

LIST OF FIGURES

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Figure ES-1 One hundred and fifty one standards were addressed in this report. Of those 151 standards, we were able to make a status determination for 92 indicators. As shown in the pie chart on the right, of the 92 standards that we were able to make a determination on status, 57 of them, or 62% were implemented or in attainment and 35 indicators, or 38% of the standards have not yet been attained. There were certain standards that we had to classify indicators as “unknown” because it was not clear what the standard was, or there were insufficient data to make a determination. Overall, there were 59 instances in the report where we had to make a determination of “unknown.”ES-5
- Figure ES-2 A trend determination was made for 64 of the 151 indicators in this report. Trends were not determined for qualitatively evaluated management standards and policy statements, or when data were insufficientES-7
- Figure ES-3 Average annual change (hectares/year) in major land use/cover classes from 1940 to 2002 in the southern portion of the Lake Tahoe Basin (adapted from Raumann and Cablk 2008). Since the implementation of the *Regional Plan* in 1987 (1987 to 2002 time period) the rate of lands converted to the developed/impervious class has declined dramatically, in some cases to zero, when compared to the time periods up to and prior to 1987. (1 hectare=2.5 acres).....ES-8
- Figure ES-4 Winter average Secchi disk depth measurements (\pm 1 Standard Deviation) relative to interim targets and Threshold Standard from 1968 to 2011. Each value is the mean of 5 to 13 individual measurements taken at the Lake Tahoe index station from December through March. The 2011 measured value (25.9 m or 84.9 ft) was determined to be somewhat worse than TRPA’s adopted standard of 109.5 ft, but was determined to be at or better than the interim target of 24 m identified by TRPA in the 2006 Threshold Evaluation. The long-term trend has shown a historically declining condition between 1968 and 1987, but in more recent years (2001-2011) exhibits a trend of moderate improvement. Data source: UC Davis – Tahoe Environmental Research CenterES-9
- Figure ES-5 Graph showing the application of Carlson’s Trophic Status Index to pelagic Lake Tahoe data. The index uses a log transformation of measured Secchi disc values as a measure algal biomass on a scale from 0-110. Each increase of 10 units on the scale represents a doubling of algal biomass. Because chlorophyll and total phosphorus are usually closely correlated to Secchi disc measurements, these parameters can also be assigned to trophic status index values and can be combined to provide an integrated measure of trophic status. The Carlson Trophic Status Index is useful for comparing lakes within a region and for assessing changes in a lake’s trophic status over time. The goal for Lake Tahoe is to maintain annual Carlson’s Trophic Index values below 30. As of 2010, the integrated index value for Lake Tahoe’s pelagic zone was 18.9, indicating the Lake is retaining its status as “ultraoligotrophic.” ES-10
- Figure ES-6 & 7 Legend for Status, Trend, and Confidence reporting icons used for status & trend determinations in this report..... ES-11

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Figure 1-1 The Tahoe Regional Planning Agency Regional Plan Map. Plan areas are shown, with each color representing an individual land use classification..... 1-2

Figure 1-2 Example of how Threshold Standards are hierarchically organized under Indicator Reporting Categories and Threshold Categories 1-6

Figure 1-3 This figure illustrates the colors and symbols used to communicate the three components of a reporting icon: status, trend, and confidence. Each Indicator Summary presents an analysis of an indicator’s current condition and trend relative to a standard. This information is used to guide which status and trend graphics are represented in the reporting icon. An evaluation of data quality and the robustness of the status and trend analysis are used to guide the level of confidence assigned to a reporting icon..... 1-9

CHAPTER 2: METHODOLOGY

Figure 2-1 Categories of indicator status and the colors assigned to each category. Status determinations were based on the percent divergence of the most current indicator value from the standard or interim target 2-4

Figure 2-2 Categories of trend determinations represented in the reporting Icon. If data were limited such that a trend could not be determined a diamond shape was used in the reporting icon 2-8

Figure 2-3 Scoring system used to aggregate status, trend and confidence ratings to the indicator reporting category level 2-12

Figure 2-4 To characterize the overall status of the Sensitive Plants Indicator Reporting Category, the above example summary figures were used. In this example, reporting icons for the five indicators evaluated in the Sensitive Plants Indicator Reporting Category are used. Results from each of the five indicators were evaluated and aggregated according to the scoring system. In this example, the status of individual indicators is presented in concert with the summary aggregation of status, trend, and confidence allowing the reader to clearly understand both the status of individual standards, and which indicators are influencing the summary determination made at the Indicator Reporting Category. 2-14

CHAPTER 3: AIR QUALITY

Figure 3-1 Reporting icons for the three indicators evaluated in the Carbon Monoxide Indicator Reporting Category. Results from each of the three indicators (bottom) were evaluated and aggregated to characterize the overall status Of the Carbon Monoxide Indicator Reporting Category (top)..... 3-12

Carbon Monoxide: Highest and 2nd Highest 1-Hour Concentration of Carbon Monoxide The highest and second highest 1-hour average carbon monoxide (CO) concentrations relative to adopted Threshold Standards from the year 1983 through 2011 at the Stateline monitoring sites All concentrations are better than federal standards and most are better than the more stringent TRPA and state standards. Long-term trends show rapid improvement from 1983-1998, and 2000-2011. Discontinuity in the data occurred in 1999 due to relocation of the monitoring site. Data Source: Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP)..... 3-13

Carbon Monoxide monitoring sites located at Horizon and Harvey’s Casino

in the Lake Tahoe Basin	3-13
Carbon Monoxide: Highest and 2 nd Highest 8-Hour Concentration of Carbon Monoxide	
The highest and second highest 8-hour average carbon monoxide (CO) concentration relative to adopted standards from the year 1983 through 2011 at the Stateline monitoring sites. All concentrations are better than federal standards and most are better than the more stringent TRPA and state standards. The long term trends show rapid improvement from 1983-1998 and 2000-2011. Discontinuity in data occurred during 1999 due to relocation of the monitoring site. Data Source: Nevada Division of Environmental Protection	3-15
Carbon Monoxide: Average Daily Winter Traffic, Presidents' Weekend	
Trend in average daily winter traffic volume measured between 4 p.m. and Midnight (vehicles/day) on Presidents' Day Weekend at Highway 50 and Park Avenue, South Lake Tahoe, CA. 1981 to 2012. Note: data not collected for 1982-1986, 1990-1995, and 1998-2002 periods resulting in a decrease in confidence in trend determination. Data Source: Caltrans and TRPA	3-17
Location of traffic volume monitoring	3-17
Figure 3-2 Reporting icons for the four indicators evaluated in the Ozone Indicator Reporting Category. Results from each of the four indicators (bottom) were evaluated and aggregated to characterize the overall status of the Ozone Indicator Reporting Category (top)	3-20
Ozone: Highest 1-Hour Average Concentration	
The highest 1-hour ozone concentration (parts per million, ppm) measured at any of the monitoring sites in the Lake Tahoe Air Basin. The 2011 concentration is better than the most conservative TRPA Threshold standard. However, historical values have exceeded Threshold Standards. Although the trend line indicates a slightly improving trend, it is not statistically significant due to the high inter-annual variability in indicator values (Slope=-0.0003, S=-65, P=0.092). Data Sources: California Air Resources Board (CARB) and Washoe County Air Quality Management District (WCAQMD)	3-21
Ozone monitoring locations in the Lake Tahoe Basin	3-21
Ozone: Highest 8-Hour Average Concentration of Ozone	
The highest 8-hour average concentration (part per million, ppm) measured at any of the monitoring stations in the Lake Tahoe Basin. Concentrations are generally worse than the standard except most recently in 2010 and 2011, and in 1984, 2004 and 2005 when the region met the California standard (adopted in 2006 and represented with a red horizontal line). The long-term trend shows a gradual reduction in highest 8-hour ozone concentrations between 1984 and 2011 (Slope= 0.00033, S=-101, P=0.025). Data Sources: California Air Resources Board (CARB) and Washoe County Air Quality Management Division (WCAQMD)	3-23
Ozone: 3-Year Average of the 4 th Highest 8-Hour Average Concentration	
The highest 3-year average of the 4th highest 8-hour ozone concentration recorded at any monitoring site in the Lake Tahoe Basin. The federal standard changed in May 2008 (note shift in red line). The long-term trend (dashed line) has shown a gradual decline over the period of record from 1986 through 2011 (Slope=0.0003, S=-95, P=0.003).. Data Sources: California Air Resources Board (CARB) and Washoe County Air Quality Management Division (WCAQMD).....	3-25
Ozone: Oxides of Nitrogen Emissions	

	Trend in estimated Nitrogen Oxide emissions (tons/day) for the California portion of the Lake Tahoe Region. Source: CARB (2008) Emission Inventory for the California Portion of the Lake Tahoe Air Basin.....	3-28
	NOx monitoring equipment was placed at TRPA offices at Stateline, NV in summer 2010.....	3-28
Figure 3-3	Reporting icons for the nine indicators evaluated in the Visibility Indicator Reporting Category. Results from six of the indicators (for which data existed) were evaluated and aggregated to characterize the overall status of the Visibility Indicator Reporting Category (top)	3-33
Visibility:	Highest 24-Hour Average PM ₁₀ Concentration	
	The highest 24-hour average particulate matter less than or equal to 10 microns in size (PM ₁₀) concentration in the Lake Tahoe Basin. Concentrations are all better than the Nevada standard, but somewhat worse than the California standard. The long-term trend at the South Lake Tahoe site showed gradual improvement over the monitoring record from 1989 through 2011, however, due to high inter-annual variation, this trend was not statistically significant (Slope=-0.5, S=-12, P=0.365).....	3-34
	PM ₁₀ monitoring locations in the Lake Tahoe Basin.....	3-34
Visibility:	Annual Average PM ₁₀ Concentration	
	The annual average particulate matter less than or equal to 10 microns in size (PM ₁₀) concentration measured at the Stateline, NV and South Lake Tahoe, CA sites from 1989 to 2006. When last measured and reported, concentrations were considerably better than Nevada standards and slightly better than California standards since the late 1990s. The long-term trend for the South Lake Tahoe, California site showed improvement over the 1989-2006 period of record (Slope -0.714, S=-31, P=0.008). PM ₁₀ data were not collected between 2007 and 2011, and thus current status and trend of this indicator were determined to be unknown.....	3-37
Visibility:	3-Year Average of the 98 th Percentile 24-Hour PM _{2.5} Concentration	
	The 3-year average of the 98th percentile 24-hour average concentration of particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns in size (PM _{2.5}) at Bliss State Park from 1993 through 2010, and at the South Lake Tahoe site from 1993 through 2003. These concentrations have been substantially better than the federal standard. The trend determination for this evaluation is based on currently available data from the Bliss site, indicating a “moderate decline” (or increasing concentration) of PM _{2.5} . However due to apparent declining (improving) trend at the South Lake Tahoe site – the overall trend determination was inconclusive.....	3-39
	PM _{2.5} monitoring locations in the Lake Tahoe Basin.....	3-39
Visibility:	Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration	
	The annual average concentrations of particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns in size (PM _{2.5}) measured at Bliss State Park and at South Lake Tahoe monitoring sites from 1991 to 2010. Current concentrations are considerably better than federal and California standards. The long-term trend at each site show decreasing annual average concentrations of PM _{2.5}	3-41
Visibility:	Regional Visibility	
	Regional visibility conditions were monitored at the Bliss monitoring station (western portion of the Basin) from 1991 through 2010 using the 3-year	

running average reconstructed light extinction (b_{ext}) indicator. Light extinction measures the amount of absorption and dispersion of light in the atmosphere. The Tahoe Region is currently meeting adopted regional Visibility Threshold Standards for the 3-year running average and has throughout the monitoring record. Trends for both “average days” (50th percentile) and “worst day” (90th percentile) exhibit “little or no change.” Wildfires occurring within (e.g., 2007 Angora Fire) and outside of the Tahoe Region likely contributed to increases in light extinction 3-year mean values measured in 2008 and 2009..... 3-44

Lake Tahoe visibility monitoring locations (Bliss State Park was used for regional measurements and South Lake Tahoe (SOLA) was used for sub-regional measurements) 3-44

Visibility: Sub-Regional Visibility
 Sub-regional visibility conditions were monitored at the South Lake Tahoe monitoring station (southern urbanized portion of the Region) from 1991 through 2003 using the 3-year running average reconstructed light extinction (b_{ext}) indicator. Light extinction measures the amount of absorption and dispersion of light in the atmosphere. Recent sub-regional visibility data were insufficient to determine current status and trends for the 3-year running average indicator. Prior to 2004, trends for both “average days” and “worst days” indicators showed moderate to rapid improvement ($P < 0.01$)..... 3-47

Visibility: Vehicle Miles Traveled
 Estimated annual daily vehicle miles travelled based on the TRPA TransCAD Transportation Model, and average annual daily vehicle counts from a peak travel day (2nd weekend in August) of each calendar year in the Lake Tahoe Basin 1981 to 2011. Source: TRPA Transportation Department , CalTrans and NDOT. 3-50

Locations of traffic volume monitoring sites in the Lake Tahoe Region..... 3-50

Management Standard Summary: Nitrate Deposition
 Estimated annual dissolved inorganic nitrogen load (grams/hectare) from precipitation (rain and snow), and 3-year running average of annual loads of dissolved inorganic nitrogen from precipitation, relative to precipitation levels measured at Ward Creek monitoring site from 1981 to 2009 (TERC 2011b). Converted to metric tons, annual DIN load from rain and snow ranged from about 26 metric tons in 2007, to 108 metric tons in 1990. The most current estimate for 2010 is 59 metric tons, which is just below the upper annual DIN loading estimate (from precipitation and dry deposition) provided in TRPA (1982a) for the years between 1973 and 1981 3-53

Generalized location of Ward Creek nutrient deposition monitoring (red star). Source: UC Davis (TERC)..... 3-53

CHAPTER 4: WATER QUALITY

Figure 4-1 Conceptual model for Tahoe Region water quality. This model focuses on the pollutant pathway for fine sediment particles (<16 μm) and nutrients (N and P). Key processes in this pathway include source identification, transport in the watershed, control and abatement, defining loads to Lake, fate in Lake, and assessment of water quality response. For ease of viewing only key linkages were drawn. Source: Hymanson and Collopy 2010 4-12

Figure 4-2 Reporting icons for the three indicators evaluated in the Lake Tahoe Pelagic

	Waters Indicator Reporting Category. Results from each of the three indicators (bottom) were evaluated and aggregated to characterize the overall status of the Lake Tahoe Pelagic Waters Indicator Reporting Category (top)	4-14
Pelagic Lake Tahoe: Phytoplankton Primary Productivity	Annual estimates of phytoplankton primary productivity from water samples collected at the Lake Tahoe index station, 1968 to 2011. Phytoplankton primary productivity has steadily increased (worsened) since 1968, and values have been well above the TRPA standard since it was established in 1982 (TERC 2011a)	4-15
	Locations where UC Davis measures primary productivity and other water quality parameters.....	4-15
Pelagic Lake Tahoe: Winter Average Secchi Depth	Winter average Secchi disk depth measurements (1968 to 2011). Each value is the mean of 5-13 individual measurements taken at the Lake Tahoe index station from December through March. The line of best fit was determined statistically using a general additive model (GAM). The standard deviations for each annual estimate and the average standard deviations are also shown. The 2011 measurement of 25.9 m (84.9 ft) is somewhat better than TRPA's interim target of 24 m (78.7 ft). The long-term trend had shown a historically declining condition, but the trend has exhibited moderate improvement, particularly over the last decade (2002-2011). Data are from the UC Davis – Tahoe Environmental Research Center (TERC 2011a)	4-18
	Locations where UC Davis measures Secchi depth and other water quality parameters	4-18
Pelagic Lake Tahoe: Annual Average Secchi Depth	Annual average Secchi disk depth measurements recorded at the Lake Tahoe index station (1968 to 2011). Each annual value is the mean of 20-25 individual measurements taken throughout the year. The line of best fit was determined statistically using a general additive model (GAM). The 2011 measurement 21 m (68.9 ft) is somewhat worse than interim target of 23.8 m (78 ft). The long-term trend has shown a historically declining condition; however, over the last decade (2002-2011) the rate of decline in Lake transparency appears to have slowed relative to the trend prior to 2000. Data are from the UC Davis – Tahoe Environmental Research Center (TERC 2011a)	4-21
Figure 4-3	Reporting icons for the six indicators evaluated in the Tributary Indicator Reporting Category. Results from each of the six indicators (bottom) were evaluated and aggregated to characterize the overall status of the Tributary Indicator Reporting Category (top)	4-26
Tributaries: Suspended Sediment Concentration	These plots show how measurements of suspended sediment (SS) concentration compared to the water quality standard in place for each of ten regularly monitored Lake Tahoe tributaries by water year. The individual bars presented for each water year (Oct. 1 - Sept. 30) represent the proportion of all individual samples collected in a water year that exceeded 60 milligrams per liter (mg/L). A total of 2-157 individual samples were collected depending on the water year from each of the 10 monitored streams. The SS standard for both California and Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) states that the stream must attain a 90 th percentile value for suspended sediment concentration of 60 mg/L. This is interpreted as no more than ten percent of	

the stream's SS concentration measurements for the water year can exceed 60 mg/L. The horizontal red line represents this standard. The symbol 'x' denotes that sufficient samples were collected and that none of the samples contained SS concentrations greater than 60 mg/L. Years without data or an 'x' means that no samples were collected, or that data is otherwise missing. Stream monitoring data used to evaluate SS concentrations are from the sampling locations closest to where the tributaries discharge to Lake Tahoe. Data are from the Lake Tahoe Interagency Monitoring Program (LTIMP) 4-27

The ten streams routinely monitored for suspended sediment include five streams in Nevada and five streams in California: Ninety percent of the cumulative total inflow from the monitored streams is from the five California streams, and ten percent is from the five Nevada streams. The sub-watersheds where stream monitoring occurs are colored in the figure above 4-27

Tributaries: Total Phosphorus Concentration

These plots show how average yearly total phosphorus (TP) concentration, determined from samples collected during a water year (Oct. 1-Sept. 30), compare to the water quality standard in place for each of ten regularly monitored streams in the Lake Tahoe Basin. The individual bars represent the average TP concentration, based on a total of 3-138 individual samples collected, depending on the water year from each of the ten monitored streams. The horizontal red line represents the numeric standard of 0.05 milligrams per liter (mg/L) for streams in Nevada (graphs on left side, above), and the numeric standard of 0.015 mg/L for streams in California (graphs on right side, above). Stream monitoring data used to evaluate TP concentrations are from the sampling locations closest to where the tributaries discharge to Lake Tahoe. Data are from the Lake Tahoe Interagency Monitoring Program (LTIMP) 4-32

The ten streams routinely monitored for total phosphorus concentrations include five streams in Nevada and five streams in California: Ninety percent of the cumulative total inflow from the ten monitored streams is from the five California streams, and ten percent is from the five Nevada streams. The sub-watersheds where stream monitoring occurs are colored in the figure above ... 4-32

Tributaries: Total Nitrogen Concentration

These plots show how average yearly total nitrogen (TN) concentration measured over a water year (Oct. 1-Sept. 30) compare to the water quality standard in place for each of five regularly monitored California streams in the Lake Tahoe Basin. The individual bars represent the average yearly TN concentration, based on a total of 3-91 (depending on the water year) individual samples collected depending on the water year from each of the five monitored streams. Total nitrogen concentration is determined by adding the measured concentrations of total Kjeldahl nitrogen and dissolved nitrate plus nitrate (as nitrogen). The horizontal red line represents the California numeric standard for average TN concentration: 0.15 milligrams per liter (mg/L) for General and Ward Creeks, and 0.19 mg/L for Blackwood and Trout Creeks, and the Upper Truckee River. Nevada does not have a numeric TN standard for tributaries to Lake Tahoe. Stream monitoring data used to evaluate TN concentrations are from the sampling locations closest to where the tributaries discharge to Lake Tahoe. Data are from the Lake Tahoe Interagency Monitoring Program (LTIMP) 4-37

The five California streams monitored for total nitrogen concentration are all on the left-hand side of the state boundary line running through Lake Tahoe. The California streams are Trout, General, Blackwood and Ward creeks, and the Upper Truckee River. The sub-watersheds where stream monitoring occurs are colored in the figure above..... 4-37

Tributaries: Suspended Sediment Load

Combined yearly sediment loads (metric tons/year of suspended sediment with total yearly inflow for ten streams routinely monitored in the Lake Tahoe Basin). Data are displayed for each water year (Oct. 1 - Sept. 30) from 1993 through 2010. The yearly load for each stream is calculated as the sum of daily loads for a given water year. The combined yearly load represents an estimate of the total mass of suspended sediment transported by ten streams to Lake Tahoe during a single water year. The dashed line is the combined total yearly inflow from the ten streams, which represents approximately 50 percent of the inflow from all 63 tributaries to Lake Tahoe (Lahontan and NDEP 2010). Stream monitoring data used to calculate loads are from the sampling locations closest to where the tributaries discharge to Lake Tahoe. Data are from the Lake Tahoe Interagency Monitoring Program (LTIMP) 4-41

The ten streams routinely monitored for sediment load includes five streams in Nevada and five streams in California: Ninety percent of the cumulative total inflow from the monitored streams is from the five California streams, and ten percent is from the five Nevada streams. The sub-watersheds where stream monitoring occurs are colored in the figure above..... 4-41

Tributaries: Total Phosphorus Load

Combined yearly phosphorus loads (provided as soluble reactive phosphorus and other phosphorus) and total yearly inflow for ten streams routinely monitoring in the Lake Tahoe Basin. Data are displayed for each water year (Oct. 1 - Sept. 30) from 1993 through 2010. The yearly load for each stream is calculated as the sum of daily loads for a given water year. The combined total phosphorus load (soluble reactive phosphorus plus other phosphorus) represents an estimate of the total mass of phosphorus transported by ten streams to Lake Tahoe during a single water year. The dashed line is the combined total inflow from the ten streams for each water year, which represents approximately 50 percent of the inflow from all 63 tributaries to Lake Tahoe (Lahontan and NDEP 2010). Stream monitoring data used to calculate loads are from the sampling locations closest to where the tributaries discharge to Lake Tahoe. Data are from the Lake Tahoe Interagency Monitoring Program (LTIMP) 4-45

The ten streams routinely monitored for phosphorus load includes five streams in Nevada and five streams in California: Ninety percent of the cumulative total inflow from the monitored streams is from the five California streams, and ten percent is from the five Nevada streams. The sub-watersheds where stream monitoring occurs are colored in the figure above 4-45

Tributaries: Nitrate and Nitrite (and Total Nitrogen) Load

Combined yearly nitrogen loads (provided here as nitrate plus nitrite and other nitrogen) and total yearly inflow for ten streams routinely monitoring in the Lake Tahoe Basin. Data are displayed for each water year (Oct. 1 - Sept. 30) from 1993 through 2010. The yearly load for each stream is calculated as the sum of daily loads for a given water year. The total nitrogen load represents an

estimate of the total mass of nitrogen transported by ten streams to Lake Tahoe during a single water year. The line on each plot is the combined total yearly inflow from the ten streams, which represents approximately 50 percent of the inflow from all 63 tributaries to Lake Tahoe. Stream monitoring data used to calculate loads are from the sampling locations closest to where the tributaries discharge to Lake Tahoe. Data are from the Lake Tahoe Interagency Monitoring Program (LTIMP) 4-49

The ten streams routinely monitored for nitrogen load include five streams in Nevada and five streams in California. Ninety percent of the cumulative total inflow from the ten monitored streams is from the five California streams and ten percent is from the five Nevada streams 4-49

CHAPTER 5: SOIL CONSERVATION

Figure 5-1 New land coverage estimated for the Lake Tahoe Region, 1991 to 2010. These numbers do not account for land coverage that was transferred, relocated, or banked, nor do they include land coverage in excess land coverage mitigation programs.....5-9

Figure 5-2 Reporting icons for the nine indicators evaluated in the Impervious Cover Indicator Reporting Category. Results from each of the nine indicators (bottom) were evaluated and aggregated to characterize the overall status of the Impervious Cover Indicator Reporting Category (top) 5-10

Soil Conservation: Percent of Land Coverage within Land Capability Classes
 Percentage of allowable land coverage within each capability class (as adjusted for new soil survey data) and estimated percentage of land coverage in each capability class for the Lake Tahoe Basin Land capability classes 1b and 2 (arrows) as currently estimated exceed the land capability limits prescribed by Bailey (1974). Results are based on an interpretation of 2010 LiDAR and multispectral data, and an updated land capability map that includes current soil survey information developed by Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS 2007)..... 5-11

Distribution of hard impervious cover within the Lake Tahoe Basin in 2010 (Based on interpretation of LiDAR and 8-band multispectral imagery).
 Data source: Watershed Sciences, LLC, and Digital Globe 5-11

Soil Conservation: Restoration Acres of Naturally Functioning Stream Environment Zones
 Cumulative total acres of SEZ restored within or adjacent to the urban boundary during three different periods in the Lake Tahoe Region. The target is to restore 1,100 acres. Source: 2001 and 2006 TRPA Threshold Evaluations and EIP database 5-19

Locations of SEZ restoration projects completed in the Lake Tahoe Basin, from 2005 to 2011..... 5-19

CHAPTER 6: VEGETATION PRESERVATION

Figure 6-1 Reporting icons for the six indicators evaluated in the Common Vegetation Indicator Reporting Category. Results from each of the six indicators (bottom) were evaluated and aggregated to characterize the overall status of the Common Vegetation Indicator Reporting Category (top)6-7

Common Vegetation: Vegetation Community (Association) Richness

Estimated proportion of land covered by different TRPA vegetation communities (associations) in the Lake Tahoe Basin (USDA 2009c).....	6-8
Map showing the distribution and extent of major vegetation communities (associations) in the Lake Tahoe Basin (pre-Angora Fire of 2007). Source: US Forest Service - Pacific Southwest Region, Remote Sensing Lab	6-8
Common Vegetation: Relative Abundance of Yellow Pine and Red Fir Forest in Seral Stages other than Mature	
Estimated percent cover of small diameter tree size-classes (<20" dbh in 2001 and 2006; <10.9" in 2011) for Yellow Pine and Red Fir Forests in the Lake Tahoe Basin by evaluation year (TRPA 2001; TRPA 2007c; USDA 2009c) relative to adopted management target (the range within red lines, 15 to 25%). Differences In percent cover were attributed to different evaluation criteria across evaluations.....	6-11
Geographic distribution of small diameter (< 10.9" dbh) Yellow Pine and Red Fir Forests (red areas in figure) in the Lake Tahoe Basin (USDA 2009c). Note: source data precedes 2007 Angora Fire	6-11
Common Vegetation: Relative Abundance of Meadows and Wetland Vegetation Types	
Estimated percent of land area occupied by meadow and wetland vegetation in the Lake Tahoe Basin relative to TRPA adopted numeric target (red line). Sources: TRPA 2001; TRPA 2007c; USDA 2009c.....	6-14
Distribution and extent of the meadow and wetland vegetation types (red areas in figure) in the Lake Tahoe Basin (USDA 2009c)	6-14
Common Vegetation: Relative Abundance of Shrub Vegetation Type	
Estimated percent of land area occupied by shrub vegetation in the Lake Tahoe Basin by evaluation year (TRPA 2001; TRPA 2007c; USDA 2009c).....	6-17
Recent distribution and extent of shrub vegetation type (red areas in figure) in the Lake Tahoe Basin prior to the 2007 Angora Fire (USDA 2009c).....	6-17
Common Vegetation: Relative Abundance of Deciduous Riparian Vegetation Type	
Estimated percent of land area covered by deciduous riparian vegetation in the Lake Tahoe Basin by evaluation year (TRPA 2001; TRPA 2007c; USDA 2009c)	6-19
Distribution and extent of deciduous riparian vegetation type (red areas in figure) in the Lake Tahoe Basin prior to the 2007 Angora (USDA 2009c)	6-19
Common Vegetation (Pattern): Juxtaposition of Vegetation Communities and Age Class	
Map showing the distribution of fuels reduction treatments (pink = completed, green = partially completed, blue = in planning stage) in the Lake Tahoe Basin. Source: US Forest Service, Tahoe Fire and Fuels Team (2011)	6-21
Common Vegetation (Pattern): Consistency with Bailey Land Capability System	
Map showing the extent and distribution of impervious surface in the Lake Tahoe Basin relative to Land Capability Districts. Source: TRPA GIS	6-23
Figure 6-2 Reporting icons for the three indicators evaluated in the Late Seral and Old Growth Forest Ecosystems Indicator Reporting Category. Results from each of the three indicators (bottom) were evaluated and aggregated to characterize the overall status of the Late Seral and Old Growth Forest Ecosystems Indicator Reporting Category (top).....	6-28
Late Seral and Old Growth Forest Ecosystems: Relative Abundance of Late Seral and Old Growth Forest Ecosystems for Montane, Upper Montane and Subalpine Elevation Zones	
Estimated aerial extent in acres of conifer stands dominated by trees >24" dbh	

	("old growth" forest stands) in the Lake Tahoe basin relative to adopted TRPA standard, by elevation zone (TRPA 2001; TRPA 2007c; USDA 2009c)	6-29
	Recent geographic distribution and extent of conifer stands dominated by trees >24" dbh ("old growth" forest stands; red areas in figure) in the Lake Tahoe Basin. Source: US Forest Service (2007 EVEG).....	6-29
Figure 6-3	Reporting icons for the eight indicators evaluated in the Uncommon Plant Communities Indicator Reporting Category. Results from each of the eight indicators (bottom) were evaluated and aggregated to characterize the overall status of the Uncommon Plant Communities Indicator Reporting Category (top).....	6-34
Uncommon Plant Communities: Upper Truckee Marsh	Map showing location of Upper Truckee Marsh and surrounding area	6-35
Uncommon Plant Communities: Taylor Creek Marsh	Map showing location of Taylor Creek Marsh and surrounding area	6-38
Uncommon Plant Communities: Pope Marsh	Map showing location of Pope Marsh and the surrounding area.....	6-41
Uncommon Plant Communities: Osgood Swamp	Map showing location of Osgood Swamp and surrounding area	6-44
Uncommon Plant Communities: Hell Hole	Map of the location of fen assessment plots established at Hell Hole in 2010 (Sikes et. al 2010)	6-47
Uncommon Plant Communities: Grass Lake	Map showing location of Grass Lake and surrounding area	6-50
Uncommon Plant Communities: Freel Peak Cushion Plant Community	Map showing location of Freel Peak and surrounding area.....	6-53
Figure 6-4	Reporting icons for the five indicators evaluated in the Sensitive Plants Indicator Reporting Category. Results from each of the five indicators (bottom) were evaluated and aggregated to characterize the overall status of the Sensitive Plants Indicator Reporting Category (top)	6-57
Sensitive Plants: Tahoe Yellow Cress (<i>Rorripa subumbellata</i>)	Number of sites occupied by Tahoe yellow cress and the level of Lake Tahoe during the survey period from 1979 to 2011. Lake level (solid blue line) is in feet of elevation, Lake Tahoe Datum (LTD). The red line indicates the Threshold Standard of 26 occupied sites. Source: Tahoe Yellow Cress Working Group.....	6-58
	Approximate locations of Tahoe yellow cress in the Lake Tahoe Basin, September, 2011	6-58
Sensitive Plants: Tahoe Draba (<i>Draba asterophora var. asterophora</i>)	Number of population sites of Tahoe draba where plant counts decreased, increased, were stable, or where new population sites were detected Between 2004 and 2009. Source: US Forest Service - LTBMU	6-61
	Approximate locations of Tahoe draba (labeled DRASA) in the Lake Tahoe Basin, September, 2010	6-62
Sensitive Plants: Long-petaled Lewisia (<i>Lewisia longipetala</i>)	Number of population sites of Long-petaled lewisia where plant counts decreased, increased, were stable, or where new population sites were detected between 2004 and 2009. Source: US Forest Service-LTBMU.....	6-64
	Approximate locations of two Long-petaled lewisia sites in the Lake Tahoe Basin, September, 2010	6-64

Sensitive Plants: Cup Lake Draba (<i>Draba asterophora</i> var. <i>macrocarpa</i>)	
Census results for six Cup Lake draba population sites in 2004 and 2009. The number of plants found in the various plant categories are: 1 = 1-14, 2 = 15-99, 3 = 100-249, 4 = 250-499, 5 = 500-1000, 6 = >1000. Source: US Forest Service-LTBMU	6-66
The two approximate locations of Cup Lake draba (labeled DRASM) in the Lake Tahoe Basin, September, 2010.....	6-66
Sensitive Plants: Galena Creek Rockcress (<i>Arabis rigidissima</i> var. <i>demota</i>)	
Number of population sites of Galena Creek rockcress were plants were present, absent, or where new population sites were detected between 2004 and 2009. Source: US Forest Service – LTBMU.....	6-68
Approximate locations of suspected Galena Creek rockcress in the Lake Tahoe Basin, September, 2010. Source: USFS-LTBMU	6-68

CHAPTER 7: FISHERIES

Lake Habitat: Acres of "Prime" Fish Habitat	
Recent aerial extent of "prime" fish habitat (spawning habitat - dark blue, feed and cover habitat - orange) relative to TRPA's Lake Habitat Management Standard (red) as characterized by Metz and Herold (2004) and Herold et al. (2007). Error in habitat acreage estimates was $\pm 14\%$, indicating no statistical difference between the aerial extent of prime fish habitat and the adopted management target.....	7-5
Distribution and extent of different nearshore lake habitats in Lake Tahoe (Metz and Herold 2004; Herold et al. 2007)	7-5
Stream Habitat: Miles of Stream Habitat	
Map of streams in the Lake Tahoe Region designated by TRPA as residential or migratory (TRPA 1982a; TRPA GIS).....	7-9
Figure 7-1 Generalized locations of Lahontan cutthroat trout reintroduction efforts in the Lake Tahoe Region, 1989-2010	7-17

CHAPTER 8: WILDLIFE

Figure 8-1 Reporting icons for the eight indicators in the Special Interest Species Indicator Reporting Category. Results from each of the eight indicators (bottom) were evaluated and aggregated to characterize the overall status of the Special Interest Species Indicator Reporting Category (top).....	8-6
Special Interest Species: Northern Goshawk	
Number of reproductively active Northern Goshawk territories in the Lake Tahoe Basin (1997 to 2010) relative to TRPA's Threshold Standard of 12 population sites. Dashed lined indicates a cyclic trend in reproductively active territories. Source: US Forest Service - LTBMU.....	8-7
Approximate locations of known reproductively active Goshawk territories from 2006 to 2010. Source: US Forest Service- LTBMU	8-7
Special Interest Species: Osprey	
Total number of active Osprey nests recorded in the Tahoe Basin from 1997 through 2010 relative to the Threshold Standard of 4 population sites (red line). A significant increasing trend (dashed line) in the number of active Osprey nests was documented for the monitoring record. Source US Forest Service - LTBMU and TRPA records.	8-10

Approximate locations of all recorded osprey nests in the Tahoe Basin (1980 to 2010). Source: USFS and TRPA survey records	8-10
Special Interest Species: Wintering Bald Eagle	
Total number of bald eagles detected during annual winter Bald Eagle surveys throughout the Tahoe Basin from 1998 through 2011. An increasing trend was apparent in the monitoring record (1998 to 2011), but it was not statistically significant (P = 0.368)	8-12
Areas designated by TRPA for the protection of the wintering Bald Eagle population in the Lake Tahoe Basin	8-12
Special Interest Species: Nesting Bald Eagle	
Total number of nesting Bald Eagle pairs in the Tahoe Basin that successfully fledged at least one juvenile from 1996 through 2010.....	8-14
Approximate locations of known Bald Eagle nesting areas from 1996 to 2010. Source: USFS and TRPA Survey Records	8-14
Special Interest Species: Golden Eagle	
Number of Golden Eagle nests detected in years when formal surveys occurred (TRPA 2001; USDA 2009e; S. Zanetti personal communication, August 15, 2011) relative to the Threshold Standard of 4 population sites	8-16
Areas identified by TRPA for the protection of Golden Eagle nesting habitat in the Tahoe Basin.....	8-16
Special Interest Species: Peregrine Falcon	
Total number of active Peregrine Falcon nests recorded in the Tahoe Basin during years when formal surveys were conducted (USDA 2011d; TRPA 2001) relative to the Threshold Standard of 2 population sites	8-18
Areas identified by TRPA for the protection of Peregrine Falcon nesting habitat in the Tahoe Basin	8-18
Special Interest Species: Waterfowl	
Recreational impact and habitat intactness score for the 18 waterfowl threshold sites. Sites are ranked 1 to 4 with 1 being the most intact and 4 being the most disturbed	8-20
Map of the Tahoe Basin showing the location and name of the 18 waterfowl designated by TRPA as population sites.....	8-20
Special Interest Species: Deer	
Total combined number of deer observed during spring and post-hunt surveys in the vicinity of the Tahoe Basin from 1989 through 2010. Two distinct trends are evident, with an apparent change in the direction of the trend around the year 2000. A significant decreasing trend existed from 1989 to 1999, followed by a significant increasing trend from 2000 to 2010.....	8-23
Suitable mule deer fawning and forage habitat (summer) in the Lake Tahoe Region	8-23
Special Interest Species: Disturbance (Free) Zones	
Map showing the aerial extent of disturbance (free) zones for all TRPA listed special status species in the Lake Tahoe Basin. The habitat quality in undeveloped open spaces within disturbance (free) zones according to TRPA (1986) is to be conserved for special interest species.....	8-25
Management Standard: Habitats of Special Significance (Riparian Habitats)	
Map showing the spatial extent and distribution of riparian areas (green) protected by the Habitats of Special Significance Management Standard and other policies	8-27

CHAPTER 9: SCENIC RESOURCES

Roadway and Shoreline Units: Travel Route Ratings for Roadway Travel Units
Change in average Roadway Travel Rating by year, 1982 to 2011, compared to the adopted minimum Threshold Standard of 15.5 (red line)..... 9-5
Map showing the distribution of roadway travel unit Threshold Standard status in the Lake Tahoe Region, 2011. Source data: TRPA Scenic Threshold Monitoring Data 9-5

Roadway and Shoreline Units: Scenic Quality Ratings for Roadway Travel Units
Change in average Scenic Quality Ratings for Scenic Resources within Roadway Travel Units by year since 1982... 9-9
Map showing the distribution of scenic quality rating Threshold Standard status for roadway travel units in the Lake Tahoe Region, 2011. Source data: TRPA Scenic Threshold Monitoring Data..... 9-9

Roadway and Shoreline Units: Travel Route Ratings for Shoreline Travel Units
Change in overall average Shoreline Travel Unit Rating by year, 1982 to 2011 (adopted minimum Threshold Standard is 7.5)... 9-13
Map showing the distribution of shoreline travel unit Threshold Standard status in the Lake Tahoe Region, 2011. Source data: TRPA Scenic Threshold Monitoring Data 9-13

Roadway and Shoreline Units: Scenic Quality Ratings for Shoreline Travel Units
Change in overall average Scenic Quality Ratings for Shoreline Travel Units by year since 1982 9-17
Map showing the distribution of scenic quality rating Threshold Standard status for shoreline travel units in the Lake Tahoe Region, 2011. Source data: TRPA Scenic Threshold Monitoring Data..... 9-17

Other Areas: Public Recreation Areas and Bike Trails
Change in overall average Scenic Quality Ratings for Public Recreation Areas and Bike Trails by year since 1993..... 9-21
Map showing the distribution of scenic quality rating Threshold Standard status for recreation areas and bike trails in the Lake Tahoe Region, 2011. Source data: TRPA Scenic Threshold Monitoring Data..... 9-21

CHAPTER 10: NOISE

Figure 10-1 Reporting icons for the fourteen indicators evaluated in the Single Noise Events Indicator Reporting Category. Results from two of the indicators (from which data existed) were evaluated and aggregated to determine the overall status of the Single Noise Events Indicator Reporting Category (top)..... 10-6

Single Noise Events: Aircraft (80 dBA Departure/Arrival)
Overall average number of aircraft generated single noise event exceedances /day (exceedance rate) reported by City of South Lake Tahoe Airport (2008 to 2010), and at Barton Beach measured by TRPA (2009 to 2011). Overall exceedance rate ranged from 0.037/day (1 exceedance every 26.7 days) in 2008 to 0.171 (1 exceedance every 5.8 days) in 2010. Source: City of South Lake Tahoe Airport, TRPA noise monitoring data 10-7
A map of South Lake Tahoe Airport (dark north - south line) relative to noise monitoring sites (numbered dots), and TRPA's aircraft noise monitoring site at Barton Beach (red marker) 10-7

Single Noise Events: Watercraft Shoreline Test	
The average number of exceedances/day for motorized watercraft determined by the shoreline test (>75 dBA) from 2009 to 2011. According to available data (n=3 years) the exceedance rate on average is increasing at a rate of about 0.045 exceedances/day/year. However, confidence in the trend determination to be low due to low sample size and variation in inter-annual exceedance rate. Source: TRPA shorezone monitoring data	10-10
Distribution of shoreline noise monitoring sites from 2009-2012	10-10
Figure 10-2 Reporting icons for the sixteen indicators evaluated in the Cumulative Noise Events Indicator Reporting Category. Results from each of the sixteen indicators (bottom) were evaluated and aggregated to determine the overall status of the Cumulative Noise Events Indicator Reporting Category (top).....	10-14
Cumulative Noise Events: Wilderness and Roadless & Critical Wildlife Habitat Areas (CNEL 45 dBA)	
High and low single day CNEL values (bar endpoints), with annual mean CNEL in land use categories having a standard of CNEL 45 dBA from 1991 to 2011. Source: TRPA noise monitoring data, Engineering Dynamics, Inc	10-15
A map of Wilderness and Roadless and Critical Wildlife Habitat land use category areas in the Basin, relative to monitoring locations.....	10-15
Cumulative Noise Events: Low Density Residential & Rural Outdoor Recreation Areas (CNEL 50 dBA)	
High and low single day CNEL values (bar endpoints), with annual mean CNEL in land use categories having a Threshold Standard of CNEL 50 dBA from 1991 to 2011. Source: TRPA noise monitoring data, Brown and Buntin Associates, Inc., Engineering Dynamics, Inc.	10-18
A map of low density residential and rural outdoor recreation land use category areas in the Basin, with monitoring locations (CNEL 50 dBA)	10-19
Cumulative Noise Events: High Density Residential Areas, Urban Outdoor Recreation Areas & Transportation Corridors State Routes 28, 89, 207, 267 & 431 (CNEL 55 dBA)	
High and low single day CNEL values (bar endpoints), with annual mean CNEL in land use categories and transportation corridors having a standard of CNEL 55 dBA from 1991 to 2011. Source: TRPA noise monitoring data, Brown and Buntin Associates, Inc., Engineering Dynamics, Inc	10-21
A map of High Density Residential, Urban Outdoor Recreation land use category areas, and transportation corridors of State Routes 28, 89, 207, 267, and 431, with monitoring locations (CNEL 55 dBA)	10-22
Cumulative Noise Events: Hotel/Motel & Commercial Areas & Transportation Corridor South Lake Tahoe Airport (CNEL 60 dBA)	
High and low single day CNEL values (bar endpoints), with annual mean CNEL in land use categories and transportation corridors having a standard of CNEL 60 dBA from 1991 to 2011. Source: TRPA noise monitoring data, Brown and Buntin Associates, Inc., Engineering Dynamics, Inc	10-25
A map of Hotel/Motel, Commercial, and South Lake Tahoe land use category areas, with monitoring locations (CNEL 60)	10-25
Cumulative Noise Events: Industrial Areas & Transportation Corridor US Highway 50 (CNEL 65 dBA)	
High and low single day CNEL values (bar endpoints), with annual mean	

CNEL in land use categories and transportation corridors having a standard of CNEL 65 dBA from 1991 to 2011. Source: TRPA noise monitoring data, Brown and Buntin Associates, Inc., Engineering Dynamics, Inc.....	10-28
A map of the Industrial land use category areas and US Highway 50 transportation corridor, with monitoring locations (CNEL 65 dBA).....	10-28

CHAPTER 11: RECREATION

Figure 11-1 Recreation facilities in the Lake Tahoe Basin. Source: Nelson/Nygaard 2012; TRPA Data	11-11
---	-------

CHAPTER 12: IMPLEMENTATION AND EFFECTIVENESS

Figure 12-1 Graph showing the application of Carlson’s Trophic State (or Status) Index to pelagic Lake Tahoe data. The index uses a log transformation of measured Secchi disk values as a measure of algal biomass on a scale from 0-110. Each increase of ten units on the scale represents a doubling of algal biomass. Because chlorophyll a and total phosphorus are usually closely correlated to Secchi disk measurements, these parameters can also be assigned trophic status index values and can be combined to provide an integrated measure of trophic status. The Carlson Trophic Status Index is useful for comparing lakes within a region and for assessing changes in a lake’s trophic status over time. The goal for Lake Tahoe is to maintain annual Carlson’s Trophic Index values below 30. As of 2010, the integrated index value for Lake Tahoe’s pelagic zone was 18.9, indicating the Lake is retaining its status as “ultraoligotrophic.”	12-3
Figure 12-2 Average annual rate of change (hectares/year) in major land use/cover classes from 1940 to 2002 in the southern portion of the Lake Tahoe Basin (adapted from Raumann and Cablk 2008). Since the implementation of the Regional Plan in 1987 (1987 to 2002 time period) the rate of lands converted to the developed/impervious class has declined considerably when compared to the time periods prior to 1987	12-5
Figure 12-3 Average annual rate of change (hectares/year) in forest density, grassland /shrub to forest and non-forested wetland to forest from 1940 to 2002 in the southern portion of the Lake Tahoe Basin (adapted from Raumann and Cablk 2008). Since the implementation of the Regional Plan in 1987 the rate of forest densification and conifer forest encroachment into non-forested classified land has declined, when compared to time periods prior to 1987.....	12-6
Figure 12-4 Distribution of funding contributions by federal, state, local and private partners to projects under the Environmental Improvement Program, 1997-2010	12-16
Figure 12-5 Acres of diver-assisted aquatic invasive weeds treated as part of the effort to control aquatic weeds in Lake Tahoe between 2005 and 2011. Treatment types included bottom barriers, which kill weeds in place, and removal by diver assisted suction. Note: native aquatic vegetation is not targeted in these actions.....	12-17