RSP2015-1251

ampground Project

11" x 17" plans, folded to 8.5" x 11", are enclosed.

10. Initial Environmental Checklist.

Enclosed.

11. Change in Operation form.

Enclosed.

12. Results of Soils/Hydrologic Application (if excavating beyond 5 feet in depth).

LCAP 2015-0305

Soils report enclosed.

13. Commercial allocation letter or location of commercial transfer.

N/A (primary use is recreation – no commercial floor area is required).

14. Applicable findings with written explanation.

#### **Any Project**

- 4.4.1 <u>Findings Necessary to Approve Any Project.</u> To approve any project, TRPA must find that:
  - A. The project is consistent with and will not adversely affect implementation of the Regional Plan, including all applicable Goals and Policies, plan area statements and maps, the Code and other TRPA plans and programs.

The Project includes a 550-site campground with a mix of tent sites, camper sites and eco shelters, and accessory amenities. The Project is located within Plan Area Statements Martis Peak (019) and Watson Creek (013) wherein developed campgrounds are a permissible as a special recreation use. The Project will be developed on Class 4 and Class 6 high capability lands. While the permissible density for developed campgrounds is 8 sites per acre, the Project's proposed density is 5 sites per acre. The campground will provide opportunities for a high quality camping experience in North Tahoe close to miles of hiking and mountain biking trails. The project is consistent with the Agency's goals and policies to increase public recreation opportunities and will not adversely affect implementation of the Regional Plan.

B. The project will not cause the environmental threshold carrying capacities thresholds to be exceeded.

The proposed campground is a permissible use within the applicable plan area statements and will accelerate attainment of TRPA's Recreation Threshold to ensure a fair share of the total Basin capacity for outdoor recreation is available to the general public. Since the first Regional Plan was adopted in 1987, only 6.4

percent of the Region's overnight PAOTs has been assigned. The 2001 Threshold Report concludes that overnight PAOTs are under-represented, likely due to the fact that the major public landholders have not pursued construction of developed campgrounds. The Project will be the first new campground in Tahoe in over 20 years, providing a significant increase in high quality recreation opportunities available to the general public.

Moreover, the Project will be developed on high capability land well below the properties' allowable land coverage. Storm water runoff will be infiltrated onsite. No SEZ, wetlands or surface water bodies exist within the project site which is situated 6.6 miles hydrologically from Lake Tahoe. The Project will not impact views from scenic roads, the Lake or public recreation areas. Neither noise nor substantial air emissions will be generated by the Project, and any potential transportation impacts resulting from new trips to the site will be studied and mitigated.

C. Wherever federal, state or local air and water quality standards apply for the region, the strictest standards shall be attained, maintained or exceeded pursuant to Article V(d) of the Tahoe Regional Planning Compact.

The Project will comply with the applicable air and water quality standards.

#### **Special Uses**

- 21.2.2. Before issuing an approval for a special use, TRPA shall make the following findings:
  - A. The project to which the use pertains is of such a nature, scale, density, intensity, and type to be an appropriate use for the parcel on which and surrounding area in which it will be located;

The proposed seasonal campground has been designed at a density lower than the allowable in the applicable plan area statements and to integrate the natural forested environment into the layout. Similarly, the Project's proposed coverage is substantially less than its allowable coverage under Bailey. Close to miles of hiking and biking trails, a campground with onsite amenities is an ideal use for the site.

B. The project to which the use pertains will not be injurious or disturbing to the health, safety, enjoyment of property, or general welfare of persons or property in the neighborhood, or general welfare of the region, and the applicant has taken reasonable steps to protect against any such injury and to protect the land, water, and air resources of both the applicant's property and that of surrounding property owners; and

The Project is not located near any neighborhood or other development that it could potentially impact. Surrounding properties include public and private forested land. The Project will be developed within the properties' allowable

land coverage on high capability land. Storm water runoff will be infiltrated onsite, and no SEZ, wetlands or surface water bodies exist within the project site which is located 6.6 miles hydrologically from Lake Tahoe. The Project will eliminate existing dirt roads that PAS 013 identifies and PAS 019 describes as contributing to erosion and water quality problems. The campground will include shared gas fire pits to reduce impacts to air quality.

C. The project to which the use pertains will not change the character of the neighborhood, or detrimentally affect or alter the purpose of the applicable planning area statement, community plan, and specific or master plan, as the case may be.

The Project will not change the character of area and incorporates the site's natural features into the layout and design of the campground. The Project is consistent with both PAS 013 and PAS 019.

#### **Allocation of Development**

- 50.9.1 Required Findings for Approval of Additional Recreation Facilities. Approval of additional recreation facilities shall only be permitted for projects for which the sponsoring entity demonstrates and TRPA finds that:
  - A. There is a need for the project;

The proposed Brockway Campground will be the first new campground in Tahoe in over 20 years, and existing campsites in North Tahoe comprise just 2 percent of the Basin's campsites. (Compare to South Lake Tahoe's 58 percent). The Project will provide seasonal high quality and diverse camping experiences in close proximity to miles of hiking and biking trails. The campground will also provide Tahoe Rim trail users with access to water, supplies, showers and camping. Camping is for everyone.

B. The project complies with the Goals and Policies, the applicable plan area statements, and Code;

See Response to Finding 4.4.1.A, above.

- C. The project is consistent with the following TRPA maximum allowances for outdoor recreation:
  - 1. 6,114 people at one time ("PAOT") in overnight facilities;

As of the 2011 Threshold Evaluation, 5,720 of the Regional Plan's initial allocation of 6,114 overnight PAOTs, or 93.7 percent, remain unassigned. Between the 2006 and 2011 Threshold Evaluations, zero overnight PAOTs were assigned. PAS 013 – Watson Creek has an allocation of 400 overnight PAOTs and PAS 019 – Martis Peak has an allocation of 124 overnight PAOTs for a total of 524 overnight PAOTs. The applicant

requests an assignment of an additional 796 overnight PAOTs, based on the Conservative Annual Calculation in the table below. Following such assignment, nearly 72 percent of the initial overnight PAOT allocation will remain available for future campgrounds, group facilities and/or recreational vehicle parks.

	PAOT 0	Calcul	ation Table			
	Sites	PPL	Avg. Occupancy	Total	Seasonal Use (160 days)	PAOTs
Conservative Seasonal Calculation	550	4	60%	1320	44% PAOTs available	579 524
						55
Conservative Annual Calculation	550	4	60%	1320	100% PAOTs available	1320 524
						796
Realistic Seasonal Calculation	550	2.5	60%	825	44% PAOTs available	362 524
						-162
Realistic Annual Calculation	550	2.5	60%	825	100% PAOTs available	825 524
						301

2. 6,761 PAOT in summer day-use facilities;

N/A

3. 12,400 PAOT in winter day-use facilities; and

N/A

4. Plus the allocations set forth in the plan area statements, or the pools of reserved PAOT capacity;

PAS 013 – Watson Creek has an allocation of 400 overnight PAOTs and PAS 019 – Martis Peak has an allocation of 124 overnight PAOTs for a total of 524 overnight PAOTs.



OFFICE 128 Market St. Stateline,NV

Phone:(775) 588-4547 Fax: (775) 588-4527 MAIL PO Box 5310 Stateline, NV 89449-5310

> www.trpa.org trpa@trpa.org

HOURS Mon. Wed. Thurs. Fri 9 am-12 pm/1 pm-4 pm Closed Tuesday

New Applications Until 3:00 pm

**Print Form** 

# INITIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FOR DETERMINATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

I. Assessor's Pai	rcel Number (APN)/Project Location	110-050-029, 030 and 031	
	Brockway Campground	County/City	Placer
See project des	of Project: Scription, enclosed with the Recreati	on Project Application.	

RECEIVED

JUL 8 1 2015

TAHOE REGIONAL FLANNING AGENCY The following questionnaire will be completed by the applicant based on evidence submitted with the application. All "Yes" and "No, With Mitigation" answers will require further written comments. Use the blank boxes to add any additional information. If more space is required for additional information, please attach separate sheets and reference the question number and letter.

#### **II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:**

#### 1. Land

Will the proposal result in:		
<ul> <li>a. Compaction or covering of the soil beyond the limits allowed land capability or Individual Parcel Evaluation System (IPE)</li> </ul>		
The project's land coverage will not exceed its	Yes	No No
allowable under the Bailey Land Capability System.	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
b. A change in the topography or ground surface relief feature inconsistent with the natural surrounding conditions?	es of site	
The project will generally follow the natural contours of the site and would not change the topographic	☐ Yes	X No
features such that it would be inconsistent with the natural surrounding conditions.	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
c. Unstable soil conditions during or after completion of the p	roposal?	
Unstable soil conditions may exist during project construction, which will require cut and fill.	Yes	No
Temporary BMPs will be maintained throughout construction to prevent soil erosion.	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
d. Changes in the undisturbed soil or native geologic substrugrading in excess of 5 feet?	ctures or	
In some areas, excavation to depths of up to 11 feet may be necessary. A soils/hydrologic application	☐ Yes	No
will be submitted to TRPA for approval of excavation in excess of 5 feet.	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
e. The continuation of or increase in wind or water erosion of either on or off the site?	soils,	
See response to 1.c, above.	Yes	No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient

siltation, deposition or erosion, including natural littor which may modify the channel of a river or stream or lake?	al processes,	
	Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards searthquakes, landslides, backshore erosion, avalanground failure, or similar hazards?	such as ches, mud slides,	
	T Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	☐ Data Insufficient
2. Air Quality		
Will the proposal result in:		
a. Substantial air pollutant emissions?		
		X No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
b. Deterioration of ambient (existing) air quality?		
	Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
c. The creation of objectionable odors?		
	Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
<ul> <li>d. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, in climate, either locally or regionally?</li> </ul>	or any change	
	Yes	∏x No
	No, With	Data Insufficient

e. Increased use of diesel fuel?		
	Yes	🗓 No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficie
er Quality		
Will the proposal result in:		
a. Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water me	ovements?	
	_ Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	□ Data Insuffici
<ul> <li>b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rat amount of surface water runoff so that a 20 yr. 1 hr. storm (approximately 1 inch per hour) cannot be contained on the</li> </ul>	runoff	
Permanent onsite BMPs will treat and infiltrate runoff generated within the project area from the 20-year, 1-	☐ Yes	X No
hour design storm.	☐ No, With Mitigation	□ Data Insuffici
c. Alterations to the course or flow of 100-yearflood waters?		
	☐ Yes	👿 No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insuffici
d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body	?	
	☐ Yes	<b>X</b> No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insuffici
Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved turbidity?		
	☐ Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	☐ Data Insuffici

	_	Yes	Γ <sub>X</sub> No
		No, With	D.
	Γ	Mitigation	I In
g. Change in the quantity of groundwater, either through dire or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cu or excavations?	ect ad its	lditions	
Groundwater well(s) will supply water to the Project.	X	Yes	N
droundwater wen(o) win suppry water to the response	, 	No, With Mitigation	□ Da
h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise ava public water supplies?	ailable	for	
	<u> </u>	Yes	<u>x</u> N
	Ţ	No, With Mitigation	□ Da
i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards so flooding and/or wave action from 100-year storm occurrer seiches?			
	Г	Yes	X N
	<u> </u>	No, With Mitigation	☐ In
	er or a	any	
j. The potential discharge of contaminants to the groundwate alteration of groundwater quality?			
alteration of groundwater quality?  Groundwater wells will be developed to supply the		Yes	ΠN
alteration of groundwater quality?	Ιx	Yes No, With Mitigation	_ D
Groundwater wells will be developed to supply the Project with water. However, best available technology and BMPs will be used during drilling to	Īx	No, With	_ D
Groundwater wells will be developed to supply the Project with water. However, best available technology and BMPs will be used during drilling to prevent impacts to groundwater quality.	Īx	No, With	

## 4. Vegetation

Will the proposal result in:

	Г	Yes	X	No
		No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insuff
b. Removal of riparian vegetation or other vegetation associ- critical wildlife habitat, either through direct removal or ind lowering of the groundwater table?		vith		
	<u> </u>	Yes	Īx.	No
	. Г.	No, With Mitigation		Data Insuff
c. Introduction of new vegetation that will require excessive water, or will provide a barrier to the normal replenishmer species?				
Landscaping will emphasize native and drought- tolerant plants.	Г	Yes	x	No
tolerant prants.	<del></del> -	No, With		Data Insuff
	<b>L</b>	Mitigation		
d. Change in the diversity or distribution of species, or numb species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, m and aquatic plants)?		any		
species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, m		any	Ī <del>X</del>	No
species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, m	icro fl	any ora	<b>IX</b> :	No Data
species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, m	nicro fl	any ora Yes No, With Mitigation	<b>IX</b> :	No Data
species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, mand aquatic plants)?  e. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endange	nicro fl	any ora Yes No, With Mitigation		No

f. Removal of stream bank and/or backshore vegetation, inc woody vegetation such as willows?	auamg			
	Γ	Yes	X	No
	Γ	No, With Mitigation	,	Data Insufficient
g. Removal of any native live, dead or dying trees30 inches in diameter at breast height (dbh) within TRPA's Conserva Recreation land use classifications?				
The Project is located within Conservation plan areas: PAS 013 - Watson Creek and PAS 019 - Martis Peak.	Īx	Yes	<u> </u>	No
Some trees 30" or greater will be removed pursuant to a Limited Forest Plan approved by TRPA.		No, With Mitigation		Data Insufficient
h. A change in the natural functioning of an old growth ecosy	ystem?	?		
	· Γ	Yes	X	No
	Г	No, With Mitigation		Data Insufficient
fe				
Will the proposal result in:				
a. Change in the diversity or distribution of species, or numb species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, shellfish, benthic organisms, insects, mammals, amphibia microfauna)?	fish ar			
	Г.	Yes	x	No
	Γ	No, With Mitigation	Assessment V	Data Insufficient
<ul> <li>b. Reduction of the number of any unique, rare or endangered of animals?</li> </ul>	ed spe	ecies		
Ascent Environmental prepared a baseline biological	·	Yes	С	No
resources report which indicated that the project site				

	barrier to the migration or movement of animals?		
		Yes	X No
		No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
ı	d. Deterioration of existing fish or wildlife habitat quantity or	quality?	
		Yes	<u> </u> No
		No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
Noise	•		
,	Will the proposal result in:		
;	a. Increases in existing Community Noise Equivalency Level beyond those permitted in the applicable Plan Area State Community Plan or Master Plan?		
		☐ Yes	X No
		No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
I	b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?		
		_ Yes	X No
		No, With Mitigation	_ Data
		willigation	l Insufficient
	C. Single event noise levels greater than those set forth in the Noise Environmental Threshold?	-	Insufficient
		-	Insufficient

d.	The placement of residential or tourist accommodation use where the existing CNEL exceeds 60 dBA or is otherwise incompatible?	es in a	areas		
		Γ.	Yes	x	No
			No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insufficient
e.	The placement of uses that would generate an incompatible level in close proximity to existing residential or tourist accommodation uses?	le no	ise		
		Γ	Yes	X	No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Γ	Data Insufficient
f. i	Exposure of existing structures to levels of ground vibratior could result in structural damage?	that			
			Yes	x	No
		Π	No, With Mitigation		Data Insufficient

#### 7. Light and Glare

Will the proposal: a. Include new or modified sources of exterior lighting? Lighting to be provided for way-finding. All lights to ☐ No x Yes be shielded and directed downward to minimize No, With Data offsite visibility. Lighting will meet CA Title 24 and Mitigation dark sky requirements. b. Create new illumination which is more substantial than other lighting, if any, within the surrounding area? Lighting to be provided for way-finding. All lights to No ☐ Yes be shielded and directed downward to minimize No, With Data offsite visibility Mitigation Insufficient c. Cause light from exterior sources to be cast off -site or onto public lands? X No ☐ Yes Data No, With Insufficient Mitigation d. Create new sources of glare through the siting of the improvements or through the use of reflective materials? X No Yes No, With Data Mitigation Insufficient

#### 8. Land Use

Will the proposal:

a. Include uses which are not listed as permissible uses in the applicable Plan Area Statement, adopted Community Plan, or Master Plan?

		Yes	x	No
Ĺ	_	No, With Mitigation		Data Insufficient

b. Expand or intensify an existing non-conforming use?		
	Yes	X No
	☐ No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficien
ural Resources		
Will the proposal result in:		
a. A substantial increase in the rate of use of any natur	ral resources?	
	☐ Yes	x No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
b. Substantial depletion of any non-renewable natural r	resource?	
	Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
k of Upset		
Will the proposal:		
<ul> <li>Involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazar substances including, but not limited to, oil, pesticide radiation in the event of an accident or upset condition</li> </ul>	es, chemicals, or	
	Yes	x No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
b. Involve possible interference with an emergency eva	cuation plan?	
	Yes	X No
	No, With	Data Insufficient
The state of the s		

### 11. Population

Will the proposal:a. Alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population planned for the Region?

population planned for the Region?		
	☐ Yes	√x No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
<ul> <li>b. Include or result in the temporary or permanent displace residents?</li> </ul>	ement of	
	Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
12. Housing		
Will the proposal:		
a. Affect existing housing, or create a demand for addition	nal housing?	
To determine if the proposal will affect existing housi demand for additional housing, please answer questions:		
(1) Will the proposal decrease the amount of housing in th Region?	e Tahoe	
	Yes	x No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
(2) Will the proposal decrease the amount of housing in the Region historically or currently being rented at rates af lower and very-low-income households?		
	Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insufficient
Number of Existing Dwe	elling Units: 0	
Number of Proposed Dv	velling Units: n	

very-low-income households?			
	Γ.	Yes	X No
		No, With Mitigation	☐ Data Insuf
nsportation/Circulation			
Will the proposal result in:			
a. Generation of 100 or more new Daily Vehic	ele Trip Ends (DVTE	)?	
		Yes	☐ No
		No, With Mitigation	Data Insu
b. Changes to existing parking facilities, or de	mand for new parkir	ng?	
	<u> </u>	Yes	X No
	Γ.	No, With Mitigation	ー Data Insu
I	which is a size a size of the contract of the		
c. Substantial impact upon existing transporta highway, transit, bicycle or pedestrian facili		ing	
		ing	x No
			_ Data
highway, transit, bicycle or pedestrian facili  d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation	ties?	Yes No, With Mitigation	_ Data
highway, transit, bicycle or pedestrian facili	ties?	Yes  No, With Mitigation  ople	Data Insu
highway, transit, bicycle or pedestrian facili  d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation	ties?	Yes  No, With Mitigation  ople  Yes	Data Insu
highway, transit, bicycle or pedestrian facili  d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation	ties?	Yes  No, With Mitigation  ople	Data Insu
highway, transit, bicycle or pedestrian facili  d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation	ties?	Yes No, With Mitigation  ople Yes No, With	Data Insu
highway, transit, bicycle or pedestrian facili  d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation and/or goods?	ties?	Yes No, With Mitigation  ople Yes No, With	Data Insu

		Г	Yes	<u>x</u>	No
		· [	No, With Mitigation	Γ	Da In:
olic S	ervices				
	the proposal have an unplanned effect upon, or result in or altered governmental services in any of the following				
a. F	Fire protection?				
	:	Г	Yes	Īx	No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	[:	D In
b. F	Police protection?				
		Г	Yes	X	Ν
		Γ	No, With Mitigation	Γ	D In
c. S	Schools?				
			Yes	X	N
			No, With Mitigation	П	D Ir
d. P	arks or other recreational facilities?				
		Г	Yes	x	N
***************************************		П	No, With Mitigation		D In
e. M	aintenance of public facilities, including roads?				
			V	E-	Ν
			Yes	X	14

f. Other governmental services?		
	T Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	☐ Data Insuffic
rgy		
Will the proposal result in:		
a. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?		
	Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insuffic
b. Substantial increase in demand upon existing source require the development of new sources of energy		
	Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	☐ Data Insuffic
ities		
Except for planned improvements, will the proposal re new systems, or substantial alterations to the following	sult in a need for g utilities:	
a. Power or natural gas?		
	☐ Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	☐ Data Insuffic
b. Communication systems?		
	Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insuffic
c. Utilize additional water which amount will exceed the permitted capacity of the service provider?	I. Mitigation	1
	I. Mitigation	Data Insuffic

				Yes	x	No
					122	
PROF   100		ı		No, With Mitigation	Γ	Da Ins
e. Storm wate	er drainage?					
		j		Yes	IX	No
				No, With	<u></u>	Da
		The state of the s	and the	Mitigation	S	Ins
f 0-83	and diamond 10					
f. Solid waste	and disposal?			Yes	x	No
		,			IA.	
			ACC CROSS	No, With Mitigation	Г	Da In:
nan Health						
Will the propos	sal result in:					
	any health hazard or no	tential health hazard (exc	lud	ling		
a. Creation of mental hea	ilth)?					
a. Creation of mental hea	ally reality r			Yes	X	No
a. Creation of mental hea	alth)?			Yes No, With Mitigation	X	Da Ins

#### 18. Scenic Resources/Community Design

Will the proposal:

a. Be visible from any state or federal highway, Pioneer Trail or from Lake Tahoe? ┌ Yes X No Data No, With Insufficient Mitigation b. Be visible from any public recreation area or TRPA designated bicycle trail? X No Data No, With Mitigation Insufficient c. Block or modify an existing view of Lake Tahoe or other scenic vista seen from a public road or other public area? X No No, With Data Mitigation Insufficient d. Be inconsistent with the height and design standards required by the applicable ordinance or Community Plan? X No Yes No, With Data Insufficient Mitigation e. Be inconsistent with the TRPA Scenic Quality Improvement Program (SQIP) or Design Review Guidelines? Yes No, With Data Mitigation Insufficient

#### 19. Recreation

Does the proposal: a. Create additional demand for recreation facilities? X No No, With Data Insufficient Mitigation b. Create additional recreation capacity? X Yes ☐ No The Project will provide additional camping opportunities and services to hikes, bikers and No, With Data outdoor enthusiasts. This is a beneficial impact. Mitigation c. Have the potential to create conflicts between recreation uses, either existing or proposed? X No No, With Data Insufficient Mitigation d. Result in a decrease or loss of public access to any lake, waterway, or public lands? ┌ Yes X No No, With Data Mitigation Insufficient 20. Archaeological/Historical a. Will the proposal result in an alteration of or adverse physical or aesthetic effect to a significant archaeological or historical site, structure, object or building? X No ☐ Yes No, With Data Mitigation Insufficient

Minor historical resources associated with past logging on the site will need to be	☐ Yes	☐ No
documented.	No, With Mitigation	Data Insuff
c. Is the property associated with any historically significand/or sites or persons?	cant events	
	T Yes	<u>Γ</u> Νο
	No, With Mitigation	Data Insuff
d. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a phys which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?	sical change	
	Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	口 Data Insuffi
Will the proposal restrict historic or pre-historic religiouses within the potential impact area?	ous or sacred	
	Yes	X No
	No, With Mitigation	☐ Data Insuff
ndings of Significance.		
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quenvironment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminat animal community, reduce the number or restrict the endangered plant or animal or eliminate important ex major periods of California or Nevada history or prehim	n population to te a plant or range of a rare or amples of the	
	Yes	x No

b. Is the proposed project located on a property with any known

,	Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, t disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short- impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relative definitive period of time, while long-term impacts will endur the future.)	term ely b	rief,		
		Γ	Yes	Ιx	No
		Γ	No, With Mitigation		Data Insufficient
	Does the project have impacts which are individually limited cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two consequences where the impact on each resource is small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on environmental is significant?)	or mo relat the	ore ively	E	No
1				1 X	131(1
***************************************			Yes No, With Mitigation	X	No Data Insuffici

Person Prepa	aring Application	At <u>Dougla</u>	s County	Date: 07/29/2015
\pplicant Written Commen	ts: (Attach additional sheets	f necessary)		
	,			
			•	

Page 21 of 26

1/2014

I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this initial evaluation to the best ofmy ability, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge

**DECLARATION:** 

TRPA-IEC

# **FOR OFFICE USE ONLY** Date Received: Determination: On the basis of this evaluation: a. The proposed project could not have a significant effect on the environment and a finding of no significant effect shall be prepared in accordance with TRPA's Rules of Procedure. ☐ No b. The proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, but due to the listed mitigation measures which have been added to the project, could have no significant effect on the environment and a mitigated finding of no significant effect shall be prepared in accordance with TRPA's Rules and Procedures. ☐ No c. The proposed project may have a significant effect on the environment and an environmental impact statement shall be prepared in accordance with Chapter 3 of the TRPA Code of Ordinances and the Rules of Procedure. ☐ Yes ∏ No Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature of Evaluator Title of Evaluator

1/2014