

## APPENDIX C

Crosswalk table showing differences between previous threshold evaluation “Threshold Indicator” categories and the 2011 threshold evaluation “Indicator Reporting Categories.” The table also shows differences in status and trend determinations between threshold evaluation reports.

2006 “Threshold Indicator” <sup>1</sup>	2011 Indicator Reporting Categories <sup>2</sup>	Status and Trend of “Threshold Indicators” as Determined with Approach Used in Previous Threshold Evaluations			Characterization of Overall Status and Trend for Indicator Reporting Categories as Determined with New Aggregation Approach and Reported in the 2011 Threshold Evaluation	
		2006 Status	2011 Status <sup>3</sup>	Trend <sup>4</sup>	2011 Indicator Reporting Category Status Summary <sup>5</sup>	2011 Trend <sup>6</sup>
AQ-1 CO	Carbon Monoxide	Non- Attainment	Attainment	↑	Considerably better than target	Rapid Improvement
AQ-5 US 50 Traffic Volume		Attainment	Attainment	↑		
AQ-2 O <sub>3</sub>	Ozone	Non- Attainment	Non-attainment	=	At or somewhat better than target	Little or No Change
AQ-3 Particulate [Matter]	Visibility	Non-attainment	Non-attainment	↑	At or somewhat better than target	Little or No Change
AQ-4 Visibility		Attainment	Attainment	=		
AQ-6 Wood Smoke		Unknown	Unknown	=		
AQ-7 VMT		Non-attainment	Attainment	↑		
AQ-8 Atmospheric Nutrient Loading	Nitrate Deposition	Unknown	Attainment	=	Implemented	Not Applicable
Not Addressed	Odor	Not Assessed	Not Assessed	Unk	Implemented	Not Applicable
WQ-1 Turbidity	Littoral Lake Tahoe	Attainment	Unknown	Unk	Unknown	Unknown

<sup>1</sup> Reporting categories used in previous Threshold Evaluations were referred to as “Threshold Indicators”

<sup>2</sup> Aligned with Threshold Standard categories adopted in Resolution 82-11

<sup>3</sup> Status determination that would have been assigned to the “Threshold Indicator” according to previous Threshold Evaluation’s attainment status determination approach. According to this approach all indicators within the reporting category are required to be in compliance with the Threshold Standard in all years within the 5-year reporting period in order for the “Threshold Indicator” to be determined to be “attained”.

<sup>4</sup> Based on staff’s best profession judgment. Trend icon used in previous Threshold Evaluations: Positive Trend “↑”; Negative Trend “↓”; No Trend “=”; Unknown = “Unk”

<sup>5</sup> Status determination based on the aggregation of all indicators within an Indicator Reporting Category. This approach was used for the 2011 Threshold Evaluation solely to characterize the overall status of indicators relative to standards within an Indicator Reporting Category. Status determinations at the Indicator Reporting Category are not to be misconstrued as a status and trend determinations made for individual Threshold Standards unless only one standard exists within an Indicator Reporting Category.

<sup>6</sup> Trend determination based on the aggregation of all indicators within an Indicator Reporting Category. This approach was used for the 2011 Threshold Evaluation solely to characterize the overall trends of indicators relative to standards within an Indicator Reporting Category. Trend determinations at the Indicator Reporting Category are not to be misconstrued as a trend determination made for individual Threshold Standards unless only one standard existed within an Indicator Reporting Category.

2006 "Threshold Indicator" <sup>1</sup>	2011 Indicator Reporting Categories <sup>2</sup>	Status and Trend of "Threshold Indicators" as Determined with Approach Used in Previous Threshold Evaluations			Characterization of Overall Status and Trend for Indicator Reporting Categories as Determined with New Aggregation Approach and Reported in the 2011 Threshold Evaluation	
		2006 Status	2011 Status <sup>3</sup>	Trend <sup>4</sup>	2011 Indicator Reporting Category Status Summary <sup>5</sup>	2011 Trend <sup>6</sup>
WQ-2 Clarity, Winter	Pelagic Lake Tahoe	Non- Attainment	Attainment <sup>7</sup>	↑	At or somewhat better than interim target, Somewhat worse than standard	Little or No Change
WQ-3 Phytoplankton (PPR)	Pelagic Lake Tahoe	Non-attainment	Non-attainment	↓	Considerably below target	Rapid Decline
WQ-4 Tributary Water Quality	Tributaries	Non-attainment	Non-attainment	↓	Somewhat worse than target	Moderate Improvement
WQ-5 Runoff Water Quality	Surface Runoff	Non-attainment	Unknown	Unk	Unknown	Unknown
WQ-6 Groundwater	Groundwater	Non-attainment	Unknown	Unk	Unknown	Unknown
WQ-7 Other Lakes	Other Lakes	Non-attainment	Unknown	Unk	Unknown	Unknown
SC-1 Impervious Cover	Impervious Cover	Non-attainment	Non-attainment	Unk	Considerably better than target	Unknown
SC-2 Naturally Functioning SEZ	Stream Environment Zone	Non-attainment	Non-attainment	↑	Considerably worse than target	Moderate Improvement
V-1 Relative Abundance and Pattern	Common Vegetation	Non-attainment	Non-attainment	Unk	Somewhat worse than target	Unknown
V-2 Uncommon Plant Communities	Uncommon Plant Communities	Attainment	Non-attainment	=	At or somewhat better than target	Little or no change
V-3 Sensitive Vegetation	Sensitive Plants	Attainment	Attainment	↑	Considerably better than target	Little or no change
V-4 Late Seral/Old Growth	Late Seral and Old Growth	Non-attainment	Non-attainment	Unk	Considerably worse than target	Unknown
F-1 Lake Habitat	Lake Habitat	Non-attainment	Attainment	Unk	At or somewhat better than target	Unknown
F-2 Stream Habitat	Stream Habitat	Unknown	Unknown	=	Unknown	Unknown
F-3 Instream Flows	Instream Flows	Attainment	Attainment	=	Implemented	Not Applicable
F-4 Lahontan Cutthroat Trout	Lahontan Cutthroat Trout	Attainment	Attainment	↑	Implemented	Not Applicable
W-1 Special Interest Species	Special Interest Species	Non-attainment	Non-attainment	↑	At or somewhat better than target	Moderate Improvement

<sup>7</sup> Meeting "interim target"; not meeting Threshold Standard.

2006 "Threshold Indicator" <sup>1</sup>	2011 Indicator Reporting Categories <sup>2</sup>	Status and Trend of "Threshold Indicators" as Determined with Approach Used in Previous Threshold Evaluations			Characterization of Overall Status and Trend for Indicator Reporting Categories as Determined with New Aggregation Approach and Reported in the 2011 Threshold Evaluation	
		2006 Status	2011 Status <sup>3</sup>	Trend <sup>4</sup>	2011 Indicator Reporting Category Status Summary <sup>5</sup>	2011 Trend <sup>6</sup>
W-2 Habitats of Special Significance	Habitat of Special Significance	Non-attainment	Non-attainment <sup>8</sup>	↑	Implemented	Not Applicable
SR-1 Travel Route Ratings	Roadway and Shoreline Units	Non-attainment	Non-attainment	↑	At or somewhat better than target	Moderate Improvement
SR-2 Scenic Quality Ratings		Non-attainment	Non-attainment	=		
SR-3 Public Rec. Area Scenic Quality Ratings	Other Areas	Non-attainment	Non-attainment	=	At or somewhat better than target	Little or no change
SR-4 Community Design	Built Environment	Non-attainment	Non-attainment	↑	Implemented	Not Applicable
N-1 Single Event (Aircraft)	Single Noise Events	Unknown	Non-attainment	↓	Somewhat worse than target	Unknown
N-2 Single Event (Other)		Non-attainment	Non-attainment	Unk		
N-3 Community Noise	Cumulative Noise Events	Non-attainment	Non-attainment	=	Somewhat worse than target	Little or no change
R-1 High Quality Recreational Experiences	Quality of Recreation Experience	Attainment	Attainment	↑	Implemented	Not Applicable
R-2 Capacity Available to the General Public	Fair Share Distribution of Recreation Capacity	Attainment	Attainment	↑	Implemented	Not Applicable

<sup>8</sup> Previous Threshold Evaluations used the SEZ indicator determination as a surrogate to habitats of special significance.

