | 2 | | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | A | | | |
| 2006 | 2 | ; | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | A | | | |
| 2011 | 2 | : | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | A | | | |

2001 Comment: Maturation of the Jennings casino site restoration project has advanced to the stage of screening existing development and providing a distinctive meadow view, complete with pond and meandering stream vegetation.

| Roadway | y Unit Numbe | ər | 32 | | | | | | | |
|----------|------------------------|---------|-------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Roadway | Unit Name | | Casir | Casino Area | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | c Resource Number 32.2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | lesource Typ | e | Visua | Visual Feature | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | uality Rating | gs | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1982 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | A | | | |
| 1991 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | А | | | |
| 1996 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | A | | | |
| 2001 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | A | | | |
| 2006 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | A | | | |
| 2011 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | A | | | |
| 2001 Con | ment: Facado | e impro | vemen | ts at Horizon | and Harrahs. la | indscaping improv | vements at | | | |

2001 Comment: Façade improvements at Horizon and Harrans, landscaping improvements at Horizon, and some marginal sign improvements help this heavily impacted area better integrate into its setting, producing a small improvement in intactness.

| Roadwa | y Unit Numbe | er | 33 | | | | | | |
|----------|----------------|-----------------------|----------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Roadwa | y Unit Name | | The S | Strip | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 33.2 | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | ype Natural Landscape | | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividn | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 5 | А | | |
| 1991 | 991 1 | | | 2 | 2 | 7 | А | | |
| 1996 | 1 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 7 | А | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 9 | А | | |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 9 | А | | |
| 2011 | 2 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 9 | А | | |
| 1991 Con | nment: Distant | t view of | f Mt. Ta | allac is domin | ated in foregro | und by commercia | al activity and | | |
| roadway. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2001 Con | nment: Compl | etion of | the lin | ear park, drai | nage facilities, | and other redeve | lopment | | |

2001 Comment: Completion of the linear park, drainage facilities, and other redevelopment features in this area has greatly improved the foreground of the view of Mt. Tallac. These features have produced improvement in unity and variety. The new gondola cut is very visible from this area, yet it is not in the foreground of the Mt. Tallac view, thus its importance for this resource is reduced.

| Roadwa | y Unit Numbe | er 3 | 34 | | | | | | | | |
|----------|----------------------------------|------------|------|---------|------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Roadwa | Dadway Unit Name El Dorado Beach | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber 🕃 | 34.2 | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | be V | View | to Lake | Lake | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividne | ess | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | | |
| 1982 | 3 | 3 | | 3 | 2 | 11 | A | | | | |
| 1991 | 3 | 3 | | 3 | 2 | 11 | А | | | | |
| 1996 | 3 | 3 | | 3 | 2 | 11 | A | | | | |
| 2001 | 3 | 3 | | 3 | 2 | 11 | A | | | | |
| 2006 | 3 | 3 3 2 11 A | | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 11 3 3 3 2.5 11.5 A | | | | | | A | | | | |
| | nment: The re ound portion of | | | | | he road improve | s the quality of | | | | |

| Roadwa | y Unit Numbe | er | 35 | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Roadwa | y Unit Name | | Al Tahoe | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Scenic Resource Number 35.5 | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | pe Natural Landscape | | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | | |
| 1991 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | | |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | A | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A | | |
| 2006 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A | | |
| 2011 | 2 | | 2 2 2 8 A | | | | | | |
| 2001 Con | nment: Revise | d score | es to re | flect the impro | oved setbacks, | reduced signage, | , and retention | | |

of mature pines compared to other areas in this scenic unit.

| Roadway | y Unit Numbe | er 40 | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|-------------------|--------|--|
| Roadway | y Unit Name | Bro | ckway Cutoff | | | | |
| Scenic R | nic Resource Number 40.4 | | | | | | |
| Scenic R | Scenic Resource Type View to Lake | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | |
| 1982 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | A | |
| 1991 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | A | |
| 1996 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | A | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | N | |
| 2006 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | А | |
| 2011 2 3 2 2 9 | | | | | | Α | |
| | | | | | cks this targeted | | |

addition, construction of the relocated café/pro shop at the golf course narrows the frame of the view and changes its character.

2006 Comment: The teardown at the Tahoe Beach Club in concert with the maturation of the landscape mitigation required for the café/pro shop has increased the scenic quality of this resource and has resulted in a return to threshold attainment.

| Roadwa | y Unit Numbe | er | 40 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|-------|-------|-------------------|------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|
| Roadwa | y Unit Name | | Brock | Brockway Cutoff | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 40.5 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic Resource Type | | | Natu | Natural Landscape | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivic | Iness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1982 | 2 | | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | A | | | |
| 1991 | 2 | | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | A | | | |
| 1996 | 2 | ; | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | A | | | |
| 2001 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | A | | | |
| 2006 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | A | | | |
| 2011 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | A | | | |

1996 Comment: A utility undergrounding project has recently been completed which extends for a significant portion of the view of this resource. It has positively affected the view along both sides of the roadway, particularly the forested east side, and improved the intactness of the resource.

| Roadwa | y Unit Numbe | ər | 41 | | | | | |
|----------|----------------|---------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|--|
| Roadwa | y Unit Name | | t | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 41.2 | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | e | Natural Landscape | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | |
| 1982 | 3 | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | А | |
| 1991 | 3 | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | А | |
| 1996 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 10 | А | |
| 2001 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 2 | 10 | А | |
| 2006 | 3 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 10 | А | |
| 2011 | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 2 | 10 | А | |
| 1996 Con | ment: The ch | ange ir | h the ra | tina for vividn | ess and intactn | ess accounts for | a highly | |

1996 Comment: The change in the rating for vividness and intactness accounts for a highly contrasting linear scar from a power line maintenance road and for vegetation clearing associated with a previous fire.

| Roadwa | y Unit Numbe | ər | 43 | | | | | | | |
|----------|----------------|----------|------------------|---------------------|------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|
| Roadwa | y Unit Name | | Lowe | Lower Truckee River | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | r 43.2 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Typ | e | Entry Point View | | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Rating | gs | | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | | |
| 1982 | 3 | 3 | } | 3 | 2 | 11 | A | | | |
| 1991 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 8 | N | | | |
| 1996 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 8 | N | | | |
| 2001 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 8 | N | | | |
| 2006 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 8 | N | | | |
| 2011 | 1 | 3 | 3 3 1 8 | | | | | | | |
| 1991 Con | ment: Decrea | ase in u | nity an | d intactness. | • | | | | | |

| Roadway | y Unit Numb | er | 44 | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------|-----------------|------------|-------|--------|--|--|
| Roadway Unit Name | | | Kings | Kingsbury Grade | | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Nu | mber | 44.7 | - | | | | | |
| Scenic R | Resource Typ | Type Visual Feature | | | | | | | |
| Scenic C | Quality Ratin | gs | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vivid | ness | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status | | |
| 1982 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | A | | |
| 1991 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | A | | |
| 1996 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | A | | |
| 2001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A | | |
| 2006 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A | | |
| 2011 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | A | | |

1991 Comment: Increase in Unity and intactness.

2001 Comment: Landscape restoration has been successful. This resource is now in threshold attainment.

| Roadway Unit Number | | | 46 | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|------------|-------|--------|
| Roadwa | y Unit Name | | Pioneer Trail South | | | | |
| Scenic F | Resource Nur | nber | 46.4 | | | | |
| Scenic Resource Type | | | Visual Feature | | | | |
| Scenic Quality Ratings | | | | | | | |
| | Unity | Vividness | | Variety | Intactness | Score | Status |
| 1982 | 3 | 3 | | 3 | 2 | 11 | А |
| 1991 | 3 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 10 | N |
| 1996 | 3 | 2 | | 3 | 2 | 10 | N |
| 2001 | 3 | 2 | | 3 | 3 | 11 | A |
| 2006 | 3 | 2 | | 3 | 3 | 11 | A |
| 2011 | 3 | 2 | | 3 | 3 | 11 | A |
| 1991 Comment: Increase in vividness | | | | | | | |

1991 Comment: Increase in vividness.

2001 Comment: Completion and maturation of the CTC Cold Creek restoration project returns the stream to a meandering course and removes the artificial reservoir. Conifer tree growth, however, threatens to completely block this view without immediate thinning.